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## 1. Introduction

This guide considers the analysis of VESUVIO data from samples with no preferred orientation- for example powders, liquids, glasses, amorphous materials etc. By following the procedures given the user should be able to fully analyse the data from any such sample. The theory behind the analysis is outlined in more detail in Appendix 2. However the main features of the data analysis are as follows.

VESUVIO time of flight spectra consist of a series of peaks. Each peak corresponds to a specific atomic mass $M$ in the sample studied. Positions of the peaks are uniquely determined by $M$. The amplitude of a peak is determined by the number of atoms of mass $M$ and the scattering cross-section. The shape and width of the peak is determined by the momentum distribution $n(p)$ of atoms of mass $M$. The ultimate aim of the data analysis is to determine the shape and intensity of the peaks corresponding to different masses and hence the momentum distributions of the atoms and the composition of the sample.

The programs are illustrated throughout by the analysis of a measurement on Zirconium Hydride $\left(\mathrm{ZrH}_{1.98}\right)$. This consisted of seven runs (14188-14195) with a total of $6500 \mu \mathrm{mp}$ hours. $\mathrm{ZrH}_{2}$ is a strongly scattering sample and the run time is considerably longer than that of a typical run ( $\sim 2000 \mu \mathrm{mp}$-hours) hence this data provides a stringent test of the analysis procedures.

The data for a single detector at a scattering angle of $52^{\circ}$, after the correction procedure described in section 3, is shown in Figure1. There are three peaks in the data (1) Hydrogen gives a peak centred at $\sim 245.6 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. (2) Zirconium gives a peak centred at $382.3 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. (3) The aluminium can gives a peak centred at $378.7 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. The latter two peaks are not resolved at forward scattering.

The corresponding data from a single detector in the back scattering bank at angle of $163^{\circ}$ is shown in Figure 2. In this case there is no hydrogen peak. At eV neutron energies (to a good approximation see Appendices 2 and 3), the neutron scatters from single atoms and kinetic energy and momentum are conserved in the scattering process. Neutrons cannot backscatter in a single scattering from a proton as they have almost the same mass, just as one billiard ball cannot back-scatter from another. The zirconium peak is centred at $366.4 \mu \mathrm{sec}$, while the aluminium peak is centred at $348.8 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. As the scattering angle increases, the peaks move further apart in the spectra. Hence at back-scattering the fact that there are separate peaks due to aluminium and zirconium is apparent in the time of flight data.


Figure 1. Time of flight data from Zirconium Hydride at a scattering angle of $52^{\circ}$. The data is shown after the corrections described in section 3 . The fit obtained using the TFIT routine is shown as the red line. The individual peaks contributing to the fit are also shown. The hydrogen peak coincides with the red line and hence is not visible.


Figure 2. Time of flight data from Zirconium Hydride at a scattering angle of 163 degrees. The red line is a fit to the two peaks using the TFIT command. The green line is the contribution of the aluminium can. The blue line is the zirconium contribution.

Throughout the text we adopt the convention that input from the user is in red Output from the programs is in blue. Explanations are in black. None of the commands are case sensitive.

The commands in the manual can be set up in your own user account by the following command when you login for the first time copy evs\$disk0:[evsmgr.userprogs]login.com *

Then
@login

To set up your user account you need to fill in a form which can be printed by clicking on the "computer account form" pdf icon on the desktop of the instrument computer in the VESUVIO cabin. This has to be signed by your local contact before an account can be created.

It is suggested that a record of your analysis is kept in a word document. This can be done using the procedures given in Appendix 8. This should include for example, names of files created, copies of plots you wish to keep and the mean values of relevant peaks areas and widths which are produced by the data analysis routines.

## 2. Viewing the raw time of flight data

## 2a Obtaining raw time of flight data

VESUVIO data is obtained using resonance foil methods [1,2,3,4,5] as outlined in Appendix 1. The "raw" data in each detector is a linear combination of time of flight spectra collected with the gold foils in different positions. Typically each experiment is divided into a series of identical consecutive runs each of $900 \mu \mathrm{mps}$. The commands RAWB and RAW sum all runs between the first and last run number entered. If only one run is to be analyzed the first and last run numbers entered should be identical. If you wish to sum runs which are not consecutively numbered, the commands described in Appendix 7 should be used.

It is recommended that you view the raw data as early as possible to check that the run is proceeding in a satisfactory way. Possible reasons for non-satisfactory data are; the shutter is closed, the command file which moves the resonance foils to different positions is not running, the sample is not in the correct position etc.

To obtain the raw back scattering data, the "RAWB" command is used.
eVS> rawb
first and last run numbers?
1418814195
first and last spectrum numbers?
3134
This produces an output file with the name TEMPB.DAT, containing the time of flight data for each detector at back scattering. Many of the files produced by the analysis procedures are temporary files which should be deleted once the final data set has been obtained. Such files are given a name beginning with TEMP. Details of the format of all ASCII files produced by the analysis routines are given in Appendix 6.

To obtain the raw forward scattering data the "RAW" command is used.

```
eVS> raw
```

first and last run numbers?
1418814195

## first and last spectrum numbers?

135182
This produces an output file with the name TEMP.DAT, containing the time of flight data for each detector at forward scattering angles.

## 2b Displaying data

The data in the files produced by RAW and RAWB can be displayed using the command PLOTD. This allows the display of single detectors, the sum of detectors within a specified angular range or the sum of consecutively numbered detectors For example;
eVS> plotd
Name of file containing time of flight data?
tempb
This is the filename produced by the RAWB routine, which contains the back-scattering data. input file is tempb
tmin,tmax specify range which is plotted
tmin,tmax?
50500
These are the limits of the time of flight range to be plotted. The range 50-500 includes all useful data at both back and forward scattering.

```
number of points= 900
ndmin= 3 ndmax= 134
plot individual detectors (1)
or sum of detectors within angular range (2)
or sum of consecutive detectors (3)
2
Enter minimum and maximum angles
150170
```

The total range of back scattering angles is 130-170 degrees. See Appendix 1 and reference [3]. The plot produced by this input is of the data from detectors with angles between 150 and 170 degrees. To view the sum of all detectors enter 0180 here.

| detector | 40 theta $=$ | 151.9331 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| detector | 41 theta $=$ | 151.8561 |
| detector | 42 theta $=$ | 154.6456 |
| detector | 43 theta $=$ | 154.6228 |
| detector | 44 theta $=$ | 160.2408 |
| $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . . .$. |  |  |



Figure 3. Plot produced by PLOTD. The sum of all detectors in the angular range $150-170^{\circ}$ as specified in the input to PLOTD is shown. The non-zero background to the left of the $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Zr}$ peak is due to multiple scattering.

The program displays (Figure 3) the sum of all detectors with scattering angles between $150^{\circ}$ and $170^{\circ}$ between 150 and $500 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. These limits are determined by the input from the user to PLOTD. PLOTD also lists the spectrum numbers which are included in the sum, with the corresponding scattering angles. This plot and any other plot you wish to keep can be pasted into a word document using procedure 2 given in Appendix 8.

The forward scattering data can be viewed in a similar way.
eVS> plotd
name of file containing input data?
temp
tmin,tmax?
50562
This is the range of time of flight values which will be displayed
number of points= 1024
ndmin= 135 ndmax= 182
plot individual detectors (1)
or sum of detectors within angular range (2)
or sum of consecutive detectors (3)
enter 0 to exit
2
Enter minimum and maximum angles
6080

| detector | 135 theta $=$ | 66.59930 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| detector | 136 theta $=$ | 64.23130 |
| detector | 137 theta $=$ | 62.36170 |
| detector | 138 theta $=$ | 61.14130 |


| detector | 139 theta $=66.48230$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| detector | 140 theta $=64.26080$ |
| detector | 141 theta $=62.38260$ |
| detector | 142 theta $=61.23530$ |

The program prints out the detectors included with the scattering angles. Figure 4 shows the plot produced by PLOTD with the input above.


Figure 4. Shows the plot produced by PLOTD. It shows the sum of detectors with scattering angles between 60 and 80 degrees. The narrow peak at $-285 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ is due to a nuclear resonance from Hafnium impurities. The broad feature between 300 and $350 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ is due to gamma background.

## 3. Correction of data for multiple scattering and gamma background.

For accurate results the data must be corrected for multiple scattering in the sample [6]. Multiple scattering from hydrogen can be observed as a non-zero background to the left of the peak from $\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Zr}$ in Figure 3. The forward scattering data also contains a gamma background [2] which must be removed. The effects of this background can be seen in Figure 4, where it produces a broad peak between 300 and $370 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. Both of these effects are determined by the scattering properties of the sample + container. These corrections are performed automatically by the procedure given in this section. A more detailed account of the correction procedures in this section is given in Appendix 10.

To perform the corrections you need to know;
(a) The atomic masses present in your sample and container
(b) The neutron transmission of the sample and sample can.

In order to determine the sample+can transmission it is necessary to perform an "empty beam run" with no sample or can in the beam. This run should be performed at the start of your experiment. Only a short run of $\sim 30$ minutes is required, The sample transmission is obtained using the command TRANS and two runs; the empty beam run and a run with the sample+can in the beam.
eVS> trans
ENTER SAMPLE RUN NUMBER
14188
ENTER CAN/EMPTY BEAM RUN NUMBER
14187
sample transmission= 0.8316979
sample attenuation= 0.1683021
The TRANS program calculates the sample+can transmission by taking the ratio of counts in the incident beam monitor S1 (see figure A1.1, P41) and the transmitted beam monitor S2.

The next step is to create a command file for data correction using the command CRECOM.
First you need to determine the name of the directory in which you are working.
eVS> sh def
USER\$DISK:[JM01]
Then type "CRECOM"
eVS> crecom
Directory in which you are working?
Type sh def before starting program to determine this
USER\$DISK:[JM01]
Generic file name?
zh
The "Generic file name is chosen to indicate the sample and experimental conditions. It can be up to 16 characters in length.
There are 3 options
(1) A single run
(2) A number of consecutively numbered runs
(3) A number of non-consecutive runs

Enter option 1,2,or 3
2
First and last run numbers?
1418814195
Number of different atomic masses (maximum=7) in sample+can

A maximum of 7 masses can be included.
Enter mass 1
1.0079

This is the mass in amu of the hydrogen atoms in the sample.

