This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication (AAM). The version presented here may differ from the published version, or version of record, available through the publisher's website. This version does not track changes, errata, or withdrawals on the publisher's site.

Inelastic neutron scattering study of reline: shedding light on the hydrogen bonding network of deep eutectic solvents

C. F. Araujo, J. A. P. Coutinho, M. M. Nolasco,S. F. Parker, P. J. A. Ribeiro-Claro, S. Rudic,B. I. G. Soares and P. D. Vaz

Published version information

Citation: CF Araujo et al. "Inelastic neutron scattering study of reline: shedding light on the hydrogen bonding network of deep eutectic solvents." Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, vol. 19 (2017): 17998-18009.

DOI: 10.1039/C7CP01286A

This version is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. This is the citation assigned by the publisher at the time of issuing the AAM. Please check the publisher's website for any updates.

This item was retrieved from **ePubs**, the Open Access archive of the Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK. Please contact <u>epubs@stfc.ac.uk</u> or go to <u>http://epubs.stfc.ac.uk/</u> for further information and policies.

ARTICLE

Inelastic Neutron Scattering study of Reline: *shedding light on the hydrogen bonding network of deep eutectic solvents*

Z<sdReceived 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

C. F. Araujo ⁺^{*a*}, J. A. P. Coutinho ^{*a*}, M. M. Nolasco ^{*a*}, S.F. Parker ^{*b*}, P. J. A. Ribeiro-Claro ⁺^{*a*}, S. Rudić ^{*b*}, B. I. G. Soares ^{*a*}, P. D. Vaz ^{*b*,c}

The solids choline chloride and urea, mixed in a 1:2 molar proportion, form the iconic deep eutectic solvent "Reline". A combination of computational and vibrational spectroscopy tools, including inelastic neutron scattering (INS), have been used to probe intermolecular interactions in the eutectic mixture. Reline's experimental spectra were estimated using discrete and periodic ab-initio calculations of a molecular aggregate with two choline chloride and four urea units. This is the minimum size required to achieve satisfactory agreement with experiment, as smaller clusters cannot represent all of Reline's significant intermolecular interactions. The INS spectrum of Reline, compared with that of pure choline chloride, reveals a displacement of chloride anions away from their preferred positions on top of choline's methyl groups, whose torsional movement becomes less hindered in the mixture. Urea, which adopts a planar (sp2) shape in the crystal, becomes non-planar (sp3) in Reline, a feature herein discussed for the first time. In Reline, urea molecules form a wide range of hydrogen bonds, from soft contacts to stronger associations, the latter being responsible for the deviation from ideality. The chloride's interactions with choline are largely conserved at the hydroxyl end while becoming weaker at the cationic headgroup. The interplay of soft and strong interactions confers flexibility to the newly formed hydrogen-bond network and allows the ensemble to remain liquid at room temperature.

1. Introduction

Deep eutectic solvents (DES) are a trending research topic¹⁻⁷, fueled by the need to find sustainable alternatives to conventional solvents. DES's are binary mixtures of hydrogen bond donors and acceptors that are easy to prepare, and in general cheap, biodegradable and not very toxic. Their range of applications keeps growing, arguably much faster than our current understanding of their behavior. Grasping the mechanics of deep eutectic behavior is important in itself, far beyond the scope of practical applications, as

Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, UK

it addresses fundamental questions concerning the physical principles governing supramolecular chemistry.

The term "deep eutectic" stems from a peculiar phenomenon: at the eutectic point, the melting point of a DES is significantly lower than that of an ideal mixture of its components. "Reline", the most widely studied DES, is a blend of choline chloride ([Ch]Cl) and urea in a molar ratio of $1:2^8$. The term "Reline" is used for mere convenience and should not lead the reader to confuse this physical mixture with a new chemical compound. When anhydrous, the Reline mixture melts at $30 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^9$, while the corresponding ideal mixture would have a melting point close to $100 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The most often cited rationalization for this phenomenon is that urea, a hydrogen bond donor, interacts with the chloride anion thus disrupting choline chloride's lattice, leading to charge delocalization and preventing crystallization^{2, 10}. This view has been recently disputed by Zahn and colleagues¹¹, whose ab-initio molecular dynamics simulation shows negligible charge transfer from the chloride anion



^{a.} CICECO – Aveiro Institute of Materials, Departamento de Química, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

^{b.} ISIS Neutron & Muon Source, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot,

^{c.} CQB, Departamento de Química e Bioquímica, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal.

⁺ correspondence to: prc@ua.pt, catarina.araujo@ua.pt

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

to the urea molecule. Instead, charge spreads from the anion to the choline cation. Indeed, the newly formed urea-chloride interactions do not always lead to such drastic melting point depression. Mixtures of symmetric tetraalkylammonium chlorides with urea tend to form ordered structures with higher melting points¹²⁻¹⁴ a hint that entropy may also play an important role in the melting point depression.

A growing body of theoretical and experimental research^{10, 15-32} is attempting to understand the molecular keys that unlock the deep eutectic behavior. Wagle and co-workers briefly reviewed²¹ the most relevant computational studies on DES. Since then, an abinitio study by Ashworth and colleagues¹⁵ explored the many possible hydrogen bonding interactions among Reline's constituents and quantified them in the atoms-in-molecules framework. The O-H⁻⁻O=C contacts stood out as the strongest, followed by N-H⁻⁻O=C and N-H⁻⁻Cl hydrogen bonds. Interestingly, choline's C-H⁻⁻Cl interactions, although individually weak, become competitive with N-H⁻⁻Cl due to their tripodal arrangement (in the [Ch]Cl crystal each Cl sits at the top of three methyl groups, as depicted in Fig.1).

A neutron diffraction study by Hammond and his team¹⁶ confirms the ordering of hydrogen bonding strength proposed by Ashworth. Contrary to expectation, the radial distribution function of OH⁻⁻Cl distances in Reline is centered at 2.1 Å, roughly the same distance as in the [Ch]Cl crystal. Concurrently, the CH₃⁻⁻Cl distance increases slightly, suggesting that the anions sitting on choline's headgroup are preferentially displaced, relative to the ones bonded to OH.

While neutron diffraction provides information on the intermolecular distances, inelastic neutron scattering (INS), a vibrational spectroscopy tool, sheds light on the strength of intermolecular interactions ³³. INS has the advantage of probing low frequency modes, inaccessible by Raman and infrared techniques, which provide information on the 3D spatial arrangement of a system. In this paper, we present the INS spectra of Reline, collected on the TOSCA ^{34, 35} and MAPS ^{33, 36} instruments at ISIS-RAL (UK). The Raman and infrared vibrational spectra are also discussed. Although these have been examined before^{17, 21-23, 26, 27, 37}, their assignment remains somewhat controversial and not all of the helpful information has been fleshed out. Instead of reviewing previous work here, the assignments made by other authors are directly compared with our own, in the discussion section.

In line with similar methodologies employed by Wagle²¹ and Zhu²³, we have used the discrete cluster approximation to estimate Reline's vibrational spectrum. The most stable aggregate, shown in Figs. 1 and 2, was then used as the "unit cell" in a CASTEP³⁸ calculation. The interpretation of Reline's INS spectrum was based on the results of both discrete and periodic calculations, as well as the assignments of the pure components.

2. Methods

2.1 Eutectic mixture preparation

Reline was prepared in a glovebox by mixing, in a 1:2 molar ratio, choline chloride (Acros Organics) and urea (Panreac) followed by heating at 60°C. The reagents were previously dried under vacuum for three days to avoid water contamination, as it drastically affects Reline's properties¹⁹. Partially and fully deuterated mixtures were prepared in the same manner. Urea-D4 (98 atom % D) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich while [Ch]Cl-OD was prepared by repeatedly washing with D_2O and drying under vaccum and heating. The success of isotopic exchange was confirmed by the appearance of the vOD band at 2400 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum and the vOH/vOD intensity ratio suggests an exchange rate of 90%. Initially, three 1:2 mixtures were prepared: [Ch]ClOD+Urea-H4 (total of 10 atom % D), [Ch]ClOH+Urea-D4 (total of 87 atom % D) and [Ch]ClOD+Urea-D4 (total of 97 atom % D). Weeks later, a fourth was made from blending equal amounts of the first two, resulting in a total of 48 % atom D.

2.2 Spectrum acquisition

The INS spectra of Reline and choline chloride were collected using the TOSCA and MAPS instruments at the ISIS pulsed spallation neutron and muon source of the STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (Chilton, UK). The TOSCA spectrum of urea had been previously recorded by Johnson and colleagues³⁹ and is available at the TOSCA database⁴⁰ while its MAPS spectra were collected by us. The samples, weighing 2-3 g, were placed inside flat thin-walled aluminum cans which were then mounted perpendicular to the incident beam. The eutectic mixture, in the liquid state, was shockfrozen by quenching in liquid nitrogen. This procedure was used to avoid phase separation, although previous Raman studies of Reline subject to freezing-melting annealing cycles do not show signs of phase separation upon solidification. Spectra were collected below 20 K. Raman spectra were measured at room temperature on a Bruker RFS/100S FT-Raman instrument with an Nd:YAG laser and using a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. The samples were enclosed in a quartz Raman cell for liquids. FTIR-ATR spectra were collected at room temperature on a FT Bruker IFS 55 spectrometer with a Golden Gate ATR accessory using a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. The samples were placed upon the optic window and covered with a metallic lid to prevent water contamination.

ARTICLE

Journal Name

2.3 Discrete ab-initio calculations with Gaussian09

Geometry optimizations and vibrational frequency calculations of isolated clusters in the gas phase were computed using the Gaussian 09⁴¹ software, at the B3LYP level of theory with the 6-31+G(d,p) basis set. The latter was selected as the best compromise between accuracy and efficiency among different functional/basis set combinations. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors from Gaussian's frequency calculation were then used by the ACLIMAX software⁴² to estimate the intensities of the INS spectrum. For calculated Raman and infrared spectra, vibrational frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.964⁴³ while INS spectra estimated with ACLIMAX were not scaled. Molecular geometries shown throughout the text were rendered using the QuteMol software⁴⁴.

2.4 Periodic ab-initio calculations with CASTEP

Periodic density functional theory (periodic-DFT) calculations were carried out using the plane wave pseudopotential method as implemented in the CASTEP code ^{38, 45}. Exchange and correlation were approximated using the PBE functional ⁴⁶. The plane-wave cutoff energy was 830 eV. Brillouin zone sampling of electronic states was performed on 8 × 4 × 4 Monkhorst-Pack grid. The equilibrium structure, an essential prerequisite for lattice dynamics calculations was obtained by BFGS geometry optimization after which the residual forces were converged to zero within $\pm 0.005 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{A}^{-1}$. Phonon frequencies were obtained by diagonalisation of dynamical matrices computed using density-functional perturbation theory 4/. The atomic displacements in each mode that are part of the CASTEP output, enable visualization of the modes to aid assignments and are also all that is required to generate the INS spectrum using the program ACLIMAX⁴². It is emphasised that for all the calculated spectra shown the transition energies have not been scaled.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Estimating Reline's spectra with discrete and periodic calculations

As mentioned in the introduction, Wagle²¹ and Zhu²³ have attempted to simulate Reline's vibrational spectrum using ab-initio calculations, albeit with moderate success. In both cases, Reline was modeled using the minimum cluster size of one [Ch]Cl and two urea molecules. Better agreement between calculation and experiment requires expanding the model to include a greater variety of molecular contacts, representative of those we expect to find in Reline. For example, a minimum of two choline cations is needed to illustrate both OH⁻⁻O=C and OH⁻⁻Cl bonding scenarios, as well as "head-to-tail" and centrosymmetric urea⁻⁻urea contacts. Based on the intermolecular distances measured in Hammond's work¹⁶ and on the geometry of the lowest energy 1 [Ch]Cl: 2 Urea clusters, a larger unit (2 [Ch]Cl : 4 Urea) was built and optimized. This extended cluster, depicted in Fig. 1 and schematically with the

intermolecular interactions included, in Fig.2, is the most stable of a few starting structures although, admittedly, the PES search was rather limited. By widening the array of hydrogen bonds represented in the model, depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, much better agreement with experiment was achieved. Note, however, that modelling a liquid using a small discrete cluster is akin to representing a whole movie by a single, zoomed-in frame – thus one must not rely solely on the computational model as a basis for vibrational assignments but rather complement it through comparison with related compounds. The INS spectra calculated using ACLIMAX⁴² are displayed in Fig. 3 (FTIR and Raman spectra, as estimated by discrete calculations, are shown in the supplementary material).