## Enter mass 2

27
This is the mass of the aluminium atoms in the sample container

## Enter mass 3

91
This is the mass of the zirconium atoms in the sample.
Is sample at $T<70 K ?(Y=1, N=0)$
0
The correction and fitting procedures are slightly different for high and low temperatures due to the presence of "Final State Effects" (See Appendix 4). In this case the sample was at room temperature.
Does sample contain H (1) or D (2) or D+H (3)
1
There are 3 options (1) H only, (2) D only, (3) Both D and H (for example when partially deuterated samples are run). The sample contains only H in this case.

```
Fast (1) or slow (2) option?
```

1
The procedure calculates the multiple scattering by a Monte-Carlo integration [6]. The fast option uses 10 times fewer events than the slow option and is usually adequate for most samples. If the fast option is chosen the correction procedure runs in $\sim 10$ minutes. The slow option takes $\sim 90$ minutes. ${ }^{1}$

Transmission of sample+can?
0.831

This is the value determined using the TRANS command at the start of this section.
enter tmin,tmax for data to be excluded
to exit enter tmin=tmax
278292

[^0]This option allows regions of data to be excluded. In this case there is a spurious peak at $\sim 285 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ which is produced by a neutron resonance from Hafnium impurities in the $\mathrm{ZrH}_{2}$ (see Figure 4). The region containing this peak is therefore excluded. If you are unsure of whether or not to eliminate data type " 00 " here.

```
enter tmin,tmax for data to be excluded
```

to exit enter tmin=tmax

00

The procedure above produces a file $\mathrm{ZH} . C O M$. This is reproduced in Appendix 9. If your "generic file name" was XXXX this will be XXXX .COM. Throughout this guide the generic file name $Z H$ is used - hence $Z H$ should be replaced by your chosen generic file name in analysis of your data. The file ZH.COM can be run interactively by the command

```
eVS> @zh
```

It can also be run as a batch job using the following procedure.
eVS> sh def
USER\$DISK:[JM01]
eVS> submith
ENTER FILENAME: zh
ENTER DIRECTORY: USER\$DISK:[JM01]
Job ZH (queue HATHOR\$SLOW, entry 2746) started on HATHOR\$SLOW
\%DCL-W-SKPDAT, image data (records not beginning with "\$") ignored
To check that the job is running, type "q"
eVS> q
Batch queue HATHOR\$SLOW, busy, on HATHOR::


When the procedure has run (this takes 10-15 minutes if the fast option has been chosen), the corrected data for forward scattering is contained within the file ZH.DAT and the back scattering data is in ZHB.DAT. The data can be displayed using PLOTD. For example;

```
eVS> plotd
Name of file containing time of flight data?
zh
input file is zh
tmin,tmax specify range which is plotted
tmin,tmax?
```

50562
number of points= 1024
ndmin $=135$ ndmax $=182$
plot individual detectors (1)
or sum of detectors within angular range (2)
or sum of consecutive detectors (3)
enter 0 to exit
2


Figure 5 Shows the sum of data with angles between 60 and 80 degrees after the correction procedure performed by ZH.COM.

Figure 5 shows the sum of data with angles between 60 and 80 degrees after the correction procedure performed by $\mathrm{ZH} . C O M$. The procedure automatically removes data in the region 100-120 $\mu \mathrm{sec}$ since this region contains a contribution from a second absorption in the gold analyser foil at $\sim 60 \mathrm{eV}$. The region 272-292 $\mu \mathrm{sec}$ was excluded in response to the user input to the CRECOM routine on page 11. These regions are given very large error bars so that they do not affect any of the fitting procedures. It can be seen that the broad feature between 300 and $350 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ seen in Figure 4 has been removed by the correction procedure.

If you wish to understand in more detail the procedures used by the CRECOM command it is suggested that you use the CRECOM2 rather than the CRECOM command. The former
command produce an identical file (ZH.COM) to CRECOM except that the final line which deletes all intermediate files is omitted. Hence after the procedure has run, your area will contain a number of intermediate files which can be examined. These are;

1. (a) TEMP.DAT containing the "raw" data from forward scattering
(b) TEMPB.DAT containing the "raw" data from back scattering
2. (a) TEMPD.DAT containing data and fits from TFIT on TEMP.DAT
(b) TEMPP.DAT contains parameters from fit to TEMP.DAT
(c) TEMPBD.DAT containing data and fits from TFIT on TEMPB.DAT
(b) TEMPBP.DAT contains parameters to fit to TEMPB.DAT
3. (a) TEMPC.DAT - gamma corrected forward scattering data
(b) TEMPCD.DAT.TEMPCP.DAT data and fits and parameters from fit to TEMPC.
4. Files ZH91.dat - ZH182.DAT containing the multiple scattering data for each detector produced by the MSCALC routine
5. (a) TEMPCMS - forward scattering data corrected for gamma background and multiple scattering.
(b) TEMPCMSP.DAT and TEMPCMSD.DAT - parameters, data and fits to TEMPCMS
(c) TEMPBMS - back scattering data corrected for gamma background and multiple scattering.
(d) TEMPBMSP.DAT and TEMPBMSD.DAT - parameters, data and fits to TEMPBMS.DAT.
6. Files ZH.IN, ZHB.IN - these are input files to TFIT for forward and backscattering. ZHMS.IN - the input file to MSCALC for calculation of multiple scattering.

These files can be examined using the routines given in the next section (PARMEAN, TFITPLOT). In practice the most unreliable part of the automatic correction procedure is the multiple scattering correction. It is suggested that this is examined using the routine MSSUB (see section A10.4). All the commands used by the CRECOM procedure are detailed in Appendix A 10.

The intermediate files use considerable disk space and should be deleted once you are satisfied that the correction procedure has worked satisfactorily. This can be done using the command
eVS> delfiles

## 4. Fitting the data to obtain sample compositions and kinetic energies.

The simplest physical analysis which can be made of the data is to assume that all the atomic momentum distributions have a Gaussian form in momentum space (see Appendix 2). The command TFIT fits the time of flight data from each detector with this assumption. The fitting function used is described in detail in Appendix 2. The output of TFIT contains the Gaussian
widths and the amplitudes of all peaks which are included in the fit. The values of the fit parameters obtained from TFIT can be used to determine the atomic kinetic energies and the sample composition.

## 4a Back Scattering

The widths and amplitudes of the peaks in the data are obtained using the TFIT command. This requires an input file containing the masses of all atoms with mass greater than 3 . The file ZHB.in for fitting the back-scattering data is produced automatically by running the command file ZH.COM produced by the CRECOM command (see section 3). Alternatively this file can be produced using the command CREBIN (create backscattering .in file) .

```
eVS> crebin
Generic file name? (must be < 16 characters)
```

ZH
number of masses in the sample+can other than $\mathrm{M}=1$ or $\mathrm{M}=2$
2
mass 1
27
mass 2
91
Was the sample at $\mathrm{T}<70 \mathrm{~K}$ ? (Yes=1,No=0)
0

This sequence of inputs creates a file ZHB.IN of the following form (if your generic file name was $X X X X$, a file $X X X X B$.IN would be produced),

2 ! 1 = forward scattering (135-182). 2 = back scattering (3-134)
2 !Number of masses in sample
27.000000 !Mass of atom, amplitude, width
91.000000 !Mass of atom, amplitude, width
$0 \quad$ ! FSE are not fitted
The lines in ZHB.IN have the following functions.

1. Option 1 is forward scattering. Option 2 is back scattering. Option 3 is back-scattering for single difference data.
2. The number of masses in the sample+container. In this case there are only two masses since no $H$ peak appears at back-scattering. TFIT allows up to seven different masses to be fitted.
3. The lightest mass in amu. In this case this is the aluminium of the sample container. The two zeros following imply that both the widths and the amplitude of the peak are fitted. If either of these parameters is non-zero the width or amplitude is fixed at the value entered (see sections 4 d and 4 f for an example of this procedure).
4. As for line 3 but for the Zirconium in the sample
5. If this number is 1 , a "Final State" correction is made (see Appendix 3). This is required for fitting H and D peaks and for data at low temperatures. It is usually set to zero for analysis of back-scattering data at room temperature. It should be set to one if data at temperatures less than ~70K are used.

The text after the exclamation mark (!) is a comment which is not read by the programs.

This file can be edited by hand using the command
eVS> nedit zhb.in
This allows amplitudes or widths of particular peaks to be fixed or the inclusion of more atomic masses.

TFIT fits data between user specified limits $t_{\min }$ and $t_{\max }$ in the time of flight spectra. These limits are chosen to cover the data region of interest. Due to the incorporation of fast Fourier transforms in the programs, $t_{\max }-t_{\text {min }}$ must be a power of 2 .

To fit the data type TFIT.
eVS> tfit

## Name of file containing time of flight data?

## Zhb

input file is zhb
tmin,tmax? (tmax-tmin must be $2^{* *} n$ )
300428
This range was chosen by observation of the data shown in Figure 2. It includes the peaks from atoms other than hydrogen in the data, For most samples 300-428 is a good range to choose. For samples containing masses $<7$ the range may have to be extended to include all peaks: for example 200-456 $\mu \mathrm{sec}$.
number of points $=256$
ndmin= $\quad 3$ ndmax= 134
Name of file containing fit parameters?
Zhb.in

```
npeaks= 2
    1 am=27.00000 xs= 0.0000000E +00 wid= 0.0000000E +00
    2 am= 91.00000 xs= 0.0000000E+00 wid= 0.0000000E+00
FSE NOT SUBTRACTED
```

First and last spectrum number?
3134

The routine fits the data in spectra 3-134 and produces two output files. These files have the same name as the input data file with the letter D or P added. In the example these are;
(1) ZHBD.DAT- This contains the data and fits
(2) ZHBP.DAT - this contains the fitted parameters.

If your generic file name was XXXX , these will be XXXXBD .DAT and XXXXBP .DAT. These are ASCII files with formats which are given in Appendix 6.

## 4b. Displaying the fits

The data and fits can be displayed using the TFITPLOT command. This allows one to plot the data, fit and individual peak components to the fit. This can be done either for individual spectra, the sum of the spectra within a specified angular range or for consecutively numbered detectors.
eVS> tfitplot
name of file containing input data?
Zhbd
plot individual detectors (1)
plot sum of detectors between set angles (2)
plot sum of consecutive detectors (3)
2
enter minimum and maximum angles
150170
40 th= 151.9331
41 th= 151.8561

133 th= 160.8139
134 th= 163.5170
The program lists the detectors included. It sums the data and fits from all these detectors and plots the data, fit and the individual peaks contributing to the fit in the time of flight range chosen in the input of TFIT.

The plot produced by the input above is shown in Figure 6 . The black line is the sum of data from spectra with angles between the input limits of 150 and 170 degrees. The red line is the fit. The blue line is the fitted contribution of the Zr peak and the green line the fitted contribution of the AI container.


Figure 6. Data and fit given by TFIT for detectors with scattering angles between 150 and 170 degrees. The data is black), the fit is red and the individual peaks contributing to the fit are green (aluminium) and blue (zirconium).

## 4c. Examining Fitted Parameters

A quick overview of the parameters can be obtained using the command PARMEAN. This calculates;
(a) The mean of the fitted parameters, weighted by the statistical errors on these parameters.
(b) The unweighted mean and the standard error in the mean.

If the instrument and the analysis programs were perfect the only errors would be statistical. The two means (a) and (b) would then give the same results within error. In practice (b) always gives larger errors than (a). This is due for example to; faulty detectors, background effects, failures of the fitting program to find the global minimum in parameter space, inaccuracies in the assumptions used in the data analysis (for example that the momentum distribution is Gaussian) etc. As a rule of thumb, if absolute values are required the error should be taken as the standard error in the mean. However if, for example, only the sample temperature changes between runs, the statistical error becomes relevant, since one would expect systematic errors such as those just listed to be the same for all runs.

In the example;
eVS> parmean
Name of file containing output of TFIT routines?
tempbp
Number of masses=
2
first spectrum $=3$ last spectrum= 134

```
    1 ATOMIC MASS= 27.00000
Number of points included= 117
wtd mean area= 0.4108056 +- 2.8046921E-03
mean area = 0.4232959 st dev=4.4313911E-03
Number of points included= 124
wtd mean width= 12.78885 +- 0.2786912
mean width = 14.40658 st dev= 0.3265285
```


## 2 ATOMIC MASS= 91.00000

```
Number of points included= 117
wtd mean area \(=0.5891944+-2.8046921 \mathrm{E}-03\)
mean area \(=0.5767043\) st dev= 4.4313911E-03
Number of points included= 124
wtd mean width= 26.62335 +- 0.2796181
mean width \(=26.80821\) st dev= 0.4397886
2
\(27.00000 \quad 0.4108056 \quad 12.78885\)
\(91.00000 \quad 0.5891944 \quad 26.62335\)
```

The program PARMEAN automatically eliminates outliers from the averages;
(1) The mean value $\chi_{m}^{2}$ of the reduced $\chi^{2}$ of the fit to each detector is calculated and detectors giving fits with $\chi^{2}>1.2 \chi^{2}{ }_{m}$ are excluded. Thus only detectors with good fits contribute to the mean.
(2) The mean value of each parameter over all detectors is calculated. Points differing from the mean by more than 3 times the counting error on the point are excluded. This eliminates outliers due for example to faulty detectors.
(3) Points with very large error bars are excluded (more than 4 times the value of the point). This again eliminates faulty detectors.
(4) Points with very small error bars are also excluded. The fitting routine occasionally produces anomalous values with very small error bars. This is probably due to the minimisation routine getting stuck in a local minimum in parameter space.

Consequently, as specified in the output given above, the number of points included in the calculation of the mean values is less than the total number of detectors. For example in the calculation of the mean width of the Zr peak above, only 124 of the 134 back scattering detectors were included in the calculation of the mean.

The final 3 lines of the output contain a summary of the results; the number of masses, and the mass, mean amplitude and mean width for each mass. A more detailed examination of the fitted areas and widths can be made by use of the routine PARPLOT.
eVS> parplot
Name of file containing output of TFIT routines?
tempbp
Number of masses= 2
NUMBER OF DETECTORS= 132
The file contains data on the following masses
$1 \mathrm{M}=27.0000$
$2 \mathrm{M}=91.0000$
Examine data on peaks areas (1) or widths (2)?
Type 0 to exit program

If 2 is entered in response to this prompt the fitted peak widths are displayed.
2
Examine peak widths.
Mass number?
1
PEAK NUMBER 1 MASS= 27
Arrange in order of increasing angle ( $\mathrm{Y}=1, \mathrm{~N}=0$ )?
1
If 0 is entered in response to the above prompt the widths are displayed as a function of detector number rather than angle.

|  | ANGLE | $Y$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $1.3047 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5353 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.8343 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 4 | $1.3193 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3269 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.6182 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 5 | $1.3306 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5290 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.6656 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 6 | $1.3280 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1783 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.1835 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| 88 | $1.5733 E+02$ | $1.3529 E+01$ | $1.9359 E+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 89 | $1.6034 E+02$ | $1.4563 E+01$ | $2.2009 E+00$ |
| 90 | $1.6313 E+02$ | $1.0277 E+01$ | $1.6937 E+00$ |

Plot widths? ( $\mathrm{Y}=1, \mathrm{~N}=0$ )
The program prints out the detector numbers, the corresponding scattering angle, the value of the parameter plotted (width in this case) and the statistical error on the value.

```
Plot widths? (Y=1,N=0)
```

This is shown as the red line
The program calculates the mean value given by PARMEAN for comparison with the data and displays it as a red line.
Graphics device/type (? to see list, default /NULL):/XW
The plot can be displayed on the screen by /XW. It can be written to a .gif file by /GIF and to a .ps file by /PS. The plot produced by the sequence of commands followed is shown in Figure 7.
zhbrawp


Figure 7. Widths for peak 1 (aluminium) obtained with the double difference data at back-scattering in spectra 3-90. The red line is the mean value given by PARMEAN.

## CHANGE LIMITS? $(Y=1, N=0)$

0
If 1 is entered here, the program prompts for new $x$ and $y$ limits on the plot and plots again with the new limits. Otherwise the program proceeds to the calculation of mean values.

```
READ BAD DETECTORS FROM FILE (1) OR TERMINAL (2)
IF READING FROM FILE, FILE "EXCLUDE.DAT"
MUST HAVE BEEN BE PREPARED
2
```

The program allows the elimination of unreliable detectors.
NUMBER OF DETECTOR TO BE EXCLUDED (0 TO END)

0
The program gives the option of entering unreliable detectors by hand or reading from a file "exclude.dat". This saves keyboard strokes if many data sets are analysed and some
detectors are known to be unreliable. If option 2 is chosen the file EXCLUDE.DAT must be present in the directory in which you are working. This can be created by hand using the NEDIT or EDIT command and must have been prepared before PARPLOT is run. It contains the same input as would be read by hand if option 2 was entered in response to the prompt. The input above would exclude detector 55 from the mean value calculation. A file EXCLUDE.DAT of the form 55

0
Would also eliminate detector 55 from the mean. The file exclude.dat can be prepared using either the ed, (ctrl $z$ to exit) ed/edt (ctrl z followed by ex to exit) or nedit commands (exit using menu at top of window).

The following detectors are excluded from averages
55
Calculate mean value of width
CALCULATE MEANS
FIRST AND LAST POINTS?
TO EXIT PUT FIRST POINT=LAST POINT
3134
3 XV= $130.4653 \quad X=15.35300$ +- 4.834300
$4 X V=130.6171 \quad X=13.71800$ +- 15.92800
$5 \mathrm{XV}=130.6221 \mathrm{X}=12.55400+-12.514004 \mathrm{XV}=130.6221$

```
    132 XV= 162.8193 X= 12.89400 +- 3.085300
    133 XV= 163.1337 X= 14.84800 +- 7.824900
    134 XV= 163.5170 X= 14.54000 +- 4.164900
WTD MEAN= 11.93843 +- 0.3689854
MEAN= 13.77069 ST.DEV= 0.4116524
```

The program prints out the detectors included in the average, in order of increasing angle in response to the choice of option 1 on page 19. It calculates the weighted mean, taking into account the statistical errors on the fitted widths. It also calculates the mean of the values and the standard error in the mean.

## FIRST AND LAST POINTS?

TO EXIT PUT FIRST POINT=LAST POINT

Means of selected ranges can be taken by entering appropriate numbers. For example 7679

76 XV= 149.8588 X= 13.72800 +- 2.974500
77 XV= $151.8561 \quad X=13.51600+-4.587000$

```
    78 XV= 151.8824 X= 15.13600 +- 2.984200
    79 XV= 151.9331 X= 12.64900 +- 1.704400
WTD MEAN= 13.36597 +- 1.273004
MEAN= 13.75725 ST.DEV= 0.5154468
```

calculates the means of detectors 76-79 with scattering angles 149.8-151.9 degrees.

## FIRST AND LAST POINTS?

TO EXIT PUT FIRST POINT=LAST POINT
00
The program returns to the initial prompt if first point=last point.
The file contains data on the following masses
$1 \mathrm{M}=27.0000$
$2 \mathrm{M}=91.0000$
Examine data on peaks areas (1) or widths (2)?
Type 0 to exit program
0
Similar analysis and plotting can be made of other fitted parameters - e.g. widths of different masses or amplitudes instead of widths.

## 4d Forward Scattering

The forward scattering data is fitted in a similar way. Usually the widths and amplitudes of the atoms with $M>3$ are fixed at the values determined from the back scattering data, since the latter data gives more accurate values for heavier masses. The File ZH.COM created in section 3 using the CRECOM procedure automatically produces a file ZH.IN with the values for heavier atoms fixed at the values produced from fitting the back-scattering data.
Alternatively this file can be created using the CREFIN (create F.IN file) command.

```
eVS> crefin
```

Name of file containing output of TFIT routines?
Zhbp
Number of masses=
2
first spectrum= 3 last spectrum= 134
1 ATOMIC MASS= 27.00000
Number of points included= 122
wtd mean width $=26.75393+-0.2900341$
mean width $=26.87679$ st dev= 0.4481016
Enter generic file name
Zh
mass 1 or 2?
1

This creates a file ZH.IN of the following form.

```
1 ! Option. (1) Forward scattering
    3
1.007900
27.00000 0.4223358 13.13998
91.00000 0.5776640 26.64120
1 !NFSE
```

Comparing this file to ZHB.IN, it can be seen that the widths and amplitudes of the peaks from masses 27 and 91 are fixed at the values obtained from back-scattering. The TFIT program is written in such a way that only the ratio of the fixed amplitudes is significant (that is the same results would be produced if 0.4223358 was changed to $2 \times 0.4223358$ and 0.5776640 to $2 \times$ 0.5776640 ). Again this file can be modified by hand using the NEDIT command if you want to try different constraints on the fitting.

The forward scattering data set ZH.DAT was fitted, using the input file ZH.IN
eVS> TFIT
Name of file containing time of flight data?
Zh
tmin,tmax? (tmax-tmin must be $2^{* *} n$ )
50562
Name of file containing fit parameters?
Zh.in
input file is zh
number of points= 1024
ndmin= 135 ndmax $=182$
First and last spectrum number?
135182
The routine produces two output files; ZHD.DAT which contains the data and fits for each detector and ZHP.DAT, which contains the fitted parameters. The fits can be examined using TFITPLOT and the input files ZHD.dat. The fitted parameters in zhp.dat can be examined using PARMEAN and PARPLOT as described in section 4c.

The command PARPLOT gave the plot shown in Figure 8 for the hydrogen peak widths.


Figure 8. Values of the H peak width as a function of detector number after corrections for gamma background and multiple scattering.

The hydrogen peak areas obtained from the corrected data are shown in Figure 9


Figure 9. Values of the H peak areas obtained from the fits as a function of detector number.