INS spectra collected using TOSCA are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig.S2 (ESI). TOSCA is optimised for the fingerprint region $(0 - 1600 \text{ cm}^{-1})$, so that for higher regions the signal intensity is very weak³³ leading to poor resolution, as depicted in Fig. S3 (ESI). The region above 200 cm⁻¹ in Reline's INS spectrum is satisfactorily described by the discrete cluster approximation (Fig. 3). As expected, the simulation fails for the lowest frequency region, which may only be adequately represented by a three-dimensional lattice. Even though shockfrozen Reline is expected to be quite amorphous, an attempt was made to simulate its phonon modes by creating a 3D array of extended clusters and optimizing it using the CASTEP code. This crude approximation did not succeed in replicating Reline's low frequency modes. As for the remaining regions of the INS spectrum, the discrete cluster and periodic calculations perform similarly in reproducing the experimental spectrum. The advantage of the resource intensive periodic calculation over the much more expeditous discrete route is a better representation of the pure compounds, whose crystalline structures are impossible to reproduce using a small cluster. Therefore, a realistic comparison of calculated and observed frequency shifts when going from pure compounds to their eutectic mixture requires sound models of the pure crystal lattices.

Discrete and periodic calculations, complemented by the wellestablished vibrational analysis of choline chloride⁴⁸ and urea^{39, 49}, have guided the spectral assignment of Reline's INS spectrum, presented in Fig. 4 and Table S2 (ESI).

3.2 Vibrational analysis of low frequency modes: <500 cm⁻¹

The spectrum of the mixture is clearly interaction-dependent, as it cannot be generated by the weighted sum of the spectra of the pure components, as shown in Fig. 4. Moreover, the differences between the spectrum of the mixture and the pure compounds are quite large, evidence for a strong interaction between the two. The sharp modes found below 200 cm⁻¹ in the INS spectra of choline chloride and urea are the external, or lattice, modes. Lattice modes result from collective movements where whole molecules undergo either translation or rotation relative to the crystalline cell axes. Given the same molecular entity, diverse packing arrangements produce distinct spectral profiles. In the INS spectrum of Reline a

very broad profile contrasts with the well-defined lattice peaks of choline chloride and urea, reflecting the total disruption of crystalline order and formation of a diverse and flexible hydrogen bond network within the eutectic mixture. The featureless profile is

Vibrational mode	Experimental frequency/ cm ⁻¹		Estimated frequency (CASTEP)/ cm ⁻¹			
	ChCl	Reline	Δ	ChCl	Reline	Δ
In-phase torsion, τ_1	286	252	-34	293	261	-32
t-of-phase torsion, τ_2	341	296	-45	340	288	-52
Out-of-phase torsion, τ ₃	349	333	-16	351	327	-24

reminiscent of that found in glasses ⁵⁰.

Above 200 cm⁻¹ are the internal modes resulting from torsions and deformations of the molecular skeleton. In this region, all the bands

found in the Reline spectrum have a direct counterpart in the spectra of either of the pure components, with the notable exception of the band at 252 cm⁻¹. Modes in the 300-500 cm⁻¹ interval result from choline's CH₃ torsions and N(CH₃)₃ deformations⁴⁸. Both periodic and discrete calculations suggest these to be complex, with a small contribution of NH₂ wagging vibrations which may accentuate the broadening of Reline's bands, relative to those of choline chloride. In INS spectra, intensity correlates with atomic motion, and is particularly sensitive to the motion of hydrogen atoms. In a 1 [Ch]Cl : 2 Urea mixture, there are 14 hydrogens from choline for every 8 hydrogens from urea. Consequently, the vibrational modes involving choline's C-H moieties are the more prominent in Reline's INS spectrum, often obscuring those from urea.

3.2.1 Chloride anions move away from choline's headroup

The most striking feature in Reline's INS spectrum is the new band found at 252 cm⁻¹. Intense modes in the 200-300 cm⁻¹ region of the INS spectra are usually associated with torsions of methyl groups attached to a carbon atom⁵¹. In pure choline chloride⁴⁸, methyl torsions appear as sharp peaks at 286 cm⁻¹, 341 cm⁻¹ and 349 cm⁻¹, as highlighted in Fig 4. These modes are satisfactorily represented by the CASTEP calculation of the choline chloride crystal, as shown in Table 1. The corresponding torsional modes in Reline – clearly identified by displacement vectors denoting the hindered rotation of methyl groups around the C-N bond – represent the strongest contribution to the calculated INS intensity in the 200-400 cm⁻¹ region. The calculated methyl torsion frequencies can be unequivocally matched with the three maxima at 252 cm⁻¹, 296 cm⁻¹ and 333 cm⁻¹ in Reline's experimental spectrum, depicted in Fig 4. The experimental frequency shifts, relative to the choline chloride

crystal, are in good agreement with those estimated in silico, as attested in Table 1.

Table 1 – Wavenumbers at maximum intensity of ChCl and Reline's methyl torsional modes, as observed experimentally (TOSCA) and estimated in a periodic *ab initio* calculation (CASTEP)

Methyl torsions are very sensitive to their environment^{52, 53}. For the tetramethylammonium cation, methyl torsions are found to signal 'emptier' space in their surroundings: on moving from the crystal to inside zeolites, methyl torsion frequency drops according to the size of the cage, the lowest approaching 200 cm⁻¹ for larger cavities⁵⁴. The red-shift in Reline's torsional modes results from changes in the chemical surroundings of choline's headgroup. In choline chloride, each methyl group is surrounded by chloride anions. A downshift of their torsion frequencies in Reline seems to reveal more free space around choline's cationic core. This observation is consistent with the notion that the newly added urea molecules competitively interact with chloride, effectively snatching it away from its equilibrium position in the crystal through the formation of N-H...Cl bonds. Hammond's neutron diffraction study¹⁶ of Reline reveals the distance between choline's methyl hydrogens and chloride to be a distribution centered at 3 Å. In crystalline choline chloride, the histogram of the shorter CH₃^{...}Cl⁻ distances (as measured from the cif file available at the CCDC database with RefCode:CHOCHL01) may be described by a binomial distribution centered at 2.9 Å. On the other hand, choline cations seem to come closer together in Reline, where the average N"N distance between choline cations is 6.0 Å, while in the pure crystal it is 6.2 Å. These results support the hypothesis that in Reline the cations are pushed together while chloride anions move farther away from choline's methyl groups, resulting in more free space in their surroundings which lowers the torsional barrier causing a drop in the torsional mode frequency.

It should be mentioned that two alternative explanations for the appearance of the band at 252 cm⁻¹ were also considered, involving the blue shift of either urea's 188 cm⁻¹ band or choline's 211 cm⁻¹ band (see Fig. 4). The band at 188 cm⁻¹ is the E3 librational lattice mode of urea, a mode expected to be significantly perturbed upon disruption of the crystal network. Accordingly, calculations estimate urea's librational mode to originate several close bands in the 130-190 cm⁻¹ region in Reline, contributing to the higher frequency side of the very broad profile found in the first 200 cm⁻¹ of Reline's spectra. In what concerns the choline chloride's band at 211 cm⁻¹, previously identified as a C-C torsion⁴⁸, both discrete and periodic calculations suggest it also involves an intermolecular stretching of the OH"Cl bond. The strengthening of hydrogen bonds established by OH to cause a blue shift would result in the concomitant decrease in O-H stretching frequency, which is not observed experimentally, as discussed in another section. Moreover, both periodic and discrete calculations predict the C-C torsion/intermolecular stretch mode to spread among closely lying modes under 180 cm⁻¹. This may be an additional contribution to

ARTICLE

the weak broad band seen in the 160-180 cm⁻¹ region of Reline's spectrum.

3.3 Vibrational analysis of skeletal modes: 500-1700 cm⁻¹

The INS spectrum recorded at TOSCA primarily targets the low wavenumber region, degrading in resolution with increasing energy transfer. The MAPS instrument, more flexible than TOSCA, allows a better description of the high wavenumber region, so the spectra recorded with MAPS will be shown, along with FTIR and Raman for ease of interpretation. Although the latter have been previously discussed, a few interesting details concerning the skeletal mode region have yet to be brought to light.

One such curious feature in the Raman spectrum of Reline, shown in Fig. 5, is the appearance of a new band signaling the presence of a choline conformer which is not present in the [Ch]Cl crystal.

3.3.1 Small population of *trans* choline conformer in the liquid mixture

Choline's v CN modes are sensitive to the conformation of the N⁺-C-C-O backbone, which may assume the gauche or trans configuration⁵⁵. In the choline chloride crystal only the *gauche* form is present, as signaled by the presence of a strong and sharp band at 719 cm⁻¹ assigned to the symmetric v C-N. In the eutectic mixture, the corresponding v C–N mode is found at 714 cm^{-1} . A weak band at 767 cm⁻¹ is a novel feature of Reline, having no counterpart in the pure components spectra. An analogous mixture with deuterated urea reveals that the 767 cm⁻¹ band remains in place, therefore the latter originates from the choline cation, pointing to the existence of choline in the trans conformation. The weak intensity of this band, compared to the one at 714 cm^{-1} suggests that the *gauche* form still predominates in the liquid. Similarly, in aqueous solutions of choline iodide⁵⁵, the *trans* conformer is a minority species. The 767 cm⁻¹ band in Reline's Raman spectrum disappears when the mixture undergoes pressure induced crystallization²⁶, confirming the notion that the *trans* conformation is not energetically accessible in solid form, a disadvantage likely stemming from its bent orbital alignment¹⁵. While the majority of choline cations conserve their structure upon forming the eutectic, urea undergoes a more drastic change in shape.

3.3.2 Urea's shape in reline is pyramidal

Urea's structure is classically understood as an equilibrium among resonance forms^{49, 56, 57}. The mesomer population ratio varies in adaptation to the environment resulting in a planar structure, as found in the crystal, or deviating from planarity, as in the gas phase⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰. In Reline, urea adopts a non-planar shape, as depicted in Fig. 6. In the gas phase, urea's dominant mesomer has sp3 hybridization at the N atom, resulting in pyramidal NH₂ groups, and the C=O has double bond character. However, the lone pair located

at N is in resonance with the C=O bond. As the latter accepts hydrogen bonds, its bond order reduces while the lone pair's density delocalizes across the peptide linkage, strengthening the C–N bond. The resulting hybridization of sp2+p forces the NH₂ moieties into the planar geometry found in urea's crystal.

Variations in the C-N bond length will be reflected in the frequency of its stretching and deformation vibrations, with lower frequencies indicating weaker C-N bonds, hence higher relative contribution of non-planar resonance structures. Urea's $v_{sym}C-N$, very intense in the Raman spectrum, often serves as a probe of urea's shape in different media $^{49}.$ In the spectrum of the crystal $v_{\text{sym}}\text{C-N}$ is at 1010 cm⁻¹ yet in the gas phase, a band at 934 cm⁻¹ announces the weak C-N bond typical of the pyramidal (sp3) arrangement. In aqueous solution, a $v_{svm}C-N$ at 1003 cm⁻¹ indicates an intermediate shape which lies closer to planarity than to full pyramidality. In Reline, urea is slightly more pyramidal than in water, as indicated by the broad band centered at 996 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectrum shown in Fig. 7. Accordingly, the $v_{asym}C-N$ and δNCN vibrations also undergo red-shift and broadening when going from the crystal to the mixture. The broad nature of these bands in Reline is a reflection of urea's greater structural flexibility in the liquid.

Another consequence of urea's non planarity in Reline is the shift of the vCO and δNH_2 modes, whose highly coupled nature complicates their assignment, as attested by the conflicting attributions found in the literature. Due to the resonant stabilization mentioned above, the vCO and δNH_2 vibrational modes are coupled and both contribute to the bands found in the 1500-1700 cm⁻¹ region of urea's infrared spectrum. According to Keuleers and co-workers⁴⁹, the band at lower frequency (1598 cm⁻¹) has more vCO character while the one at higher frequency (1683 cm⁻¹) has greater δNH_2 contribution. The order reverses as one goes from the crystal to the non-planar urea molecule in the gas phase where vCO sits at 1734 cm⁻¹ while δNH_2 is found at 1592 cm⁻¹. Hence, the positioning of these vibrational modes, just as the C-N modes discussed above, serve as a probe of urea's shape in a given medium.

In Reline's infrared spectrum (Fig.8b), two broad bands with maxima at 1606 and 1660 cm⁻¹ are visible in the 1500-1700 cm⁻¹ region. It has been unclear, so far, which of these bands has a higher contribution of vCO, with some authors ^{17, 22} arguing that it is the lower frequency band, as in crystalline urea, while others claim the reverse is true ^{21, 23, 37}.

Distinguishing the nature of each mode is easier in the INS spectrum of Reline, presented in Figure 8a) along with those of choline chloride and urea. Due to the motion of hydrogen atoms dominating INS spectra, the δNH_2 mode is stronger than vCO, as clearly seen in urea's INS spectrum, where the band at 1650 cm⁻¹ registers the higher intensity. In Reline's INS spectrum, the corresponding band is in the 1620 cm⁻¹ region, indicating a reversing of the vCO and δNH_2 positions relative to the urea crystal.

The new position of vCO is confirmed by the infrared spectrum of a eutectic mixture prepared with deuterated urea, depicted in Fig. 8b), showing a broad band at 1612 cm⁻¹. This band corresponds to the pure vCO vibration. As a result of deuteration, δNH_2 has moved downfield to the 1200 cm⁻¹ region, thus decoupling from vCO. Discrete ab-initio calculations of the deuterated eutectic mixture predict that upon isotopic exchange the vCO band shifts down by 40 cm⁻¹ and registers an infrared intensity increase of 50 %. Accordingly, the 1660 cm⁻¹ band in Reline red-shifts by 48 cm⁻¹ and increases in intensity by 40 %.

The spectroscopic evidence herein presented suffices to confidently assign the 1660 cm⁻¹ band as the one with stronger vCO component while the one at 1606 cm⁻¹ has greater δNH_2 contribution. This situation is equivalent to that of urea in aqueous solutions ⁴⁹, where vCO is the higher frequency band, at 1664 cm⁻¹ while δNH_2 is downfield, at 1592 cm⁻¹. Therefore, urea's shape in Reline is nonplanar, an intermediate state between the fully planar (sp2) structure found in the crystal and the pyramidal (sp3) shape it adopts in the gas phase.

3.4 Vibrational analysis of stretching modes: 3000 – 3600 cm⁻¹

The infrared spectra of the eutectic mixture and its pure components are depicted in Fig. 9. The greatest changes occur in the region above 3000 cm⁻¹, where urea's N-H and choline's O-H stretching modes lie, the latter having a modest contribution due the low OH/NH ratio (1/8). The complex profile of this spectral region may be divided into three main sections: a lower frequency band at 3188 cm⁻¹, a central component with a maximum at 3315 cm⁻¹ plus a higher frequency shoulder at 3417 cm⁻¹. The estimated infrared spectrum of Reline, calculated using the discrete model, reveals several vibrational modes which contribute to this structured profile, depicted in Fig. 10. According to the model:

- The high frequency shoulder at 3417 cm⁻¹ stems from urea's NH₂ asymmetric stretching modes;
- the central region at 3315 cm⁻¹ arises from the symmetric stretching of NH₂ moieties involved in NH^{TC}OH, NH^{TC}CI^T and NH^{TC}O=C "head-to-tail" contacts;
- the low frequency band at 3188 cm⁻¹ is a composite of O-H and N-H stretching of moieties involved in OH^{...}Cl⁻, OH^{...}O=C and NH^{...}O=C centrosymmetric dimer contacts.

As mentioned before, one must be careful not to rely heavily on a discrete calculation when simulating a liquid, especially so in the stretching region, where a realistic representation would require a much larger and dynamic model. Nevertheless, the calculated frequencies provide a guide of the main contributions to each region of the experimental spectrum – which should be complemented, where appropriate, by comparisons with parent compounds and previous assignments made by other authors.

3.4.1 Stretching N-H region, revisited

The assignment of the higher wavenumber components of this region is straightforward, although the significance of the observed vibrational shifts deserves further discussion. In the infrared spectrum of pure urea (Fig. 9), two strong bands at 3333 cm⁻¹ and 3427 cm⁻¹ are assigned to v_sNH_2 and $v_{as}NH_2$, respectively. The corresponding modes in Reline's spectrum give rise to the maximum at 3315 cm⁻¹ and the shoulder at 3417 cm⁻¹. Their significant broadening reflects the increase in spatial heterogeneity of the liquid mixture, compared with the strictly ordered urea crystal. The small red-shifts of -18 and -10 cm⁻¹ have been previously interpreted as an indicator of the N-H⁻⁻⁻X hydrogen bond strengthening in Reline¹⁷.

If hydrogen bonding was the only effect at play, this direct reasoning would hold true. However, changing from a planar (sp2) into a pyramidal (sp3) configuration weakens the N-H bond, leading by itself to a significant red-shift of the vNH₂ modes⁶¹. Discrete abinitio calculations predict v_sNH_2 and $v_{as}NH_2$ to red-shift by -38 cm⁻¹ and -55 cm⁻¹, respectively, when going from planar to pyramidal urea. The shift between crystalline urea and urea in Reline must be lower, since the shape of urea in the mixture is far from the fully pyramidal (sp3) configuration found in the gas phase (see Figs. 6, 7 and related discussion). In fact, considering the isolated urea shape to be 100% pyramidal and using the vsCN frequency as a gauge of pyramidality, one estimates that in Reline urea is 20% pyramidal. Therefore, loss of planarity alone accounts for shifts of approximately -8 cm⁻¹ and -11 cm⁻¹ in urea's v_sNH_2 and $v_{as}NH_2$ modes. The observed red-shifts, which are close to those estimated for the loss of planarity's contribution, are then unlikely to stem from a strengthening of urea^murea interactions in Reline. This result is to be expected, since the extensively cooperative hydrogen bond network found in crystalline urea⁶² is disrupted in the eutectic mixture giving rise to softer NH^{TC}OH contacts as well as N-H^{TC}O=C bonds in a "head-to-tail" motif whose v_sNH₂ modes contribute to the absorption intensity in the 3315 cm⁻¹ region. If this was not the case, molecular mobility would be restricted and Reline would likely melt at higher temperatures.

The assignment of the lower frequency component, centred at ca. 3188 cm⁻¹, is a matter of some controversy. There is reason to believe this band is a composite of several contributions, including newly formed interactions partly responsible for the deviation from ideality that justify the pronounced melting point depression of reline.

This band has been ascribed¹⁷ to a combination mode in Fermi resonance with vNH₂, by analogy to the shoulder observed in crystalline urea at 3256 cm⁻¹. Although this contribution may be present, it is unlikely to account for the total intensity of the band. Another modest contribution is from choline's vOH, expected to generate a broad band in this region (see discussion below). The

ARTICLE

remainder of the lower frequency band's intensity must then be accounted for by urea's vN-H modes. This claim is supported by the increase in intensity at 3188 cm⁻¹ when doubling the molar fraction of urea, going from the equimolar choline chloride/urea mixture, shown in Fig. 9 as [Ch]Cl:Urea 1:1, to the eutectic mixture (Reline). Since no more OH groups were introduced, the greater intensity must be attributed to the formation of stronger N-H^{TT}X hydrogen bonds.

The often cited driving force for the melting point depression involves the formation of stronger hydrogen bonds between a subpopulation of urea molecules and chloride anions. One would expect to find their vNH modes at lower frequencies and thus assume that N-H"Cl⁻ moieties would contribute to the intense band at 3188 cm⁻¹ in Reline's infrared spectrum, as suggested earlier by Wagle²¹ and colleagues. This is a feasible hypothesis, since the infrared spectrum of urea hydrochloride⁶³ has an intense band centered roughly at 3200 cm⁻¹. However, the discrete model places these modes in the central region of the spectrum. In the absence of sufficiently strong evidence to locate the vNH...Cl⁻ mode we are thus unable to ascertain whether the formation of strong urea⁻⁻chloride bonds is, as has been believed, or isn't, an important factor leading to the melting point depression.

Instead, it is safe to assume that another factor, not discussed before, plays an important role in Reline's deviation from ideality. There is theoretical and experimental evidence for the formation of centrosymmetric urea dimers, held by strong hydrogen bonds⁶ which contribute to the 3188 cm⁻¹ band of Reline's infrared spectrum. As shown in Fig. 10, discrete ab-initio calculations indicate the vN-H...O=C of the centrosymmetric dimer as contributing to the lower frequency vN-H bands of reline's spectrum. Experimental support comes from matrix isolation studies of urea and acetamide, whose gas phase infrared spectra only have two sharp peaks above 3400 cm⁻¹ for the isolated molecule. After annealing, a strong band in the 3200 cm⁻¹ region arises from the bonded N-H stretch of the cyclic dimers and trimers^{58, 65, 66}. The radial distribution functions estimated by Zahn³¹ support the existence of centrosymmetric contacts between ureas in Reline since the H atoms which are cis to the C=O bond have a strong preference for neighboring with oxygen atoms from another urea, while the trans H atoms prefer to interact with the chloride anion.

The hypothesis that a fraction of N-H contacts is stronger in Reline may seem to contradict the previous assertion that urea deviates from planarity, a scenario usually associated with less hydrogen bonded environments. However, the formation of one or two strong hydrogen bonds, such as in the urea centrosymmetric dimer, does not guarantee planarity. For that to occur there must be H-bond acceptors conveniently placed next to all four hydrogens of urea. Otherwise, the hydrogens not directly involved in hydrogen bonding will deviate even further from planarity⁶⁷. Therefore, the existence of a sub-population of urea molecules involved in

stronger hydrogen bonding is not in conflict with the overall deviation from planarity observed.

The centrosymmetric dimer motif is absent in crystalline urea, whose long chains are the most stable in the "head-to-tail" configuration, while for dimers, the most stable geometry is cyclic⁶⁴. The formation of cyclic dimers frustrates crystallization by competing with the formation of "head-to-tail" chains, likely one the factors leading to Reline's pronounced deviation from ideality.

3.4.2 The elusive vOH - a deuteration saga

The location of choline's vOH mode in Reline is an important piece of the hydrogen bonding puzzle since it reflects the strength of the hydroxyl's interactions with chloride and urea. The vOH has been previously assigned by Perkins and colleagues¹⁷ to a shoulder at 3256 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum of Reline although no evidence supporting this claim was provided. Based on the discrete ab-initio model, the relatively strong OH⁻⁻Cl⁻ and OH⁻⁻O=C contacts would give rise to stretching modes in the 3200 cm⁻¹ region. Searching for further proof, we have attempted to isolate this vibrational mode, to no avail, due to fast isotopic exchange between hydroxyl and amine groups.