The mean values of the widths and areas were obtained using the command PARMEAN.
eVS> parmean
Name of file containing output of TFIT routines?
zh
Number of masses=
3

```
first spectrum= 135 last spectrum=
```

    1 ATOMIC MASS= 1.007900
    Number of points included= 48
wtd mean area= 0.9292901 +- 3.3597506E-04
mean area = 0.9298095 st dev=4.4459224E-04
Number of points included= 46
wtd mean width= 4.137728 +- 8.1897276E-03
mean width = 4.132648 st dev= 1.1147558E-02

```
    2 ATOMIC MASS= 27.00000
Number of points included \(=\quad 47\)
wtd mean area \(=2.6493629 \mathrm{E}-02+-1.2845309 \mathrm{E}-04\)
mean area \(=2.6321936 \mathrm{E}-02\) st dev= 1.6461386E-04
```

Number of points included= 48

```
wtd mean width \(=11.50000 \quad+-1.4433755 \mathrm{E}-07\)
mean width \(=11.50000\) st dev \(=0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00\)
```

    3 ATOMIC MASS= 91.00000
    Number of points included= 47
wtd mean area= 4.4036966E-02 +- 2.1351091E-04
mean area = 4.3751560E-02 st dev= 2.7361230E-04

```
Number of points included= 47
wtd mean width= \(26.60000 \quad+-5.7735025 \mathrm{E}-07\)
mean width \(=26.60000\) st dev= \(0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00\)

4e Calculation of kinetic energies, vibration frequencies and sample composition The widths obtained from PARMEAN can be converted to kinetic energies or equivalent harmonic oscillator frequencies using the command KE. For example the hydrogen width;
eVS> ke
ENTER MASS (AMU)
1.0079

ENTER MEASURED WIDTH AND ERROR (A-1)
4.1370 .011

This is the value and error given by PARMEAN for the H peak width.
```

Measured profile width= 4.137000 +- 1.1000000E-02 A-1
Kinetic energy= 107.3188 +- 0.5707068 meV
Kinetic energy= 1245.328 +- 6.622482 K
Fundamental frequency= 143.0918 +- 0.7609425 meV
Fundamental frequency= 1154.107 +- 6.137382 cm-1

```

The KE program calculates the kinetic energy of the atom in meV. The kinetic energy is also given in degrees. Note that for hydrogen this is a very high temperature. At room temperature the kinetic energy of protons and deuterons is almost entirely due to their quantum zero-point motion. For heavier masses the temperature of the sample makes a significant difference to the kinetic energy of the atoms.

The KE program also gives the "fundamental frequency" in meV and in \(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\). This is the frequency of a 3D isotropic harmonic oscillator which would give the same Gaussian width to the momentum distribution as that fitted. ZrH 2 closely approximates an isotropic harmonic oscillator and thus the value of fundamental frequency obtained in the example above is very close to the values measured by neutron spectroscopy [27]. These lie in the range 141-147 meV.

The mean of the fitted values of peak areas can be used to determine the relative numbers of different atoms in the sample+can. For example the values of the peak areas determined by PARMEAN is listed in the second column of table 1. The neutron cross-sections for the elements are listed in the table on the wall to the right of the control terminal in the VESUVIO cabin. They can also be readily obtained from the internet. These are given in column 2 in barns for the elements in the sample+can. The number of atoms in the beam are in the same ratio as the fitted area divided by the cross-section, given in column 3. The results imply that the number of atoms in the beam was in the ratio \(1.137(\mathrm{H}): 1.75(\mathrm{Al}): 0.67(\mathrm{Zr})\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Mass & Peak area & Cross-section & Area/cross-section \\
\hline 1.0079 & \(0.9292(4)\) & 81.67 & 0.01137 \\
\hline 27.0 & \(0.0264(1)\) & 1.506 & 0.0175 \\
\hline 91.0 & \(0.0440(2)\) & 6.56 & 0.0067 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 1 Peak areas and cross section for \(\mathrm{ZrH} 2+\) al can.

Once you are satisfied with the quality of the fits and have copied any plots you require , the files used by TFITPLOT should be deleted as they use much disk space.
eVS> delete *d.dat;*.
These files can be rapidly regenerated if necessary by running TFIT.

\section*{4f. Example 2 Back scattering}

When the sample composition is known, the amplitiudes of the peaks from atomic masses in the sample can be fixed. This allows more accurate determination of atomic kinetic energies. The sample used here to illustrate this procedure contained \(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Cu}\) in known concentrations. The back scattering bank was used as this gives the best separation of the separate peaks. The sample signal overlapped with a strong aluminium signal from the sample container and the CCR used to cool the sample. Such signals can be subtracted using the command CANSUB.

CANSUB can also be run on forward scattering data, but this is not usually necessary for the study of H or D peaks since these are generally well separated from the can signal in the time of flight spectra. In order to run CANSUB it is necessary to know the sample transmission. The scattering from the can/sample environment depends upon this as the neutron beam is attenuated as it travels through the sample. For example the scattering from the front of the can is not the same as that from the back, since the neutron beam loses intensity as it passes through the sample. The sample transmission can be determined using the command TRANS as in section 3.

\section*{eVS> trans}

\section*{ENTER SAMPLE RUN NUMBER}

Here the sample+can run is entered

\section*{ENTER CAN/EMPTY BEAM RUN NUMBER}

Here a run number with the empty can is entered. Note that an empty can run must be used here and not the empty beam run used in section 3.
```

sample transmission= 0.986034

```

Before CANSUB can be run, corrected data files containing the sample and empty can data must be produced using the procedure described in section 3 . The sample run must be processed including all masses in the sample+container in the input to CRECOM. For processing the can run only the masses present in the can are required. In this example the corrected data was contained in CULTAB.DAT and CANB.DAT. The can subtraction was performed by the following procedure.
eVS> cansub
Name of file containing sample+can data?
cultab
File containing sample+can data is cultab
Name of file containing can data?
canb
File containing sample+can data is canb

\section*{Sample transmission?}

986
This is the value obtained above from TRANS on the (sample+can)/(empty can)
Name of output file?
cultabs
Output file is cultabs
CANSUB plots the data before can subtraction (black), the data after subtraction (green) and the can signal subtracted (red) in user selected angular ranges.

Display input and output data
Enter thmin,thmax
150180
40 thv= 151.9331
41 thv= 151.8561

132 thv= 157.3510
133 thv= 160.8139
134 thv= 163.5170
The program lists the detectors included in the plot.


Figure 10. shows the data in the selected range 150-180 degrees before the can subtraction as the black line. The can signal is the red line. The data after the can subtraction is the green line.

Type <RETURN> for next page:
Enter thmin,thmax
00

The data after the can subtraction is now contained in the output file name specified in the input to CANSUB (CULTABS.DAT in this case)

The data after can subtraction can be fitted using the program TFIT as described in section 4a. In this case the sample composition was known and is listed in the table below.
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
Mass & no of atoms & Neutron xsection & fixed amplitude \\
16 & 6.81 & 4.23 barns & 28.8 \\
23 & 1.0 & 3.23 barns & 3.23 \\
28 & 1.74 & 2.17 barns & 3.77 \\
63.5 & 0.556 & 7.93 barns & 4.41
\end{tabular}

The second column gives the ratio of the number of atoms mass \(M\) to the number of sodium atoms. Thus for example there are 6.81 oxygen atoms for each sodium atom. The fixed amplitude in the .in file for TFIT, given in column 4 is simply the number of atoms \(x\) the neutron scattering cross-section in barns (column 3). TFIT is written in such a way that only the ratio of these fixed amplitudes is significant

Thus the file CULTAB.IN shown below was created by hand, using the NEDIT command, The amplitude parameters were fixed at the values calculated from the table above
```

2 ! back scattering option
4 ! Number of masses in sample
1628.80
23.03.230
28.0 3.77 0 ! Mass of atom, no of atoms x cross-section,width.If zero fit.
63.54.41 0
0 ! No final state subtraction

```

The data was then fitted using the following sequence of commands.
eVS> tfit
Name of file containing time of flight data?
cultabs
input file is cultabs
tmin,tmax? (tmax-tmin must be \(2^{* *} n\) )
300428
number of points \(=256\)
ndmin= 3 ndmax \(=134\)
Name of file containing fit parameters?
cultab.in
e1= 4897.400
\(d e 1 g=88.00000\) de1l= 41.00000
ipno= 4
```

npeaks= 4

| $1 \mathrm{am}=16.00000$ | xs $=28.80000$ | wid $=0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{am}=23.00000$ | xs $=3.230000$ | wid $=0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $3 \mathrm{am}=28.00000$ | xs $=3.770000$ | wid $=0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $4 \mathrm{am}=63.50000$ | xs $=4.410000$ | wid $=0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

```

First and last spectrum number?
3134

The fitted parameters are contained in the file cultabsp.dat and the data and fits in the file cultabsd.dat. These can be examined using TFITPLOT, PARPLOT and PARMEAN as described in section 4.

\section*{5. Determination of momentum distributions}

The momentum distribution of atoms has a strictly Gaussian shape only if the atoms sits in an isotropic harmonic potential. The detailed shape of the momentum distribution \(n(p)\) is of interest as it contains information on the anisotropy of the binding and anharmonic effects. The procedures described here to obtain the shape of \(n(p)\) are illustrated by the determination of a proton momentum distribution. However they are equally valid for any data set in which the peak from one of the atomic masses is well separated from peaks due to other masses; for example D, \({ }^{3} \mathrm{He},{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\), Li.

\section*{5a Isolation of the signal from hydrogen}

The starting point of this analysis is the corrected time of flight data obtained in section 3. The time of flight spectra have the form shown in Figure 5. There is a contribution to the data from the heavier elements in the sample and sample environment (container, cryostat etc), which must be removed. There is also a small correction for "Final State Effects" (FSE) which is applied to account for the fact that the impulse approximation is not exact at the finite momentum transfer of the measurements.

FSE are subtracted from the hydrogen peak using the method described in Appendix 3 The subtraction of FSE is to some extent cosmetic. A function which is anti-symmetric in momentum space is subtracted. However since the function used to fit the data in is symmetric in momentum space the fitted parameters are virtually unaffected by this step. The quality of the fit is affected however. The FSE subtraction is also useful for a visual assessment of the data.Both these data corrections are made using the command FSESUB

\section*{eVS> FSESUB}

Name of file containing time of flight data?

This is the file name for the corrected data obtained in section 3. The peak you wish to analyse must be the first mass in the file ZH.IN.
input file is zh
number of points= 1024
ndmin= 135 ndmax= 182
Name of file containing fit parameters?
zh.in
This is the file used for fitting the forward angle data, which was created in section 4d.
```