With a view to pulling apart the vNH and vOH vibrational bands, selective deuteration experiments were performed using urea-D4 and/or OD substituted choline chloride ([Ch]Cl-OD). Their FTIR spectra, taken immediately after preparation, are displayed in Fig. 11. The spectral changes, with increasing deuterium content, observed in the OH/NH region mirror those in the OD/ND region. At first glance, the broad band at 3316 cm^{-1} in Fig. 11 spectrum (b) (a 1:2 mixture of [Ch]ClOH with Urea-D4) seemed to stem from vOH. Repeated spectrum collection, for weeks after preparing the mixture, detected no changes. Therefore, either isotopic exchange is extremely slow, and the band at 3316 cm⁻¹ has to be vOH, or isotopic exchange is extremely fast and the band is a mixture of vNH and vOH contributions. By preparing a blend of (b) and (d), shown as spectrum (c), whose profile is clearly distinct from its original components, the fast exchange hypothesis was proven therefore, it is not possible to isolate vOH. In mixture (d) there are 8 deuterium atoms in urea for each hydrogen in choline's OH, so that after isotopic exchange there is 1 OH : 8 NH and the dominant substituted urea species is (ND2)CO(NDH). Therefore, the vNH contribution to the 3316 cm⁻¹ band far exceeds that of vOH and discerning where vOH's contribution lies proved to be a fruitless endeavor.

However, one may deduce that, upon forming the eutectic, the vOH band either maintains the same frequency as in [Ch]Cl (3222 cm⁻¹) or slightly increases. In spectrum b), if vOH contributes weakly to the 3316 cm⁻¹ band then it must have its center between 3200 and 3400 cm⁻¹. Therefore, in Reline, the hydrogen bonds formed by choline's OH are of comparable strength or, possibly, slightly weaker than those found in the [Ch]Cl crystal. The similar interaction scenario is in line with the average OH⁻⁻Cl distance found

in Hammond's¹⁶ neutron diffraction study, which is the same as in the ChCl crystal.

4. Conclusions

A blend of spectroscopic and computational tools has been used to probe the molecular conformation of Reline's constituents. Representing the full complexity and diversity of Reline's intermolecular interactions using a discrete cluster may remain an impossible task, yet achieving a satisfactory (albeit incomplete) representation at low computational cost is a goal within reach. Two choline chloride and four urea units is the minimum amount of building blocks needed to represent the most important interactions likely to exist in the eutectic mixture. The INS intensities of the internal vibrational modes of Reline, estimated from a discrete ab-initio calculation, are a satisfactory match to experiment. Little improvement is achieved by running a periodic calculation of the aggregate, save for the estimation of external modes, for which discrete calculations are wholly inadequate.

The low frequency region of Reline's inelastic neutron scattering spectrum shows a significant red-shift of choline's CH_3 torsional modes compared to the choline chloride crystal. In the crystal, chloride anions sit at the top of three methyl groups, serving as linkers which hold choline cations together while hindering CH_3 torsions. Upon forming the eutectic mixture the anion is displaced away from choline's headgroup, freeing up space around methyl groups and lowering their torsional barrier. The red-shift of choline's CH_3 torsions is thus a fine illustration of the tetraalkylammonium salt's lattice disruption.

Overall, the choline cation skeletal structure is fairly conserved in Reline except for a small population whose NCCO backbone shifts into the *trans* conformation. Energetically inaccessible in the pure crystal, the presence of *trans* conformers in both Reline and aqueous solution denote a freer rotation of the C-C bond in the liquid state. Still, the *gauche* conformer predominates and should be the geometry used to represent the choline cation in future abinitio calculations, a practice not always observed so far.

Urea undergoes drastic changes upon forming the eutectic, deviating from the planar (sp2) structure it prefers in the crystal to assume a non-planar shape, intermediate between that of the solid and the fully pyramidal (sp3) structure of the isolated molecule. Loss of planarity is a direct response to the disruption of urea's highly ordered and cooperative interactions in the crystal, relaxing into the fluctuating and flexible hydrogen bond network of Reline, an environment where a non-planar shape is more advantageous. The flexibility of urea in the mixture is attested by its broad N-H stretching profile, denouncing a wide range of intermolecular contacts with varying strengths, from the stronger N-H...O=C bonds of the centrosymmetric dimer to the softer "head-to-tail" contacts. Likewise, choline's headgroup is involved in weaker interactions

with chloride anions while the hydroxyl moiety interacts more strongly with \mbox{Cl} .

Significantly stronger hydrogen bonds among Reline's components would lead to a stiffer network, with higher melting point, while much weaker interactions would hinder mixing. Eutectic behavior emerges when "Goldilocks" conditions are achieved and a perfect balance of opposite effects allows two crystalline components to mix, while preventing them from settling into a co-crystal, at room temperature.

Acknowledgements

This work was developed within the scope of the project CICECO-Aveiro Institute of Materials, POCI-01-0145-FEDER-007679 (FCT Ref. UID /CTM /50011/2013) and project DEEPBIOREFINERY (PTDC/AGR-TEC/1191/2014), financed by national funds through the FCT/MEC and when appropriate co-financed by FEDER under the PT2020 Partnership Agreement

The STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory is thanked for access to neutron beam facilities. CASTEP calculations were made possible due to the computing resources provided by STFC Scientific Computing Department's SCARF cluster.

References

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

- Q. H. Zhang, K. D. Vigier, S. Royer and F. Jerome, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2012, 41, 7108-7146.
- D. Carriazo, M. C. Serrano, M. C. Gutierrez, M. L. Ferrer and F. del Monte, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2012, 41, 4996-5014.
 - M. Francisco, A. van den Bruinhorst and M. C. Kroon, *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 2013, 52, 3074-3085.
 - B. K. Tang and K. H. Row, *Monatshefte Fur Chemie*, 2013, 144, 1427-1454.
 - E. L. Smith, A. P. Abbott and K. S. Ryder, *Chemical Reviews*, 2014, 114, 11060-11082.
 - D. V. Wagle, H. Zhao and G. A. Baker, Accounts of Chemical Research, 2014, 47, 2299-2308.
 - G. Garcia, S. Aparicio, R. Ullah and M. Atilhan, *Energy Fuels*, 2015, 29, 2616-2644.
- A. P. Abbott, G. Capper, D. L. Davies, R. K. Rasheed and V. Tambyrajah, *Chemical Communications*, 2003, 70-71.

ARTICLE

- X. Q. Meng, K. Ballerat-Busserolles, P. Husson and J. M. Andanson, New Journal of Chemistry, 2016, 40, 4492-4499.
- 10. G. Garcia, M. Atilhan and S. Aparicio, *Chemical Physics Letters*, 2015, 634, 151-155.
- 11. S. Zahn, B. Kirchner and D. Mollenhauer, *Chemphyschem*, 2016, 17, 3354-3358.
- A. Abbott, D. Davies, G. Capper, R. Rasheed and V. Tambyrajah, Scionix Limited, London (GB), U.S.A., 2007, ch. US007183433B2.
- 13. S. Saito, M. Lee and W. Y. Wen, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1966, 88, 5107-5112;.
- 14. Q. Li and T. C. W. Mak, Acta Crystallographica Section B-Structural Science, 1998, 54, 180-192.
- 15. C. R. Ashworth, R. P. Matthews, T. Welton and P. A. Hunt, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2016, 18, 18145-18160.
- 16. O. S. Hammond, D. T. Bowron and K. J. Edler, *Green Chemistry*, 2016, 18, 2736-2744.
- 17. S. L. Perkins, P. Painter and C. M. Colina, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2013, 117, 10250-10260.
- 18. S. L. Perkins, P. Painter and C. M. Colina, *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data*, 2014, 59, 3652-3662.
- 19. D. Shah and F. S. Mjalli, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2014, 16, 23900-23907.
- 20. D. V. Wagle, G. A. Baker and E. Mamontov, *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 2015, 6, 2924-2928.
- 21. D. V. Wagle, C. A. Deakyne and G. A. Baker, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2016, 120, 6739-6746.
- 22. D. Y. Yue, Y. Z. Jia, Y. Yao, J. H. Sun and Y. Jing, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2012, 65, 30-36.
- S. W. Zhu, H. P. Li, W. S. Zhu, W. Jiang, C. Wang, P. W. Wu, Q. Zhang and H. M. Li, *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling*, 2016, 68, 158-175.
- C. D'Agostino, R. C. Harris, A. P. Abbott, L. F. Gladden and M. D. Mantle, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2011, 13, 21383-21391.
- 25. H. Sun, Y. Li, X. Wu and G. H. Li, *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, 2013, 19, 2433-2441.
- 26. C. S. Yuan, K. K. Chu, H. N. Li, L. Su, K. Yang, Y. Q. Wang and X. D. Li, *Chemical Physics Letters*, 2016, 661, 240-245.

- 27. A. Pandey and S. Pandey, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2014, 118, 14652-14661.
- 28. C. Zhang, Y. Z. Jia, Y. Jing, H. Y. Wang and K. Hong, *Journal* of *Molecular Modeling*, 2014, 20.
- 29. H. Monhemi, M. R. Housaindokht, A. A. Moosavi-Movahedi and M. R. Bozorgmehr, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2014, 16, 14882-14893.
- 30. S. Kaur, A. Gupta and H. K. Kashyap, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2016, 120, 6712-6720.
- 31. S. Zahn, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2017, 19, 4041-4047.
- 32. R. Stefanovic, M. Ludwig, G. B. Webber, R. Atkin and A. J. Page, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 19, 3297-3306.
- 33. S. F. Parker, D. Lennon and P. W. Albers, *Applied Spectroscopy*, 2011, 65, 1325-1341.
- D. Colognesi, M. Celli, F. Cilloco, R. J. Newport, S. F.
 Parker, V. Rossi-Albertini, F. Sacchetti, J. Tomkinson and M. Zoppi, *Applied Physics A*, 2002, 74, S64-S66.
- S. F. Parker, F. Fernandez-Alonso, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, J. Tomkinson, S. Rudic, R. S. Pinna, G. Gorini and J. F. Castanon, *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 2014, 554, 012003.
- S. F. Parker, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, P. W. Albers and D. Lennon, *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, 2014, 554, 012004.
- B. Bozzini, B. Busson, C. Humbert, C. Mele and A. Tadjeddine, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2016, 218, 208-215.
- S. J. Clark, M. D. Segall, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, M. J. Probert, K. Refson and M. C. Payne, *Zeitschrift Fur Kristallographie*, 2005, 220, 567-570.
- 39. M. R. Johnson, K. Parlinski, I. Natkaniec and B. S. Hudson, *Chemical Physics*, 2003, 291, 53-60.
- 40. ISIS-RAL-STFC, TOSCA Database, http://www.isis2.isis.rl.ac.uk/INSdatabase/Theindex.asp.
- G. W. T. M. J. Frisch, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T.

Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.

- 42. A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, *Computer Physics Communications*, 2004, 157, 226-238.
- 43. NIST, Precomputed vibrational scaling factors, http://cccbdb.nist.gov/vibscalejust.asp.
- 44. M. Tarini, P. Cignoni and C. Montani, *leee Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 2006, 12, 1237-1244.
- 45. K. Refson, P. R. Tulip and S. J. Clark, *Physical Review B*, 2006, 73.
- 46. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Physical Review Letters*, 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- 47. V. Milman, A. Perlov, K. Refson, S. J. Clark, J. Gavartin and
 B. Winkler, *Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter*, 2009, 21.
- 48. A. Pawlukojc and L. Hetmanczyk, *Chemical Physics*, 2014, 445, 31-37.
- 49. A. Keuleers, H. O. Desseyn, B. Rousseau and C. Van Alsenoy, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 1999, 103, 4621-4630.
- 50. P. W. Albers, J. Glenneberg, K. Refson and S. F. Parker, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 140, 164504.

 P. C. H. Mitchell, S. F. Parker, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta and J. Tomkinson, in *Vibrational Spectroscopy with Neutrons: With Applications in Chemistry, Biology, Materials Science and Catalysis*, ed. P. C. H. Mitchell, World Scientific Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2005, vol. 3, ch. 8, pp. 374-378.

- 52. C. I. Ratcliffe and T. C. Waddington, *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions 2*, 1976, 72, 1935-1956.
- 53. J. Eckert, T. D. Sewell, J. D. Kress, E. M. Kober, L. L. Wang and G. Olah, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 2004, 108, 11369-11374.
- 54. J. M. Newsam, T. O. Brun, F. Trouw, L. E. Iton and L. A. Curtiss, in *Novel Materials in Heterogeneous Catalysis*,

American Chemical Society, 1990, vol. 437, ch. 3, pp. 25-37.

- 55. H. Akutsu, *Biochemistry*, 1981, 20, 7359-7366.
- 56. P. Vaughan and J. Donohue, *Acta Crystallographica*, 1952, 5, 530-535.
- 57. J. I. Mujika, J. M. Matxain, L. A. Eriksson and X. Lopez, *Chemistry-a European Journal*, 2006, 12, 7215-7224.
- 58. S. T. King, Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular Spectroscopy, 1972, A 28, 165-175;.
- 59. J. C. Dobrowolski, R. Kolos, J. Sadlej and A. P. Mazurek, Vibrational Spectroscopy, 2002, 29, 261-282.
- B. Rousseau, C. Van Alsenoy, R. Keuleers and H. O.
 Desseyn, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 1998, 102, 6540-6548.
- 61. P. Hobza and V. Spirko, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2003, 5, 1290-1294.
- 62. M. D. Esrafili, J. Beheshtian and N. L. Hadipour, International Journal of Quantum Chemistry, 2011, 111, 3184-3195.
- 63. NIST, Urea Hydrochloride IR Spectrum, http://webbook.nist.gov/cgi/cbook.cgi?ID=C506898&Mas k=80#IR-Spec
- 64. A. Masunov and J. J. Dannenberg, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2000, 104, 806-810.
- 65. J. Langer, B. Schrader, V. Bastian and E. Jacob, *Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 1995, 352, 489-495.
- 66. M. Spoliti, G. Perrone, L. Bencivenni, A. Pieretti, A. Grandi and F. Ramondo, *Journal of Molecular Structure-Theochem*, 2005, 756, 113-126.
- J. A. Platts, H. Maarof, K. D. M. Harris, G. K. Lim and D. J. Willock, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2012, 14, 11944-11952.



Figure 1. Molecular representation of the crystal lattices of a) choline chloride and b) urea along with c) the optimized geometry of Reline's model. [Ch]Cl's unit cell is viewed down the c axis while urea's is shown along the b axis. The .cif files for urea and choline chloride's crystal structures are available at the CCDC database with refcodes UREAXX25 and CHOCHL01, respectively.

22x6mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the 2[Ch]Cl :4Urea cluster, highlighting the most relevant intermolecular contacts present in Reline (dashed lines) and those already present in the pure solids (dotted lines).

20x16mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Reline's INS spectra, recorded using TOSCA (top) are compared with those estimated in silico by a periodic (middle) and a discrete (bottom) calculation.

41x23mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4. Low frequency region of the INS spectra of Reline (top), choline chloride (middle) and urea (bottom), collected using the TOSCA instrument at 20K.

37x32mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Wavenumber /cm⁻¹

Figure 5. Raman spectra of choline chloride and reline in the symmetric vCN region. The stretching bands arising from choline cations in the gauche and trans conformation are highlighted along with molecular models of the two isomers.

35x36mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 6. Comparison of urea's planar (left) and pyramidal (right) architectures. The C-N and C-O bond lengths (r) displayed, as well as the torsion angles (θ), result from CASTEP calculations on urea's crystal and Reline's model aggregate. In Reline's model each urea molecule has different structural parameters so that the average value is shown. The average calculated θ (O-C-N-H) for the isolated urea molecule in the fully pyramidal configuration is 160°.

30x18mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 7. Selected regions of the Raman and infrared spectra of Reline (top) and its pure components (bottom) illustrating the red-shift of urea's C-N vibrations when going from the planar structure found in the crystal to the non-planar shape it adopts in reline.

39x24mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 8. a) INS spectra of Reline (top), choline chloride and urea (bottom), recorded using MAPS, with an incident energy of 2016 cm-1 ($0 \le Q \le 9 \text{ Å-1}$); b) FTIR-ATR spectrum of reline and its partially deuterated version, prepared with urea-D4.

80x173mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Wavenumber /cm⁻¹

Figure 9. FTIR-ATR spectra in the stretching region of Reline (1C:2U) (top), choline chloride (middle) and urea's (bottom). The dashed line corresponds to the 1:1 liquid mixture (1C:1U) and stress the contribution of urea to the band profile.

42x56mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Normal mode displacement vectors and harmonic frequencies for the NH and OH stretching modes of selected pairs, as calculated using the cluster model. From top to bottom, increasing wavenumber: vNH (centrosymmetric dimer); vOH (Choline...Cl and Choline...Urea); symmetric vNH₂ (Urea...Choline, Urea...Cl and Urea...Urea), asymmetric vNH₂ (Urea...Cl and Urea...Urea).

56x87mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 11. The vND and vOD region (left) and the vNH and vOH region (righ) of Reline's FTIR-ATR spectrum for mixtures with increasing deuterium content (% atom D).

35x20mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Choline Chloride Urea×2

29x18mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Inelastic Neutron Scattering study of Reline:

shedding light on the hydrogen bonding network of deep eutectic solvents

Supporting Material

C. Araujo *^{*a*}, J. A. P. Coutinho ^{*a*}, M. M. Nolasco ^{*a*}, S.F. Parker ^{*b*}, P. J. A. Ribeiro-Claro *^{*a*},

S. Rudić ^b, B. I. G. Soares ^a, P. D. Vaz ^{b,c}

^a CICECO – Aveiro Institute of Materials, Department of Chemistry, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

^b ISIS Neutron & Muon Source, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, UK

^{*c*} CQB, Departamento de Química e Bioquímica, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal.

* prc@ua.pt ; catarina.araujo@ua.pt

Figure S1. Optimized geometry of the aggregate used to model Reline, with dotted lines evidencing hydrogen bonding sites. This image was rendered using the ChemCraft program (http://www.chemcraftprog.com).



Table S1. Coordinates of reline's model optimized geometry

Ν	2.261656000	-1.120176000	1.554849000
С	1.884686000	-2.570277000	1.381075000
Н	0.795557000	-2.631545000	1.318832000
Н	2.354022000	-2.929988000	0.464392000
Н	2.254961000	-3.133264000	2.240184000
С	1.782484000	-0.375613000	0.326072000
Н	0.695970000	-0.461676000	0.288043000
Н	2.094478000	0.664398000	0.398277000
Н	2.244938000	-0.853027000	-0.538266000
С	3.757755000	-1.008761000	1.654905000
Н	4.039325000	0.043510000	1.663160000
Н	4.084301000	-1.502591000	2.572665000
Н	4.191252000	-1.493624000	0.779641000
С	1.572938000	-0.617532000	2.823958000
С	1.937739000	0.779489000	3.329107000
Н	1.838213000	-1.342620000	3.600849000
Н	0.498739000	-0.691857000	2.626709000
Н	1.498365000	0.832744000	4.335020000
Н	3.021125000	0.896548000	3.453251000
0	1.405899000	1.835013000	2.558679000
Н	2.129833000	2.286899000	2.053083000
Cl	3.421576000	3.016832000	0.643392000
С	6.243184000	0.805734000	-0.758686000
0	7.062892000	-0.133275000	-0.859430000
Ν	4.979846000	0.738012000	-1.268544000
Н	4.635185000	-0.190376000	-1.491425000

Н	4.303894000	1.438336000	-0.970757000
Ν	6.583449000	1.990368000	-0.167703000
Н	7.471797000	2.005583000	0.307728000
Н	5.851532000	2.620825000	0.149406000
С	5.021727000	-2.944975000	-1.944157000
0	4.135155000	-2.239474000	-1.422049000
Ν	4.692266000	-4.164161000	-2.514733000
Н	3.706577000	-4.279897000	-2.699431000
Н	5.310530000	-4.575694000	-3.199152000
Ν	6.326711000	-2.600434000	-1.944599000
Н	6.615429000	-1.691375000	-1.538881000
Н	7.027562000	-3.193988000	-2.359306000
Ν	-5.377316000	-1.744640000	0.679953000
С	-5.069964000	-0.386299000	1.276096000
C	-4.594471000	-1.916891000	-0.599944000
C	-4.912416000	-2.790485000	1.662951000
C	-6.873328000	-1.922510000	0.450519000
C	-7.503516000	-1.171665000	-0.728148000
0	-7 471576000	0 227514000	-0 594277000
Н	-5 610560000	-0.304522000	2 220496000
н	-5 410547000	0 383029000	0 590441000
н	-3 990923000	-0 339928000	1 439295000
н	-3 533050000	-1 867788000	-0 341045000
н	-4 847054000	-2 890306000	-1 026170000
н	-4 859636000	-1 117384000	-1 291255000
н	-3 847382000	-2 624502000	1 850713000
н	-5.076916000	-3 7778/1000	1 228019000
н	-5 / 92117000	-2 685790000	2 581793000
н	-7 357073000	-1 6237/9000	1 38/321000
н	-7.032036000	-2 9959/0000	0 303723000
н	-7.053599000	-1 /192986000	-1 675220000
н	-8 5/989/000	-1 511721000	-0 7//7/7000
н	-6 852855000	0.592652000	-1 276847000
C	-1 643071000	-1 393876000	1 291338000
C	-0.785317000	3 036077000	-0.409125000
N	-0.783317000	2 09/931000	0.405125000
н	-0.784723000	1 3//859000	0.532135000
н	-0.062117000	2 0361/15000	1 2787/3000
N	0.252862000	3 920205000	-0.418688000
ы	0.232802000	J.J20203000	1 212757000
ц	1 153285000	4.341373000	0.006692000
\cap	1,133283000	3.126557000	1 253/06000
C C	-1.713879000 4 540881000	1 5/5218000	-1.233490000
C N	4.540881000	2 502550000	1 119465000
	-4.322179000	2.303330000	-1.118403000
	-5.407595000	2.870598000	-0.808027000
L] M	-3.0050/3000	3.034033000 1.210762000	-0.3/0/30000
	-3.302214000	1.518/02000	-2.713000000
п Ц	-3.324108000	0.302331000	00000105070
	-2.32914/000		-2.455402000
U	-2.2/3183000	0.00002000	-2.53/35/000

Table S2. A	Assignment of	reline's vibration	al modes.	The first three	columns li	ist the vibrational
frequency i	in cm-1.					