de1g= 74.00000 de1l= 24.00000
e1= 4897.400
de1g= 74.00000 de1l= 24.00000
ipno= 4
npeaks= 3
1 am= 1.007900 xs= 0.0000000E +00 wid= 0.0000000E +00
2 am= 27.00000 xs= 0.3740000 wid= 11.30000
3 am= 91.00000 xs= 0.6250000 wid= 25.90000

```
NO BACKGROUND SUBTRACTED
BAD PTS NOT EXCLUDED
NO MULIPLE SCATTERING SUBTRACTED
FSE SUBTRACTED

First and last spectrum number?

\section*{135182}

The output file has the name TEMPFSE.DAT.. This contains the time of flight spectra with only the hydrogen peak included. The data is also corrected for deviations from the impulse approximation. The data can be viewed using the command PLOTD.


Figure 11. Output of PLOTD on TEMPFSE.DAT for angles \(30-40\) degrees. The regions which were excluded by PSUBD and FSESUB appear as gaps.

\section*{5b Creation of file for fitting the hydrogen peak shape.}

The next step in the analysis is to create a data file suitable for input to the routine ISOFITU, described in the following section. This is done using the command ISOFILE.
eVS> ISOFILE
Name of file containing time of flight data?
TEMPFSE
input file is zhs
number of points \(=512\)
ndmin= 135 ndmax \(=182\)
Name of file containing fit parameters?
zh.in
de1g= 74.00000 de1l= 24.00000
e1= 4897.400
npeaks= 3
1 am= 1.007900 xs= \(0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00\) wid= \(0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Note that the first mass in ZHF.IN must be the mass of the atom for which \(n(p)\) is to be determined.
\(2 \mathrm{am}=27.00000 \mathrm{xs}=0.3740000\) wid \(=11.30000\)
\(3 \mathrm{am}=91.00000\) xs= 0.6250000 wid \(=25.90000\)
First and last spectrum number?
135182

ISOFILE normalises the data by
(i) Transforming the time of flight data to momentum (y) space.
(ii) Fitting the hydrogen peak area in y space.
(iii) Dividing the time of flight data by the fitted peak area in momentum space. The latter procedure removes the effects of different detector efficiencies and solid angles.

ISOFILE plots the data and fits in the proton momentum space. It is immediately apparent from these plots if the data analysis procedures and corrections have been successful. In particular since the data is a momentum distribution, it should be symmetric about the origin. To check whether this is so, the program symmetrises the data about the origin and plots the symmetrised (Blue) and unsymmetrised (Black) data (see Figure 11). The sum of fits in the range chosen is also displayed as a red line. These should all coincide within error if the data processing has been successful.
plot data and fit in momentum space
There are 2 options;
1. Plot individual detector
2. Plot sum of consecutive detectors

1
spectrum number? 0 to exit
135
The program allows individual spectra and the sum of spectra to be displayed in momentum space. Figure 12 shows the data from the single spectrum S135.
Black= data, Blue=symmetrised data, Fed=fit


Figure 12. Shows the data in the proton momentum space from detector 135. The black dots are the data. The blue line is the data after symmetrisation about zero. The red line is the fit in momentum space used to normalise the data set. If the procedure has worked properly the red and blue lines should coincide within error.

\section*{RETURN}
plot data and fit in momentum space
There are 2 options;
1. Plot individual detector
2. Plot sum of consecutive detectors

2
ismin,ismax?
1. 135-142 2. 143-150 3. 151-158 4. 159-166
5. 167-174 6. 175-182 7. 183-190 8. 191-198 Type 0 to exit plotting 135182

Option 2 allows the data to be summed in momentum space. This reduces the statistical errors and gives a more sensitive and more global assessment of the success of the data correction procedures. The list above gives the spectrum number for the 8 banks of detectors at forward scattering angles (see Figure A1.1).
ismin,ismax?
1. 135-142 2. 143-150 3. 151-158 4. 159-166
5. 167-174 6. 175-182 7. 183-190 8. 191-182

00
There are 2 options;
1. Plot individual detector
2. Plot sum of consecutive detectors

Type 0 to exit plotting

\section*{0}

Enter generic file name
zh


Figure 13 shows sum of detectors 135-182 in the proton momentum space as the black dots. The statistical error bars are also shown. The blue line is the data after symmetrisation about zero. The red line is the sum of fits to individual detectors.

The program produces a file with the name ZHFILE.DAT (XXXXFILE.DAT for generic file name \(X X X X\) ). This contains the time of flight spectra for every detector included in the input to FSESUB. The data file TEMPFSE.DAT produced by FSESUB should now be deleted to conserve disk space.
eVS> delete TEMP*.dat;*

\section*{\(5 c\) Determination of the proton momentum distribution}

The momentum distribution of the protons is obtained using the ISOFITU command. This simultaneously fit all detectors in the data file produced by ISOFILE using the procedure given in Appendices 2-4. The routine ISOFITU simultaneously fits data from all detectors, to a product of a Gaussian function with a sum of Hermite polynomials. The fitting parameters are the Gaussian width and the coefficients multiplying the Hermite polynomials included. With sufficient coefficients, the fitting function can accurately describe any functional form. However in practice it is rare that more than 5 fitting parameters are required to fit the entire data set.

The first step is to produce a file ZHFIT.IN for input to ISOFITU. This is done using the command CRENPIN (create NP file.IN).
eVS> CRENPIN
enter generic filename
zh
Mass of atom? \((\mathrm{H}=1.0079, \mathrm{D}=2.015)\)

\subsection*{1.0079}

This sequence of inputs produces a file named ZHFIT.IN of the form
```

zh File.dat
1.007900
4897.4 24.0073.6
4 0 . 0
zh fit
4 1 0 ! Number of iterations
1.00 1.0 1. Scale factor for xz plane
4.00 1.0 2. Sigma
0.00 0.0 3. h2*Y00
0.70 1.0 4. h4*y00
0.00 0.0 5. h6*Y00
0.00 0.0 6. h8*y00
0.00 0.0 7. h10*Y00
0.00 0.0 8. h12*Y00
0.00 0.0 9. h14*Y00
0.30 1.0 10. h3*Y00*sigma/q
0.00 0.0 11. h4*Y00*sigma**2/q/q

```

If your generic file name is XXXX then the file XXXXFIT .IN will be produced. The different lines in the file are
zh File.dat
This is the name of the data file produced by ISOFILE - ZHFILE.DAT. It is the data file which is fitted.
```

1.007900

```

This is the atomic mass in amu for which the momentum distribution is to be obtained.. It should be 2.0115 if fitting D.
4897.4000000000024 .000000000000073 .6000000000000
40.0000000000000

This is the final energy in meV, the width of the Lorentzian and Gaussian resolution components. 40.0 specifies the range of momentum in output files.
zh fit
This specifies the name of the data files output by the fitting routine. These are ZHFITJY.DAT,ZHFITNP.DAT,ZHFITYDAT.DAT.

410
This is the number of iterations of the fitting routine. 410 is adequate in most cases.
1.0 1.0 1. Scale factor for xz plane

This is the scale parameter for fit - this should always be very close to 1 .
4.00 1.0 2. Sigma

The standard deviation of the Gaussian in the fitting expansion.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
0.00 & 0.0 & 3. & \(h 2^{* Y 00}\) \\
0.70 & 1.0 & 4. & h4*y00 \\
0.00 & 0.0 & 5. & h6*Y00 \\
0.00 & 0.0 & 6. & h8*y00 \\
0.00 & 0.0 & 7. & h10*Y00 \\
0.00 & 0.0 & 8. & \(h 12^{* Y} 00\) \\
0.00 & 0.0 & 9. & h14*Y00
\end{tabular}

Coefficients of Hermite polynomials; The first number is the starting values of the fitting parameter. If the second number is 1 the parameter is fitted. If it is zero it is fixed.
\(0.301 .010 . \quad \mathrm{h} 3 * \mathrm{YOO}\) *sigma/q
Fits any asymmetries in the data set. If the assumptions of the data analysis are correct such asymmetries should be very small. If results depend significantly upon whether this parameter is included in the fit, the data is suspect.
0.000 .0 11. h4*Y00*sigma**2/q/q

Corrects for second order final state effects not included in the FSE corrections of section 5a. This parameter is usually set to zero and not fitted as second order corrections are unobservable at current statistical accuracies.

The default is to fit only the scale factor, the Gaussian width and the coefficient to H 4 . However your data may contain more structure, which need more coefficients to fit. The coefficients which should be included are determined automatically using the command CHOOSEPAR.
eVS> choosepar
enter input file name
zhfit

CHOOSEPAR calculates the likelihood of the various combinations of the coefficients of H 2 H14. A better fit is always obtained by including more parameters, but eventually one ends up fitting noise in the data. CHOOSEPAR uses a Bayesian method to limit the number of fitting parameters in a systematic way. It calculates the likelihood of the fit using the "Occams razor" method described in Reference [7]. CHOOSEPAR takes about 10 minutes to run and produces a file CHOOSEPAR.OUT containing the likelihoods of all combinations of parameters.

\footnotetext{
A file for fitting with the parameters determined as significant by CHOOSEPAR can be created using the command SORT_CHOOSEPAR.
}
```

eVS> sort_choosepar
Input file was ZHFIT

| log lik | H 2 | H 4 | H 6 | H 8 | H 10 | H 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2-6418.521$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $4-6419.234$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $8-6420.410$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

```

The routine prints out the various combinations of parameters in order of their likelihood. Only the first few lines of the output are shown. The first line gives the optimum combination of fitting parameters. 1 means the parameter should be included, 0 that it should not. It then prompts for the generic filename and mass and produces a new ZHFIT.IN. This includes only the coefficients giving the maximum likelihood in the fit.

Generic file name?
zh
Mass of atom? \((\mathrm{H}=1.0079, \mathrm{D}=2.015)\)
1.0079

The final stage of the analysis is to determine the function \(\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{y})\) and the corresponding momentum distribution by simultaneously fitting the same parameters to data in all detectors.
eVS \(>\) ISOFITU
enter input file name
ZHFIT
This is the file produced by SORT_CHOOSEPAR.

When the program has found the optimum values of the fit parameters it gives a prompt;

VALUE OF RED CHISQ= 1.06207331146182
Plot fitted J(y) with errors
Graphics device/type (? to see list, default /NULL):
(A .gif file of this plot can be obtained by entering /GIF, POSTSCRIPT file by entering /PS.)


Figure 14. Compton profile \(\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{y})\) produced by ISOFITU.

A plot of the fitted \(J(y)\) with errors will appear on the screen as shown in Figure 14.
The program also writes an Ascii file of the plot to ZHFITJY.DAT in the format yvalue, \(J(y)\) error in \(J(y)\).

Next the program gives the prompt
Type <RETURN> for next page:
Plot is of \(p^{* *} 2 n(p)\)

Graphics device/type (? to see list, default /NULL): /XW

A plot of \(p^{2} n(p)\) will appear, where \(n(p)\) is the momentum distribution.


Figure 15. Plot of \(p^{2} n(p)\) produced by ISOFIT. The errors are also plotted but are too small to be observed.

The program also produces an ASCII file ZHFITNP.DAT. in the form
\(p, p^{2} n(p)\), error in \(p^{2} n(p)\).

The next prompt is
PLOT Y1 AND Y2 (1)
PLOT RESIDUAL(2)
FOR NO PLOT (0)

This gives a plot of the data and fits after all detectors have been added in y space A response of 1 will give a plot of the experimental \(J(y)\) convoluted with the resolution function, together with the fit.

A response of 2 will give a plot of the difference between experiment and fit A response of 0 will give no plot and the program will move to the next stage.

The program also produces an ASCI file ZHFITYDAT.DAT which contains the data and fit in yspace. This is of the form
yvalue, data, fit, statistical error in data point.

The next prompt is
```