50 62 48 Lattice mode INS 64 74 Lattice mode INS 77 80 72 Lattice mode INS 84 90 Lattice mode INS 112 107 Lattice mode INS 112 126 Lattice mode INS 1139 152 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 111 1787 Lattice mode INS 211 1787 CtC + OHC/V OHO=C INS 211 1787 GtAttice mode INS 211 1787 Mainly (TCH.jas mail cont of (ω_a ,NH- ju INS 321 Shidr PN(CH.js INS 321 439 333 CtA_t INS 349 333 CtA_t INS INS 341 2 333 CtA_t INS 341 333 CtA_t INS INS <tr< th=""><th>Coline Chloride</th><th>Urea</th><th>Reline</th><th>Obs.</th><th>Technique</th></tr<>	Coline Chloride	Urea	Reline	Obs.	Technique
64 74 80 72 Lattice mode INS 84 90 72 Lattice mode INS 112 107 $lattice mode$ INS 112 126 $lattice mode$ INS 123 135 $lattice mode$ INS 139 152 $lattice mode$ INS 213 153 $lattice mode$ INS 214 1787 $lattice mode$ INS 279 shidr δNCC INS 211 1787 $rCC + vOH_C/VOH_O=C$ INS 212 shidr δNCC INS 321 Shidr $pN(CH_3)_5, small cont of (has,NH_3)_0 INS 341 286 323 pN(CH_3)_5, small cont of (MCC/CCD)_0, Raman Raman 371 373 pN(CH_3)_5, small cont of (MC/CCD)_0, Raman INS 371 373 pN(CH_3)_5, small cont of (MC/CCD)_0, Raman INS 421 424 448 (\deltaN(CH_3)_2, small cont of (MC/CCD)_0, Raman $	50	62	48	Lattice mode	INS
77 80 72 Lattice mode INS 84 90 Iattice mode INS 112 107 Lattice mode INS 123 135 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 211 178? Lattice mode INS 211 178? rCC + vOHCl/v OHO=C INS 2252 rCH ₅ INS INS 321 shldr Shlor PN(CH ₃) INS 341 296 Mainly (rCH ₃) _{0,5} small cont of (m ₂ NH ₂) ₀ INS 341 373 pN(CH ₃) INS 341 421 Mainly (rCH ₃) _{0,5} small cont of (m ₂ NH ₂) ₀ INS 341 421 NS INS INS 341 421 NS INS INS 341 421 NS INS INS 5121 S88, 618 S87 r_m	64	74		Lattice mode	INS
84 90 Lattice mode INS 112 107 Lattice mode INS 123 135 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 138 178? Lattice mode INS 211 178? ${}^{CC} vohCl/v OhOc. INS 211 Shlör {}^{P(N(Ch)}) INS 2321 Shlör {}^{P(N(Ch)}) INS 341 296 Mainly ({}^{CC} h_{Oh}) INS 341 296 Mainly ({}^{CC} h_{Oh}) INS 341 421 {}^{Oh} N(Ch_{h}) INS 347 {}^{SA} CC INS INS 532 546 $	77	80	72	Lattice mode	INS
112 107 Lattice mode INS 123 135 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 211 178? Lattice mode INS 211 178? rCC + vOHCl/v OHO-C INS 2252 rCH ₃ INS INS 321 shlór ρ N(CH ₃) INS 321 shlór ρ N(CH ₃) INS 331 333 rCH ₅ INS 349 333 rCH ₅ INS 349 333 rCH ₅ INS 341 421 δ N(CH ₃), small cont of (ω_{3} NH ₂) INS 349 333 rCH ₃ INS INS 341 421 δ N(CH ₃), small cont of (ω_{1} NH ₂) INS 341 421 δ N(CH ₃), small cont of (ω_{1} NH ₂) INS 347 787 rCH NS 588, 618 S87 <td>84</td> <td>90</td> <td></td> <td>Lattice mode</td> <td>INS</td>	84	90		Lattice mode	INS
126 Lattice mode INS 123 135 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 188 178? Lattice mode INS 211 178? $CC + OM - CIV OH O^-C$ INS 2279 Shidr δNCC INS 236 252 TCH_8 INS 341 296 Mainly (TCH_3) ₀ small cont of ($\omega_p NH_2$) ₀ INS 341 296 Mainly (TCH_3) ₀ small cont of ($\omega_p NH_2$) ₀ INS 341 373 $pN(CH_3)_5$ INS 341 421 $A21$ $\delta N(C + TS)_3$ INS 344 $\delta N(CH_3)_2$ small cont of ($\omega_p NH_2$) ₀ INS INS 341 421 $A21$ $\delta N(C + TS)_3$ INS 341 421 $\delta N(C + S)_2$ small cont of ($\omega_p NH_2$) ₀ INS 347 787 $\tau_m CH$ INS 588, 618 S87 $\tau_w N(1) CN (Ch trans)$ Raman 958 $v_x (2) CN$ <td>112</td> <td>107</td> <td></td> <td>Lattice mode</td> <td>INS</td>	112	107		Lattice mode	INS
123 135 Lattice mode INS 139 152 Lattice mode INS 188 1787 Lattice mode INS 211 INS 1787 $CLCP + OB +ClV OB +OCC$ INS 279 Shlof ∇CC INS INS 286 252 τCH_3 INS 341 Shlof $\rho N(CH_3)_3$ INS 341 333 σCH_3 INS 341 333 $r CH_3$ INS 341 333 $\rho N(CH_3)_3$ INS 341 333 $\rho N(CH_3)_3$ INS 421 $A421$ $\delta N(CC)_3$ INS 421 421 $\delta N(CC)_3$ INS 421 421 $\delta N(CC)_3$ INS 58 586 587 $\tau_a NH_3 small cont of \delta CO (CC)_0 Raman 719 744 v_1(1) C (Ch gauche) Raman INS 787 787 \pi CO FIR INS$		126		Lattice mode	INS
139 152 $Lattice mode$ INS 111 1787 $Lattice mode$ INS 211 1787 $\tau CC + 00h0-C$ INS 279 $3shldr$ δNCC INS 286 252 $\tau CC + 00h0-C$ INS 281 $shldr$ δNCC INS 321 $shldr$ $\rho N(CH_3)_3$ INS 341 296 Mainly (rCH_3)_6, small cont of ($\omega_m NH_3$). INS 341 373 $\rho N(CH_3)_3$ INS INS 341 373 $\rho N(CH_3)_3$ INS INS 341 421 $\delta N(CH_3)_3$ INS INS 347 A47,466 443 448 $(\delta N(CH_3)_3, small cont of (\omega_n NH_3). INS 532 546 526 (\delta NCH_3)_{3}, small cont of (\Delta CO)_{CD} Raman 719 763 \tau_{sh}(1)CN (Ch gauch) Raman $	123	135		Lattice mode	INS
1881787Lattice modeINS211INSTCC + VOHC/v OHO-CINS279ShidrTCC + VOHC/v OHO-CINS286INSSisticrINS321ShidrONCCINS331ShidrPN(CH.)INS341INS333TCH.INS341INS333TCH.INS341INS333PN(CH.)INS341INS333PN(CH.)INS341INSSisticrPN(CH.)INS341INSSisticrPN(CH.)INS341INSSisticrPN(CH.)INS342SisticrSisticrPN(CH.)INS546SisticrSisticrPN(CH.)Raman532SisticrTranTOHINS623TYT14V.(1) CN (Ch trans)Raman719T14V.(1) CN (Ch trans)Raman719T14POPA.Raman787T87TCOFTIR864SisticrSisticrRaman953.960958PV.(3) CN (Ch trans)Raman953.9611010997V.(1) CN (Ch trans)Raman101610171002PpiNPA.PCH., COH., COH.INS115111581140(pNH2.)INS1217INSINSINSINS1217INSINSINSINS11541158INS <t< td=""><td>139</td><td>152</td><td></td><td>Lattice mode</td><td>INS</td></t<>	139	152		Lattice mode	INS
211 Image: marked state s		188	178?	Lattice mode	INS
279 INS 286 N Z52 TCH3 INS 321 Shlör ρ N(CH3)s INS 341 296 Mainly (rCH3)cn small cont of (ω_{x} NH2)u INS 341 373 296 Mainly (rCH3)cn small cont of (ω_{x} NH2)u INS 341 373 P N(CH3)s INS 341 373 P N(CH3)cn small cont of (ω_{x} NH2)u INS 421 421 δ N(CH3)cn small cont of (ω_{x} NH2)u INS 421 δ 421 δ N(CH3)cn small cont of (δ NC/UCC)cn Raman 522 546 526 (δ NCNU, small cont of δ NC/UCC)cn Raman 532 546 526 (δ NCNU, small cont of δ NC/UCC)cn Raman 532 546 526 (δ NCNU, small cont of δ NC/UCC)cn Raman 787 7 τ CO Raman 787 787 π CO Raman 953 9 958 V_x (3 A) CN Raman 951 10107 <td< td=""><td>211</td><td></td><td>178?</td><td>τCC + vOHCl/v OHO=C</td><td>INS</td></td<>	211		178?	τCC + vOHCl/v OHO=C	INS
286 (m) 252 fCH_3 (NS 321 shifer $\rho_N(CH_3)_c$ small cont of $(\omega_{ac}NH_2)_o$ (NS 341 296 Mainly $(rCH_3)_c$ small cont of $(\omega_{ac}NH_2)_o$ (NS 349 333 fCH_5 (NS 341 333 $\rho_N(CH_3)_a$ (NS 341 421 AdS (NCH_3)_a (NS 421 4421 AdS (NCH_3)_a (NS 447, 466 443 448 $(\delta_N(CH_3)_a)_c$ small cont of $(\omega_NH_3)_c$ (NS 586, 618 587 $r_a N_B$ small cont of δCO (NS 623 586, 618 587 $r_a N_B$ small cont of δCO (NS 643 7 r_b (NG (NG 714 $v_s(1) CN (Ch trans)$ Raman (NS 787 787 rCO FTIR 864 9 $v_s(2) CN$ Raman 933,960 958 $v_s(A) A(DN)$ Raman 1010 997 $v_s(A) A(CN)$	279		shldr	δΝCC	INS
321 INS 9N(CH ₃) ₃ INS 341 296 Mainly (tCH ₃) ₅ , small cont of $(\omega_{3n}NH_2)_{JJ}$ INS 349 333 tCH ₃ INS 371 373 $pN(CH_3)_3$ INS 421 373 $pN(CH_3)_5$ INS 421 421 $0N(CH_3)_5$, small cont of $(\omega,NH_2)_U$ INS 421 $6N(CH_3)_5$, small cont of $(\omega,NH_2)_U$ INS 532 546 526 $(\delta N(CH_3)_{AC}$, small cont of $(\omega,NH_2)_U$ INS 532 546 526 $(\delta N(CH_3)_{AC}$, small cont of $(\omega,NH_2)_U$ INS 532 546 526 $(\delta N(CN)_{U}$, small cont of $\delta COC_{CO}_{CO}_{L}$ Raman 532 546 587 $\tau_{u}(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 719 714 $v_s(1) CN (Ch trans)$ Raman 787 787 πCO FTIR 864 866 $v_s(2) CN$ Raman 953,960 958 $v_{ss}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997	286		252	τCH ₃	INS
341 296 Mainly (τCH_3) $_{cn}$ small cont of $(\omega_{as}NH_2)_u$ INS 334 333 τCH_3 INS 341 373 $\rho N(CH_3)_3$ INS 421 421 $\delta N(CH_3)_3$ INS 421 421 $\delta N(CH_3)_3$ INS 447, 466 443 448 $(\delta N(CH_3)_3)_{ch}$ small cont of $(\omega,NH_2)_u$ INS 532 546 526 $(\delta N(CN)_u, small cont of \delta CO/CoC_0 Raman 588, 618 587 \tau_{sc}NH_2 small cont of \delta CO/CoC_0 INS 623 ? \tau_{sc}NH_2 small cont of \delta CO/CoC_0 Raman 719 714 v_s(1) CN (Ch gauche) Raman 787 787 \pi CO FTIR 864 866 v_s(2) CN Raman 953, 960 958 v_{ss}(3,4) CN Raman 1010 997 v_s(N, CH_3, sCOH) INS 1051 1103 1066 \rho CH_3, \rho CH_3, \delta COH INS 10460 1433 v_s(CN) $	321		shlδr	ρ Ν(CH ₃) ₃	INS
349 Image: style st	341		296	Mainly $(\tau CH_3)_{Ch}$ small cont of $(\omega_{as}NH_2)_U$	INS
371 373 $pN(CH_3)_3$ INS 421 421 $\delta N(CH_3)_3$ INS 447, 466 443 448 $(\delta N(CH_3)_3)_{ch}$ small cont of $(\omega_N H_2)_0$ INS 532 546 526 $(\delta N(Ch_3)_{small cont of (\delta NCC/CCO)_{ch}}$ Raman 538, 618 587 $\tau_{sn}N_2$ small cont of $(\delta NCC / CCO)_{ch}$ Raman 623 ? τOH INS 719 714 $v_1(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 719 714 $v_1(1) CN (Ch trans)$ Raman 864 866 $v_1(2) CN$ Raman 853 884 shidr pCH_2 Raman 953, 960 958 $v_{ss}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997 v_cCN Raman 1011 1002 $p_{gN}H_2, pCH_3, pCH_2, \deltaCOH$ INS 1151 1158 1140 $(p_3 H_2) (PH_3, +CO)_{ch}$ INS 1217 1205 pCH_3 INS INS 1285 1279 pCH_3 INS<	349		333	τCH ₃	INS