Plotfile? (1=yes, 0=no)

```

1
PLOTFILE BEING CREATED.

This produces a file TEMPFILE.DAT which contains the data and fit to each individual detector in time of flight. This is useful to assess the quality of the fits and to see if particular detectors are giving poor fits. The TEMPFILE.DAT file can be examined using the command \$ PLOTDETS

A list of values of the reduced chi-square for each detector is given.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 48 & 512 & \\
\hline 1 & 135 & Reduced chi-square of fit= & 0.8686708 \\
\hline 2 & 136 & Reduced chi-square of fit= & 0.9556400 \\
\hline 3 & 137 & Reduced chi-square of fit= & 0.8972189 \\
\hline 4 & 138 & Reduced chi-square of fit= & 0.8466188 \\
\hline 5 & 139 & Reduced chi-square of fit= & 0.9704176 \\
\hline 6 & 140 & Reduced chi-square of fit= & 1.013893 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The individual fits can also be plotted. The program gives the prompt DETECTOR NUMBER? (0 TO END)


Figure 16. Shows the data and fit in detector number 40 of the 48 detectors included. The data is plotted as black points with error bars. The fit is the solid red line. The points which are excluded from the fit by the routines FSESUB and PSUBD appear as gaps in the data.
After the fits to individual detectors have been examined all data files with names beginning with TEMP should be deleted to conserve disk space. This can be done by the command. >eVS delete temp*.dat;*

If necessary all such deleted files can be recreated very quickly by rerunning the relevant programs.

This completes the analysis procedure. The following Appendices contain more details of the VESUVIO instrument, the correction procedures used and the data analysis procedures.


Figure A1.1 shows a schematic diagram of the VESUVIO spectrometer.

\section*{Appendix 1 The VESUVIO Spectrometer}

The VESUVIO spectrometer is illustrated in Figure A1.1. VESUVIO at present has 198 detectors.
1. Spectrum 1 ( S 1 ) is the incident beam monitor.
2. S 2 is the transmitted beam monitor.
3. S3-S134 are back scattering detectors. These lie in the angular range 133-165 degrees.
4. S135-198 are forward scattering detectors. These lie in the angular range 30-70 degrees.

VESUVIO measures the momentum distribution \(n(\mathbf{p})\) of atoms by "neutron Compton scattering" (NCS) [8]. Measurements of \(n(\mathbf{p})\) provide a unique window on the quantum behaviour of atomic nuclei in condensed matter systems. NCS is of particular value for studying the large number of important systems containing protons - for example, water, biological molecules, hydrogen storage materials, protons conductors, metal hydrides etc. Examples of recent measurements in various systems are given in references [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Interpretation of VESUVIO data relies upon the fact that for scattering with sufficiently high momentum and energy transfers, the impulse approximation (IA) is accurate [22]. The IA implies that the neutron scatters from a single atom, with conservation of the total kinetic energy and momentum of the neutron plus atom. Hence the measured energy and momentum change of the neutron is related in a simple way to the momentum distribution of the atoms. Details of the technique and the data analysis used are given in references [8] and [16] and are also summarised in Appendix 2.

Measurements of proton momentum distributions require much higher energy and wave vector transfers than those accessed by other neutron scattering techniques. Typically the energy transfer is \(1<\omega<50 \mathrm{eV}\) and the wave vector transfer \(40<q<150 \AA^{-1}\). NCS is possible only at pulsed neutron sources, which allow the use of time of flight techniques [17] for accurate inelastic neutron scattering measurements at eV energies.

Time of flight techniques require that the energy of either the incident or scattered neutron is well defined. On VESUVIO this is accomplished by use of the neutron absorption resonance which occurs in gold at 4.9 eV . This defines the final energy of the neutron as \(4.9 \pm \sim 0.14\) eV . The data produced by VESUVIO is obtained by taking differences between spectra produced with gold foils in different positions. The foil changers are also illustrated in Figure A1.1.

To illustrate the differencing method we consider data at forward scattering. The technique at back scattering is discussed in reference [1]. The VESUVIO detectors at forward scattering angles are Yttrium Aluminium Perovskite doped (YAP) \(\gamma\)-ray detectors [18,19,20]. Although these do not detect neutrons directly, they are made to function both as neutron detectors and energy selectors by placing a gold foil on the YAP detector surface [21]. Gold contains a sharp resonance absorption line for neutrons, centred at 4.9 eV . When the foil absorbs neutrons in the 4.9 eV resonance it emits a \(\gamma\)-ray cascade which can be detected, thereby determining both the time of flight and the final energy of the neutron.

The blue line in Figure A1.2 shows time of flight data obtained in a single YAP detector at a scattering angle of \(66^{\circ}\), from a sample composed of sheets of lead and polythene \(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\). The peak at \(\sim 380 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) is due to scattering from lead and carbon, that at \(\sim 170 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) from hydrogen. It can be seen that the \(y\)-ray signal from the foil sits on a large background. This seems to be mainly due to \(\gamma\)-ray rays produced when neutrons scattered by the sample are absorbed by the surrounding boron shielding. There are also a series of lines for \(\mathrm{t}<200 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) due to absorption in antimony impurities in the lead sample.


Figure A1.2 Data taken from a sample composed of sheets of polythene \(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\) and lead by a single YAP detector at an angle of \(67^{\circ}\). The "foil out" data is in blue. The "foil in" data is in red. The difference "foil out"-"foil in" is shown as the black line. The scattering angle was \(66^{\circ}\). The total count time was 5600 micro-amp-hours. The sample scattered \(15 \%\) of the incident beam.

By use of a secondary foil which can be placed in two positions the energy resolution can be much improved and the background almost eliminated. This "foil cycling" method [21] is illustrated in Figure A1.3.


Figure A1.3. Illustrates the foil cycling method used on VESUVIO. There is a primary gold foil fixed on the surface of the YAP detector and a moveable secondary gold foil of identical thickness. The secondary foils are "cycled" that is moved many times between the two positions within a data collection period. The cycling removes drifts in detector efficiency with time, due for example to ambient temperature changes.

In the "foil out" measurement (shown as the blue line in Figure A1.2), the scattered neutrons do not have to pass through the secondary foil to reach the primary foil. The "foil out" counts are
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text {out }}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta_{d}\right)=C\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta_{d}\right) A\left(E_{1}\right) \eta+B_{\text {out }}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta_{d}\right) \tag{A1.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
\(E_{0}\) is the energy of the neutron incident on the sample, \(E_{1}\) is the energy of the scattered neutron absorbed by the foil and \(\theta_{d}\) is the scattering angle. \(C\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta_{d}\right)\) is the rate at which scattered neutrons are incident on the primary foil. \(C\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta_{d}\right)\) depends on the incident neutron spectrum and the scattering properties of the sample and can be determined from the data. \(A\left(E_{1}\right)\) is the probability that a neutron of energy \(E_{1}\) is absorbed in the primary foil. \(\eta\) is the probability that the consequent \(\gamma\)-ray cascade is registered by the YAP detector. \(B_{\text {out }}\) is the y background with the secondary foil "out".

In the "foil in" measurement the secondary foil is moved so that neutrons have to pass through it to reach the primary foil. Currently the secondary foil has the same thickness as the primary foil. Hence the counts from the primary foil are reduced by the factor \(1-A\left(E_{1}\right)\).
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{i n}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta\right)=C\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta\right)\left[1-A\left(E_{1}\right)\right] A\left(E_{1}\right) \eta+B_{i n}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta\right) \tag{A1.2}
\end{equation*}
\]

A typical "foil in" spectrum is shown in Figure A1.2 as the red line. The VESUVIO "raw" data is the difference between these two counts.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{\text {out }}-C_{i n}\right)=C \eta A^{2}+\left(B_{\text {out }}-B_{i n}\right) \tag{A1.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

This is illustrated as the black line in Figure A1.2. Clearly most of the \(\gamma\)-ray background is removed by this differencing technique. The residual background ( \(B_{\text {out }}-B_{\text {in }}\) ) is calculated by the BCORR routine of section A10.3, using the method described in reference [2].

It can be seen from eqs (A1.1) and (A1.3) that the (almost) Lorentzian energy dependence of \(A\left(E_{1}\right)\) in the "foil out" measurement becomes a Lorenzian-squared dependence in the difference measurement. Thus not only does the foil cycling method remove most of the gamma background but it also greatly improves the resolution function. This is illustrated in Figure A1.4, which shows lead data collected with the old \({ }^{6}\) Li doped neutron detectors [1] and the new YAP system as a function of energy transfer, \(\left(E_{0}-E_{1}\right)\). The energy resolution function with the \({ }^{6}\) Li detectors is almost Lorentzian with a FWHM of \(\sim 0.35 \mathrm{eV}\). The energy resolution with the YAP detectors is almost Gaussian with a FWHM of \(\sim 0.2 \mathrm{eV}\) [3].


Figure A1.4. Shows VESUVIO data collected on a Pb sample with the old \({ }^{6}\) Li doped neutron detectors (black) and the new YAP detector system (red) as a function of energy transfer \(\left(E_{0}-E_{1}\right)\). The intrinsic width due to the lead momentum distribution is shown as the blue line.

At present on VESUVIO the back-scattering detectors use the older double difference technique [4]. This gives two measurements with different resolutions and count-rates - the single difference and the double difference spectra. The double difference data which is usually used gives similar energy resolution to that obtained at forward scattering.

\section*{Appendix 2. Theory of the time of flight data analysis.}

\section*{A2a Count rates on inverse geometry spectrometers}

We consider a system of \(N\) identical atoms, scattering neutrons into a detector subtending solid angle \(d \Omega\), at scattering angle \(\theta\). It follows from the definition [22] of the partial differential scattering cross-section \(d^{2} \sigma / d \Omega d E\), that the number of neutrons with incident energies in the range \(E_{0}\) to \(E_{0}+d E_{0}\), detected with final energies between \(E_{1}\) and \(E_{1}+d E_{1}\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right) d E_{0} d E_{1}=I\left(E_{0}\right) D\left(E_{1}\right) \frac{d^{2} \sigma\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta\right)}{d \Omega d E_{1}} d \Omega d E_{0} d E_{1} \tag{A2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(I\left(E_{0}\right) d E_{0}\) is the number of incident neutrons/unit area with energies between \(E_{0}\) and \(E_{0}+d E_{0}\) and \(D\left(E_{1}\right)\) is the probability that a neutron of energy \(E_{1}\) is detected. It follows from standard theory [22] that for isotropic scattering,
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \sigma\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, \theta\right)}{d \Omega d E_{1}}=|b|^{2} \sqrt{\frac{E_{1}}{E_{0}}} S(q, \omega) \tag{A2.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(S(q, \omega)\) is the dynamic structure factor, and \(b\) is the nuclear scattering length. The energy transfer in the measurements is
\[
\begin{equation*}
\omega=E_{0}-E_{1} \tag{A2.3}
\end{equation*}
\]
and the momentum transfer
\[
\begin{equation*}
q=\sqrt{2 m}\left(E_{0}+E_{1}-2 \sqrt{E_{0} E_{1}} \cos \theta\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{A2.4}
\end{equation*}
\]

The velocity of the scattered neutron is
\[
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=\sqrt{2 E_{1} / m} \tag{A2.5}
\end{equation*}
\]
with a similar expression for the velocity \(v_{0}\) of the incident neutron, where \(m\) is the neutron mass. The neutron time of flight \(t\) is thus
\[
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{L_{0}}{v_{0}}+\frac{L_{1}}{v_{1}} \tag{A2.6}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(L_{0}\) is the incident flight path and \(L_{1}\) is the final flight path . Equations (A2.5) and (A2.6) can be used to define \(E_{0}\) in terms of \(E_{1}\) and \(t\).
\[
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left(E_{1}, t\right)=\frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{L_{0} v_{1}}{v_{1} t-L_{1}}\right)^{2} \tag{A2.7}
\end{equation*}
\]

The total number of neutrons detected in a time channel between \(t\) and \(t+d t\) can be expressed as
\[
\begin{equation*}
C(t) d t=\left[\int C_{D}\left[E_{0}\left(t, E_{1}\right), E_{1}\right] \frac{d E_{0}\left(t, E_{1}\right)}{d t} d E_{1}\right] d t \tag{A2.8}
\end{equation*}
\]

It follows from (A2.5) and (A2.7) that
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d E_{0}}{d t}=\left(-\frac{2^{3 / 2}}{L_{0} m^{1 / 2}}\right) E_{0}^{3 / 2} \tag{A2.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

On an ideal inverse geometry instrument, \(L_{0}, L_{1}, \theta\) are precisely known and only neutrons of a precisely defined energy \(E_{R}\) are detected - that is
\[
\begin{equation*}
D\left(E_{1}\right)=D\left(E_{R}\right) \delta\left(E_{1}-E_{R}\right) \tag{A2.10}
\end{equation*}
\]

It then follows from (A2.1) and (A2.8) - (A2.10) that
\[
\begin{equation*}
C(t)=2\left(\frac{2}{m}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{E_{0}^{3 / 2}}{L_{0}} I\left(E_{0}\right) D\left(E_{R}\right) N \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \Omega d E_{1}} d \Omega \tag{A2.11}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(E_{0}\left(E_{R}, t\right)\) is defined via (A2.7). Equation (A2.11) is the standard expression for the count rate in an inverse geometry time of flight spectrometer [17].

\section*{A2b. The Impulse Approximation}

The VESUVIO spectrometer is mainly used to determine atomic momentum distributions in condensed matter systems, by "Deep Inelastic Neutron Scattering" (DINS), also known as Neutron Compton Scattering (NCS) [8]. DINS relies upon the fact that at sufficiently high momentum transfer, the Impulse Approximation (IA) can be used to interpret neutron data [22]. The validity of the IA in neutron scattering has been discussed by many authors [23,24,25,26] and at the energy and momentum transfers attained on VESUVIO is accurate to within \(\sim 5 \%\) in hydrogenous samples [27]. A basic assumption of the IA is that for neutron wavelengths much less than the inter-atomic spacing, atoms scatter incoherently. Thus if atoms of different mass \(M\) are present in the sample, it follows from (A2.11) that the count rate is
\[
\begin{equation*}
C(t)=2\left(\frac{2}{m}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{E_{0}^{3 / 2}}{L_{0}} I\left(E_{0}\right) D\left(E_{R}\right) \sum_{M} N_{M} \frac{d^{2} \sigma_{M}}{d \Omega d E_{1}} d \Omega \tag{A2.12}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(N_{M}\) is the number of atoms of mass \(M\) and \(d^{2} \sigma_{M} / d \Omega d E_{1}\) is the partial differential cross-section for mass \(M\). The IA effectively treats the scattering as single atom "billiard ball" scattering with conservation of momentum and kinetic energy of the neutron + target atom. The formal expression of this is that the dynamic structure factor for atoms of mass \(M\) is [22]
\[
\begin{equation*}
S_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)=\int n_{M}(\mathbf{p}) \delta\left(\omega+\frac{p^{2}}{2 M}-\frac{(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q})^{2}}{2 M}\right) d \mathbf{p} \tag{A2.13}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(n_{M}(\mathbf{p})\) is the atomic momentum distribution for mass \(M\).
It follows from (A2.13) that
\[
\begin{equation*}
S_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)=\frac{M}{q} J_{M}\left(y_{M}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}\right) \tag{A2.14}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{equation*}
y_{M}=\frac{M}{q}\left(\omega-\frac{q^{2}}{2 M}\right) \tag{A2.15}
\end{equation*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{equation*}
J_{M}\left(y_{M}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}\right)=\int n_{M}(\mathbf{p}) \delta\left(y_{M}-\mathbf{p} . \hat{\mathbf{q}}\right) d \mathbf{p} \tag{A2.16}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(\hat{\mathbf{q}}=\mathbf{q} / q\). The "neutron Compton profile" \(J_{M}\left(y_{M}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}\right)\) is the probability distribution of the momentum component of mass \(M\) along the direction of \(\hat{\mathbf{q}}\) and is analogous to the "Compton profile", measured in Compton scattering of photons from electrons. In isotropic samples such as liquids and powders, all directions are equivalent and the dependence upon \(\hat{\mathbf{q}}\) can be ignored.

In the latter case it follows from equation (A2.14) and (A2.2) that,
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \sigma_{M}}{d \Omega d E_{1}}=b_{M}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{E_{1}}{E_{0}}} \frac{M}{q} J_{M}\left(y_{M}\right) \tag{A2.17}
\end{equation*}
\]

Combining equations (A2.12) and (A2.17)
\[
\begin{equation*}
C(t)=\frac{E_{0} I\left(E_{0}\right)}{q} \sum_{M} A_{M} M J_{M}\left(y_{M}\right) \tag{A2.18}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{equation*}
A_{M}=\frac{2}{L_{0}} D\left(E_{R}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2 E_{R}}{m}} \Delta \Omega N_{M} b_{M}^{2} \tag{A2.19}
\end{equation*}
\]
is proportional to number of atoms of mass \(M\) multiplied by the scattering cross-section.

\section*{2c. Fitting Expression}

In the derivation of equation (A2.18) it is assumed that the "instrument parameters" \(L_{0}, L_{1}, \theta\) and \(E_{1}\) are known exactly. In reality these quantities can be determined only according to some probability distribution \(P\left(L_{0}, L_{1}, \theta, E_{1}\right)\), which determines the instrument resolution.

The measured count rate \(C_{m}(t)\) is an average over the possible values of these parameters, weighted by their probability of occurrence
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}(t)=\int C(t) P\left(L_{0}, L_{1}, \theta, E_{1}\right) d L_{0} d L_{1} d \theta d E_{1} \tag{A2.20}
\end{equation*}
\]

Thus the exact incorporation of the instrument resolution function would entail the evaluation of this four dimensional integral for each data point, in addition to the convolution in \(t\), required to incorporate the uncertainty in the measurement of time of flight. To reduce data processing times, the approximation is made in the data analysis that the resolution can be incorporated as a single convolution in \(t\) space, with a different resolution function \(R_{M}(t)\) for each mass. Thus (A2.18) is modified to
\[
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}(t)=\left[\frac{E_{0} I\left(E_{0}\right)}{q}\right] \sum_{M} A_{M} M J_{M}\left(y_{M}\right) \otimes R_{M}(t) \tag{A2.21}
\end{equation*}
\]

A second approximation of the data analysis is that \(J_{M}\left(y_{M}\right)\) is assumed to have a normalised Gaussian form
\[
\begin{equation*}
J_{M}\left(y_{M}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi w_{M}^{2}}} \exp \left(\frac{-y_{M}{ }^{2}}{2 w_{M}^{2}}\right) \tag{A2.22}
\end{equation*}
\]

Equations (A2.21-22) define the fitting expression in the routines TFIT, used to fit data in time of flight. The parameters fitted are \(A_{M}\), the amplitudes of scattering from each peak and \(w_{M}\), which defines the Gaussian width of each peak in momentum \(\left(y_{M}\right)\) space

\section*{Appendix 3. Corrections to the Impulse Approximation}

The method of Sears [23] is used to correct data for deviations from the impulse approximation, due to the non-infinite momentum transfer \(q\) and energy transfer \(\omega\) in the measurement. Sears showed that the effects of finite \(q\) and \(\omega\) can be accounted for by writing \(J(y)\) as,
\[
\begin{equation*}
J(y)=J_{I A}(y)-\frac{M\left\langle\nabla^{2} V\right\rangle}{36 \hbar^{2} q} \frac{d^{3} J_{I A}(y)}{d y^{3}}+\frac{M^{2}\left\langle F^{2}\right\rangle}{72 \hbar^{4} q^{2}} \frac{d^{4} J_{I A}(y)}{d y^{4}}+\ldots \ldots \tag{A3.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(J_{I A}(y)\) is the impulse approximation result. \(\left\langle\nabla^{2} V\right\rangle\) is the mean value of the Laplacian of the potential energy of the atom and \(F\) is the force on the atom. If \(q\) is sufficiently large, all terms other than \(J_{I A}(y)\) can be neglected. In the VESUVIO data analysis routines only the first and second terms on the right in eq. (A3.1) are included in the analysis. The third term is negligible at the current level of statistical accuracy. The value of \(\left\langle\nabla^{2} V\right\rangle\) is fitted by the routine FSESUB and the second term on the left in eq (A3.1) is subtracted from the data.

The validity of this method has been tested on zirconium hydride [27] beryllium metal and zirconium deuteride. [28]. It has been shown that within experimental error the corrections to
the impulse approximation applied on VESUVIO are consistent with equation (A3.1) in these materials.

\section*{Appendix 4. Theory of Fitting to obtain \(\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p})\) for a single mass}

As discussed in Appendix 2, VESUVIO measures not the momentum distribution \(n(p)\), but the "longitudinal momentum distribution" \(J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}})\), where \(\hat{\mathbf{q}}\) is the unit vector along \(\mathbf{q}\). The two quantities are related via the expression (A2.16)
\[
\begin{equation*}