421 421 $\delta N(CH_3)_3$ INS 447, 466 443 448 $(\delta N(CH_3)_3)_{ch}$, small cont of $(\omega_N N_1)_0$ INS 532 546 526 $(\delta N(CH_3)_3)_{ch}$, small cont of $(\delta NCC/CO)_{ch}$ Raman 588, 618 587 $\tau_{as} NH_2$ small cont of $(\delta NCC/CO)_{ch}$ Raman 623 ? TOH INS 613 ? TOH Raman 719 714 $v_s(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 787 787 RCO FTIR 864 866 $v_s(1) CN (Ch trans)$ Raman 953, 960 958 $V_{as}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997 $v_s CN$ Raman 1050, 1081 1006 $\rho CH_3, \rho CH_3, b CH$ NS 1050, 1081 1066 $\rho CH_3, \rho CH_3, b CH$ NS 1217 1205 ρCH_3 INS 1218 1140 $(\rho_N N+1)_L + (\rho CH_3 + vCO)_{Ch}$ INS 12141 1448 $\delta_s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman <tr< td=""><td>371</td><td></td><td>373</td><td>ρΝ(CH₃)₃</td><td>INS</td></tr<>	371		373	ρ Ν(CH ₃)₃	INS
447,466 443 448 $(\delta N(CH_3)_3)_{ch}$ small cont of $(\omega,NH_2)_U$ INS 532 546 526 $(\delta NCN)_{b_2}$ small cont of $(\delta NCC/CCO)_{ch}$ Raman 588,618 587 $\tau_{as}NH_2$ small cont of $(\delta NCC/CCO)_{ch}$ Raman 623 ? τOH INS 644 ? τOH Raman 719 714 $v_s(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 787 787 πCO FTIR 864 866 $v_s(2) CN$ Raman 953,960 958 $v_{as}(3,4) CN$ Raman 951,960 958 $v_{as}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997 v_{cCN} Raman 1015 10102 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρ_{cH_3} , ρ_{cH_2} , δ_{cOH} INS 1151 1158 1140 $(\rho_iNH_2)_{u} + (\rho_{cH_3} + vCO)_{ch}$ INS 1151 1158 1140 $(\rho_iNH_2)_{u} + (\rho_{cH_3} + vCO)_{ch}$ INS 1217 1205 ρ_{cH_3} INS INS 13441 <td>421</td> <td></td> <td>421</td> <td>δN(CH₃)₃</td> <td>INS</td>	421		421	δN(CH ₃) ₃	INS
532 546 526 $(\delta NCN)_{u,}$ small cont of $(\delta NCC/CCO)_{Ch}$ Raman 588, 618 587 $\tau_{as} N \mu_{s}$ small cont of δCO INS 623 ? τOH INS 623 ? τOH INS 719 714 $v_{s}(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 709 787 787 πCO FTIR 864 . 866 $v_{s}(2) CN$ Raman 953 960 . 958 $v_{as}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997 v_{v_cCN} Raman . 1010 997 v_{v_cCN} Raman 1010 997 v_{v_cCN} Raman 1010 997 v_{v_cCN} Raman 1011 1002 $\rho_{p_{a}NH_{2}, pCH_{2}, \delta COH + vCC INS 1151 1158 1140 (\rho_{r}A_{1}, \rho CH_{2}, \delta COH + vCC) INS 1151 1158 1140 (\rho_{r}A_{3}, \delta CH + vCO)_{Ch} INS 1141 142$	447, 466	443	448	$(\delta N(CH_3)_3)_{Ch}$ small cont of $(\omega_s NH_2)_U$	INS
588, 618 587 $\tau_{ax}NH_2$ small cont of δCO INS 623 ? τH NS 719 714 $v_y(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 719 769 $v_y(1) CN (Ch trans)$ Raman 787 787 πCO FTIR 864 866 $v_y(2) CN$ Raman 895 884 shidr ρCH_2 Raman 953,960 958 $v_{ax}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997 v_{cN} Raman 1016 1017 1002 $\rho_{ab}NH_2$, ρCH_2 , δCOH INS 1151 1158 1140 $(\rho_{b}H_{2}) + (\rho CH_{3} + vCO)_{ch}$ INS 1217 1205 ρCH_3 INS INS 1218 1140 $(\rho_{b}H_{2}) + (\rho CH_{3} + vCO)_{ch}$ INS 1217 1205 ρCH_3 INS INS 12143 1418 $\delta_{b}CH_{3} + \delta COH$ INS 1245 1279 ρCH_3 INS INS	532	546	526	$(\delta NCN)_{U}$, small cont of $(\delta NCC/CCO)_{Ch}$	Raman
623 ? τOH INS 719 714 $v_s(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 719 769 $v_s(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 787 787 πCO FTIR 864 866 $v_s(2) CN$ Raman 895 884 shidr ρCH_2 Raman 93,960 958 $v_{ss}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997 $v_{sc}(N$ Raman 1016 1017 1002 $\rho_{sh}NH_2$, ρCH_2 , δCOH INS 1050,1081 1066 ρCH_2 , ρCH_2 , δCOH INS 1151 1158 1140 $(\rho_2 NH_2) / (\rho CH_3 + VC)_{Ch}$ INS 11217 1205 ρCH_3 INS INS 1285 1279 ρCH_3 INS INS 1344 1344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS INS 1431,1423 1418 $\delta_3 CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman 1451,1458 1448 $\delta S CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman		588, 618	587	$\tau_{as}NH_2$ small cont of δCO	INS
719 714 $v_s(1) CN (Ch gauche)$ Raman 769 $v_s(1) CN (Ch trans)$ Raman 787 787 πCO FTIR 864 866 $v_s(2) CN$ Raman 895 884 shldr ρCH_2 Raman 953,960 958 $v_{as}(3,4) CN$ Raman 1010 997 v_{cCN} Raman 1016 1017 1002 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , δCOH INS 1166 1017 1002 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , δCOH INS 1151 1158 1140 $(\rho_{c}NH_2)_{0} + (\rho CH_3 + vCO)_{Ch}$ INS 1217 1205 ρCH_3 INS INS 1285 1279 ρCH_3 INS INS 1344 1344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS INS 1413,1423 1448 $\delta_5 CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman 1446 1433 $v_{as}CN$ FTIR 1451,1458 1448 $\delta_5 CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Ram	623		?	τΟΗ	INS
Image: constraint of the system of	719		714	$v_{s}(1)$ CN (Ch gauche)	Raman
787787 πCO FTIR864866 $v_s (2) CN$ Raman895884 shldr ρCH_2 Raman953, 960958 $v_a (3,4) CN$ Raman1010997 $v_s (3,4) CN$ Raman101610171002 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_2 , δCOH INS1060, 10811066 ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , δCOH INS115111581140 $(\rho_s NH_2)_{12}$ + $(\rho CH_3 + v CO)_{Ch}$ INS12171205 ρCH_3 INS12851279 ρCH_3 INS13441344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS1413, 14231418 $\delta_s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14601433 $v_{as}CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta s C H_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14601606 $\delta NH_2 + v C=O$ FTIR15911660 $v_{c=0} + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 $v_s CH2$ Raman29242929 $v_{as}CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman29242929 $v_{as}CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as} CH2$ Raman3219? voH FTIR33333315 $v_s NH_2$ FTIR34273417 $v_{as} NH_2$ FTIR			769	v _s (1) CN (Ch trans)	Raman
864 866 $v_s(2)$ CN Raman 895 884 shldr ρ CH ₂ Raman 953, 960 958 $v_{as}(3,4)$ CN Raman 1010 997 $v_{sc}(3,4)$ CN Raman 1010 997 $v_{sc}(N, CN)$ Raman 1016 1017 1002 ρ_{ss} NH ₂ , ρ CH ₂ , δ COH INS 1060, 1081 1066 ρ CH ₃ , ρ CH ₂ , δ COH + vCC INS 1151 1158 1140 $(\rho_s$ NH ₂)u + (ρ CH ₃ + vCO)_{ch} INS 1217 1205 ρ CH ₃ INS INS 1285 1279 ρ CH ₃ INS INS 1344 1344 ω CH ₂ + δ COH INS 1435, 1423 1418 δ_s CH ₃ + δ CH Raman 1445 1448 δ SCH ₃ + δ CH Raman 1451, 1458 1448 δ SCH ₃ + δ CH ₂ Raman 1487 1478 δ aSCH ₃ + δ CH ₂ Raman 1487 1676 1606 $\underline{N}_{V_2} + v_{CO}$		787	787	πCO	FTIR
895 884 shidr ρCH_2 Raman 953, 960 958 v_{as} (3,4) CN Raman 1010 997 v_{sCN} Raman 1011 1002 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_3 , ρCL_2 , δCOH INS 1060, 1081 1066 ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , $\delta COH + vCC$ INS 1151 1158 1140 $(\rho_s NH_2) \cup (\rho CH_3 + vCO)_{ch}$ INS 1217 1205 ρCH_3 INS INS 1285 1279 ρCH_3 INS INS 1344 1344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS INS 1413, 1423 1418 $\delta_3 CH_3 + \delta COH$ Raman 1451, 1458 1448 $\delta_3 CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman 1457 1478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman 1487 1448 $\delta_3 CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman 1487 1478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman 1487 1478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman 1487 1478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$	864		866	v _s (2) CN	Raman
953, 960958 $v_{ss}(3,4) CN$ Raman1010997 v_sCN Raman101610171002 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_3 , δCOH INS1060, 10811066 ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , $\delta COH + vCC$ INS115111581140 $(\rho_sNH_2)_u + (\rho CH_3 + vCO)_{ch}$ INS12171205 ρCH_3 INS12851279 ρCH_3 INS13441344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS1413, 14231418 $\delta_s CH_3 + \delta COH$ Raman14601433 $v_{as}CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman148716761606 $\delta NH_2 + vC=O$ FTIR15911660 $v_{CO} + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 $v_sCH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman29242929 $V_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman29262970 $v_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as}CH3 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR31333315 v_sNH_2 FTIR34273417 $v_{as}NH_2$ FTIR	895		884 shldr	ρCH ₂	Raman
1010997 v_sCN Raman101610171002 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , δCOH INS1060, 10811066 ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , $\delta COH + vCC$ INS115111581140 $(\rho_s NH_2)_U + (\rho CH_3 + vCO)_{Ch}$ INS12171205 ρCH_3 INS12851279 ρCH_3 INS13441344 $\Theta CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS1413, 14231418 $\delta_s CH_3 + \delta COH$ Raman14601433 $v_{as} CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871666 $\mathbf{NH}_2 + vC=O$ FTIR15911660 $\mathbf{vC=0} + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 $v_s CH2$ Raman29242929 $v_{as} CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as} CH3$ Raman3219?? $\delta NH_2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR33333315 $v_s NH_2$ FTIR34273417 $v_s NH_2$ FTIR	953, 960		958	v _{as} (3,4) CN	Raman
101610171002 $\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , δCOH INS1060, 10811066 ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , $\delta COH + vCC$ INS115111581140 $(\rho_s NH_2)_0 + (\rho CH_3 + vCO)_{Ch}$ INS12171205 ρCH_3 INS12851279 ρCH_3 INS13441344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS14131418 $\delta_s CH_3 + \delta COH$ Raman14601433 $v_{as} CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871666 $\underline{V C=0} + \underline{\delta} NH_2$ FTIR28882881 $v_s CH2$ Raman29242929 $v_{as} CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman29262970 $v_{as} CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as} CH3$ Raman3219? $\delta NH_2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR33333315 $v_s NH_2$ FTIR34273417 $v_{as} NH_2$ FTIR		1010	997	vsCN	Raman
1060, 10811066 $\rho CH_{3,r} \rho CH_2, \delta COH + v CC$ INS115111581140 $(\rho_s NH_2)_u + (\rho CH_3 + v CO)_{Ch}$ INS12171205 ρCH_3 INS12851279 ρCH_3 INS13441344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS1413, 14231418 $\delta_s CH_3 + \delta COH$ Raman14601433 $v_{as} CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14601433 $v_{as} CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871666 $\rho C=O + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 $v_s CH2$ Raman29242929 $v_{as} CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman29262970 $v_{as} CH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as} CH3$ Raman3219? $\delta NH_2 + vC O Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR33333315 $v_s NH_2$ FTIR34273417 $v_{as} NH_2$ FTIR	1016	1017	1002	$\rho_{as}NH_2$, ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , δCOH	INS
115111581140 $(\rho_s NH_2)_u + (\rho CH_3 + vCO)_{ch}$ INS12171205 ρCH_3 INS12851279 ρCH_3 INS13441344 $\omega CH_2 + \delta COH$ INS1413, 14231418 $\delta_s CH_3 + \delta COH$ Raman14601433 $v_{as} CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman148716761606 $\delta NH_2 + vC=O$ FTIR28882881 $v_s CH2$ Raman29242929 $v_{as} CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman29662970 $v_{as} CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as} CH2 + v_s CH3$ Raman3219? $\delta NH_2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR33333315 $v_s NH_2$ FTIR34273417 $v_{as} NH_2$ FTIR	1060, 1081		1066	ρCH_3 , ρCH_2 , $\delta COH + v CC$	INS
12171205 ρ CH3INS12851279 ρ CH3INS13441344 ω CH2 + δ COHINS13441344 ω CH2 + δ COHINS1413, 14231418 δ_{S} CH3 + δ COHRaman14601433 v_{as} CNFTIR1451, 14581448 δ_{S} CH3 + δ CH2Raman14571478 δ_{S} CH3 + δ CH2Raman148716761606 δ NH2 + vC=OFTIR15911660 y C=O + δ NH2FTIR28882881 v_{s} CH2Raman29242929 v_{as} CH2 + v_{s} CH3Raman29662970 v_{as} CH3Raman3025, 30133024 v_{as} CH3Raman3219? δ NH2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNHFTIR33333315 v_{s} NH2FTIR34273417 v_{as} NH2FTIR	1151	1158	1140	$(\rho_{s}NH_{2})_{U} + (\rho CH_{3} + \nu CO)_{Ch}$	INS
12851279 ρ CH3INS13441344 ω CH2 + δ COHINS1413, 14231418 δ_{S} CH3 + δ COHRaman14601433 v_{as} CNFTIR1451, 14581448 δ_{S} CH3 + δ CH2Raman14871478 δ_{S} CH3 + δ CH2Raman148716761606 δ NH2 + vC=OFTIR15911660 v_{s} CH2Raman28882881 v_{s} CH2Raman29242929 v_{as} CH2 + v_{s} CH3Raman29662970 v_{as} CH3Raman3025, 30133024 v_{as} CH3Raman3219? δ NH2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNHFTIR33333315 v_{s} NH2FTIR34273417 v_{as} NH2FTIR	1217		1205	ρCH3	INS
13441344 $0 (H_2 + \delta COH)$ INS1413, 14231418 $\delta_{S}CH_3 + \delta COH$ Raman14601433 $v_{as}CN$ FTIR1451, 14581448 $\delta_{S} CH_3 + + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta_{S} CH_3 + + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta_{S} CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman16761606 $\delta NH_2 + vC=0$ FTIR15911660 $v_{C=0} + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 $v_{s}CH2$ Raman29242929 $v_{as}CH2 + v_{s}CH3$ Raman29662970 $v_{as}CH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as}CH3$ Raman3219? $\delta NH_2 + vCO$ Fermi w/ vNHFTIR33333315 $v_{s}NH_2$ FTIR34273417 $v_{as}NH_2$ FTIR	1285		1279	pCH ₃	INS
1413, 14231418 $\delta_{s}CH_{3} + \delta COH$ Raman14601433 $v_{as}CN$ FTIR1451, 145814601448 $\delta s CH_{3} + \delta CH_{2}$ Raman14871478 $\delta as CH_{3} + \delta CH_{2}$ Raman148716761606 $\delta NH_{2} + vC=0$ FTIR15911660 $v_{C=0} + \delta NH_{2}$ FTIR28882881 $v_{s}CH2$ Raman29242929 $v_{as}CH2 + v_{s}CH3$ Raman29662970 $v_{as}CH2 + v_{s}CH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as}CH3$ Raman3219? $\delta NH_{2} + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR33333315 $\delta NH_{2} + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR34273417 $v_{as}NH_{2}$ FTIR	1344		1344	ωCH ₂ + δCOH	INS
14601433 $v_{as}CN$ FTIR1451, 14581440 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman148716761606 $\delta NH_2 + vC=0$ FTIR15911660 $vC=0 + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 v_sCH2 Raman29242929 $v_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman29662970 $v_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{oth} CH2$ Raman3219? $\delta NH_2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR33333315 v_sNH_2 FTIR34273417 $v_{as}NH_2$ FTIR	1413, 1423		1418	$\delta_{s}CH_{3} + \delta COH$	Raman
1451, 14581448 $\delta s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman14871478 $\delta a s CH_3 + \delta CH_2$ Raman148716761606 $\delta NH_2 + vC=0$ FTIR15911660 $vC=0 + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 v_sCH2 Raman29242929 $v_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman29662970 $v_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $0 + v_{as} CH3$ Raman3219?? $\delta NH_2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH$ FTIR33333315 v_sNH_2 FTIR34273417 $v_{as}NH_2$ FTIR	,	1460	1433	v _{as} CN	FTIR
1487 1478 δas CH ₃ + δ CH ₂ Raman 1676 1606 <u>δNH₂</u> + vC=O FTIR 1591 1660 <u>vC=O</u> + δNH ₂ FTIR 2888 2881 vsCH2 Raman 2924 2929 2929 Raman 2966 2970 VasCH2 + vsCH3 Raman 3025, 3013 3024 VasCH2 + vsCH3 Raman 3219 ? ? VOH FTIR 3256 ? 3188 ? (see discussion) FTIR 3333 3315 VsNH ₂ FTIR FTIR 3427 3417 VasNH ₂ FTIR FTIR	1451, 1458		1448	$\delta s CH_3 + + \delta CH_2$	Raman
16761606 $\delta NH_2 + vC=O$ FTIR15911660 $\underline{vC=O} + \delta NH_2$ FTIR28882881 v_sCH2 Raman29242929 $v_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman29662970 $v_{as}CH2 + v_sCH3$ Raman3025, 30133024 $v_{as}CH3$ Raman3219? vOH FTIR33333315 v_sNH_2 FTIR34273417 $v_{as}NH_2$ FTIR	1487		1478	$\delta as CH_3 + \delta CH_2$	Raman
1591 1660 νC=O< + δNH2 FTIR 2888 2881 νsCH2 Raman 2924 2929 νasCH2 + vsCH3 Raman 2966 2970 νasCH2 + vsCH3 Raman 3025, 3013 3024 NasCH2 + vsCH3 Raman 3219 ? NOH FTIR 3219 ? NOH FTIR 3219 ? NOH FTIR 3219 ? NOH FTIR 33256 ? NH2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH FTIR 3333 3315 NsNH2 + vSNH2 FTIR 33427 3417 vasNH2 FTIR		1676	1606	$\delta NH_2 + \nu C=O$	FTIR
2888 2881 v _s CH2 Raman 2924 2929 ν _{as} CH2 + v _s CH3 Raman 2966 2970 ν _{as} CH2 + v _s CH3 Raman 3025, 3013 3024 ν _{as} CH2 + v _s CH3 Raman 3025, 3013 3024 ν _{as} CH3 Raman 3219 ? YOH FTIR 3256 ? δ NH ₂ + vCO Fermi w/ vNH FTIR 3188 ? (see discussion) FTIR 3333 3315 V _s NH ₂ FTIR 3427 3417 V _{as} NH ₂ FTIR		1591	1660	νC=O + δNH ₂	FTIR
2924 2929 ν _{as} CH2 + ν _s CH3 Raman 2966 2970 ν _{as} CH2 + ν _s CH3 Raman 3025, 3013 3024 ν _{as} CH3 Raman 3219 ? VOH FTIR 3256 3188 ? (see discussion) FTIR 3333 3315 V _s NH2 FTIR 3427 3417 ν _{as} NH2 FTIR	2888		2881	v _s CH2	Raman
2966 2970 VasCH2 + vsCH3 Raman 3025, 3013 3024 VasCH3 Raman 3219 ? VOH FTIR 3256 ? SNH2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH FTIR 3333 3315 VsNH2 FTIR 33427 3417 VasCH3 FTIR	2924		2929	v_{as} CH2 + v_{s} CH3	Raman
3025, 3013 3024 Vas CH3 Raman 3219 ? VOH FTIR 3256 δ NH2 + vCO Fermi w/ vNH FTIR 3333 3315 (see discussion) FTIR 33427 3417 Vas NH2 FTIR	2966		2970	$v_{as}CH2 + v_{s}CH3$	Raman
3219 ? νOH FTIR 3256 δ NH ₂ + νCO Fermi w/ νNH FTIR 1 3188 ? (see discussion) FTIR 3333 3315 ν _s NH ₂ FTIR 3427 3417 ν _{as} NH ₂ FTIR	3025, 3013		3024	v _{as} CH3	Raman
3256 δ NH ₂ + vCO Fermi w/ vNH FTIR 3333 3188 ? (see discussion) FTIR 3333 3315 v _s NH ₂ FTIR 3427 3417 v _{as} NH ₂ FTIR	3219		?	vOH	FTIR
3188 ? (see discussion) FTIR 3333 3315 vsNH2 FTIR 3427 3417 vasNH2 FTIR		3256		δ NH ₂ + vCO Fermi w/ vNH	FTIR
3333 3315 v_sNH ₂ FTIR 3427 3417 v _{as} NH ₂ FTIR			3188	? (see discussion)	FTIR
3427 3417 V _{as} NH ₂ FTIR		3333	3315	V«NH2	FTIR
		3427	3417	v _{as} NH ₂	FTIR

 τ – torsion; ν – stretching; δ – deformation; ρ – rocking; ω – wagging; π – out-of-plane deformation.



Figure S2. INS spectra of Reline, urea and choline chloride (ChCl) collected using TOSCA

Figure S3. INS spectra of Reline, urea and choline chloride (ChCl), collected using MAPS with incident energy (Ei) of a) 806 cm-1, b) 2016 cm-1 and c) 5243 cm-1. Only small Q values in the range $0 \le Q \le 9$ Å-1 are represented.







Figure S5. Raman spectra of urea (top), Reline (middle) and choline chloride (bottom)



Figure S6. Comparison between Reline's experimental Raman spectrum and the one estimated by a discrete ab-initio calculation



Figure S7. FTIR-ATR spectra of urea (top), Reline (middle) and choline chloride (bottom)



Wavenumber /cm⁻¹



Figure S8. Comparison between Reline's experimental infrared spectrum and the one estimated by a discrete ab-initio calculation

Figure S9. Raman spectra of Reline with increasing deuterium content