J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}})=\int n(\vec{p}) \delta(y-\mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) d \mathbf{p} \tag{A4.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{M}{q}\left(\omega-\frac{q^{2}}{2 M}\right) \tag{A4.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
\(J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}})\) is the "Radon transform" of \(n(\mathbf{p})\). In order to determine \(n(\mathbf{p})\) from \(J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}})\), this transform must be inverted. The method used to invert the transform relies upon the following mathematical result [29]. If \(J(y)\) is expressed in the form
\[
\begin{equation*}
J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}})=\frac{\exp \left(-y^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}\right)}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \sum_{n, l, m} a_{n, l, m} H_{2 n+1}(y) Y_{l, m}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}) \tag{A4.3}
\end{equation*}
\]

Then
\[
\begin{equation*}
n(\mathbf{p})=\frac{\exp \left(-p^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}\right)}{\pi^{3 / 2}} \sum_{n, l, m} 2^{2 n+l} n!(-1)^{n} a_{n, l, m} L_{n}^{l+1 / 2}\left(p^{2}\right) Y_{l, m}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) \tag{A4.4}
\end{equation*}
\]

In eqn (A4.3) \(H_{2 n+1}\) is a Hermite polynomial, \(Y_{l, m}(\widehat{\mathbf{q}})\) is a Legendre polynomial. In eqn A4.4 \(L_{n}^{l+1 / 2}\left(p^{2}\right)\) is a Laguerre polynomial. Since Hermite polynomials and Legendre polynomials form a complete set, with enough coefficients the expansion (A4.3) can be used to accurately describe any three dimensional function. With isotropic data, where there is no dependence on the direction \(\widehat{\mathbf{q}}\), only the terms \(l, m=0\) contribute and these equations reduce to;
\[
\begin{align*}
& J(y)=\frac{\exp \left(-y^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}\right)}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \sum_{n} a_{n} H_{2 n+1}(y)  \tag{A4.5}\\
& n(p)=\frac{\exp \left(-p^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}\right)}{\pi^{3 / 2}} \sum_{n,} 2^{2 n+l} n!(-1)^{n} a_{n} L_{n}^{l+1 / 2}\left(p^{2}\right) \tag{A4.6}
\end{align*}
\]

The program ISOFITU fits the time of flight spectra to eq (A2.21) with \(J(y)\) given by eq (A4.5). The fitting parameters are \(\sigma\) and the coefficients \(a_{n}\). The momentum distribution
\(n(p)\) is calculated by inserting these fitted coefficients in eq (A4.6). The errors in \(n(p)\) are calculated from the statistical errors on the fitted parameters as described in refs [8, 30]. The steps required to run the programs are given in section 5 .

\section*{Appendix 5. Multiple Scattering Corrections}

Multiple scattering (MS) occurs because there is a finite probability that the neutron will scatter more than once in the sample before being detected. MS must be subtracted from the time of flight spectra before accurate results for momentum distributions can be obtained. The multiple scattering is calculated using the procedure described in reference [6].This is done in the routine MSSUB described in section A10.5.

In practice probably the most troublesome component of multiple scattering is due to the neutron scattering twice from heavy atoms in the sample. Such scattering gives a spurious peak to the left of the heavy atom contribution in the time of flight spectrum. Multiple scattering in which protons are involved gives a smooth background under the hydrogen peak. This is illustrated in Figure A5.1 which shows the single and multiple scattering from the \(\mathrm{ZrH}_{2}\) sample used throughout as an example. The simulation was for a sample which scatters \(9.2 \%\) of the incident neutrons. Spectra 151-158 (42-53 degrees) were summed.


Figure A5.1. Shows calculated total (black line) and multiple scattering (red line) for a ZrH2 sample, scattering 9.2\% of the incident neutrons. Multiple scattering involving \(\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{H}\) or \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}\) gives a broad background under the single scattering from H . The Al-Zr, Al-Al or Zr-Zr multiple scattering gives a secondary peak to the left of the single scattering contribution from Al+La which is centred at \(\sim 370 \mu \mathrm{sec}\). Redo for sample used

The ratio of the intensities of multiple/single scattering is determined by the sample thickness. However the shape of the multiple scattering contribution is quite insensitive to the sample thickness. This is illustrated in figure A5.2 which shows the multiple scattering calculated for

ZrH 2 samples with different thicknesses. The attenuation A in the sample (A=1-transmission) is given for each case. The multiple scattering is divided by the sample thickness input to the program. It can be seen that the shape of the multiple scattering is independent of sample thickness to a good approximation.


Fig A5.2a. Shows the calculated multiple scattering summed over back scattering spectra 91-134 for \(\mathrm{ZrH}_{2}\) in an Al container. Blue attenuation \(A=0.048\). Black \(A=0.092\), Red \(A=0.179\), Green \(A=0.256\). The calculated \(M S\) is divided by the sample thickness in each case.


Figure A5.2b. Shows the calculated multiple scattering summed over spectra 135-182 at forward angles. Blue \(A=0.048\). Black \(A=0.092\), Red \(A=0.179\), Green \(A=0.256\). The calculated \(M S\) is divided by the sample thickness in each case.

It follows that to a good approximation the multiple scattering can be matched to the data as described in section A10.5 to obtain the required correction. The factor F used in MSSUB should always be close to 1 .

It is found that the shape of the multiple scattering is also quite insensitive to the sample geometry. Thus the procedure described in sections A10.5 should be accurate for any sample geometry and not just planar samples. An exception to this is for samples which scatter more than \(\sim 30 \%\) of the incident beam.

\section*{Appendix 6. Format of data files produced by Analysis Programs}

All files are in Ascii form;
A. RAW, RAWB, BCORR, MSSUB, PSUBD, produce files of the form

1351189
\begin{tabular}{lll}
5.000000 & 0.3378124 & \(8.5653573 \mathrm{E}-02\) \\
5.500000 & 0.4921608 & 0.1176118 \\
6.000000 & 0.1984558 & 0.1180097
\end{tabular}
597.5000 -1.2792587E-02 1.3943858E-02
\(598.0000 \quad 1.0959029 \mathrm{E}-02\) 1.3809922E-02
\(598.5000 \quad-1.0032296 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.3844969 \mathrm{E}-02\)
\(599.0000 \quad-3.1795382 \mathrm{E}-02\) 1.3847820E-02
1361189
\(5.000000 \quad 0.5859909 \quad 9.3891762 \mathrm{E}-02\)
\(5.500000 \quad 1.161842 \quad 0.1287399\)
\(6.000000 \quad 0.7143860 \quad 0.1296356\)

135 is the spectrum number, 1189 is the number of points
Then time, y value, error
The file list all detectors specified in the input of RAW, RAWB etc sequentially.

\section*{B. TFIT on eg zh.dat produces a file zhd.dat which is read by TFITPLOT. This file has the format}
\(1351024 \quad 3\)
\(50.00000 \quad 6.9565773 \mathrm{E}-032.6512034 \mathrm{E}-02\) 5.8729056E-05 9.8426826E-06
2.8947456E-05 1.9938918E-05

135 is the detector number, 1024 the number of points in the time of flight spectra, 3 is the number of peaks fitted.
50.000 is the time of flight
\(6.9565773 \mathrm{E}-03\) the data value
\(2.6512034 \mathrm{E}-02\) the error on the data value
\(5.8729056 \mathrm{E}-05\) is the fit to the data
\(9.8426826 \mathrm{E}-06\) is the contribution of peak 1
\(2.8947456 \mathrm{E}-05\) the contribution of peak 2
\(1.9938918 \mathrm{E}-05\) the contribution of peak 3.

If there are more than 3 peaks fitted more values will appear in the output file.
The format is repeated for all detectors specified and for all times of flight within the ranges specified by the input to TFIT.

\section*{C. TFIT on eg zh.dat also produces a file zhp.dat which is read by PARMEAN and PARPLOT.}

This file has the format
3
48
1.007900
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & WEIGHT & ERROR & SIGMA & ERROR & CHI-SQ \\
\hline 135 & 9.2709E-01 & 2.1564E-03 & \(4.0329 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 6.3335E-02 & \(1.0488 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 136 & \(9.2489 \mathrm{E}-01\) & \(2.0456 \mathrm{E}-03\) & \(4.1498 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 5.8670E-02 & \(1.0731 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 137 & 9.2752E-01 & 2.0955E-03 & 3.9757E+00 & 5.4829E-02 & \(1.0260 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline 181 & \(9.3174 \mathrm{E}-01\) & \(1.7427 \mathrm{E}-03\) & 4.1767E+00 & 4.4978E-02 & 1.1171E+00 \\
\hline 182 & 9.3079E-01 & 1.8250E-03 & \(4.0445 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 3.9188E-02 & \(1.1004 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
27.00000
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
& WEIGHT & ERROR & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ SIGMA } & ERROR & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ CHI-SQ } \\
135 & \(2.6190 \mathrm{E}-02\) & \(7.7459 \mathrm{E}-04\) & \(1.1025 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{E}-06\) & \(1.0488 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
136 & \(2.6981 \mathrm{E}-02\) & \(7.3480 \mathrm{E}-04\) & \(1.1025 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{E}-06\) & \(1.0731 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\end{tabular}

3 is the number of masses, 48 the number of detectors included. 1.0079 is the mass associated with peak 1,27 with peak 2 etc. The program lists the values of weight and width with errors for each peak and the reduced chi-square of the fit for each detector.

\section*{D. Files produced by multiple scattering calculation.}

These have the form;
\begin{tabular}{cllll}
3 & & & \\
51.00000 & \(3.5338137 \mathrm{E}-21\) & \(6.0394530 \mathrm{E}-05\) & \(3.5847436 \mathrm{E}-06\) \\
51.00000 & \(0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.5688147 \mathrm{E}-05\) & \(3.5265361 \mathrm{E}-06\) \\
52.00000 & \(3.2133188 \mathrm{E}-21\) & \(2.4351232 \mathrm{E}-04\) & \(8.1685903 \mathrm{E}-06\) \\
52.00000 & \(0.0000000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(1.7257749 \mathrm{E}-04\) & \(8.1390463 \mathrm{E}-06\) \\
53.00000 & \(8.4807274 \mathrm{E}-21\) & \(3.6033263 \mathrm{E}-04\) & \(8.4261565 \mathrm{E}-08\)
\end{tabular}

3 is the maximum order of scattering calculated by the program (that is neutrons which are scattered 3 times)

The first line contains
Time of flight, intensity of once scattered, intensity of twice scattered, intensity of thrice scattered

The second line contains the corresponding statistical errors given by the Monte Carlo calculation

This is repeated in steps of \(1 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) to \(562 \mu \mathrm{sec}\).

\section*{Appendix 7. Summing non-consecutive runs}

If non-consecutive runs are to be summed the RAW and RAWB routines are replaced by DIFFM and DIFFMB. To run these;

First create a file containing the run numbers. For example to add runs 15103, 15120, 15115,
15118. Create a file with name e.g. run_numbers.dat of the form

14188
14190
14189
14195
This can be done using the command "ed run_numbers.dat" (to exit editor CONTRL Z) or using the NEDIT command.

Then for back scattering;
eVS> diffmb
name of file containing run numbers?
run_numbers
114188.00
214190.00
314189.00
\(4 \quad 14195.00\)
first and last spectrum numbers?
3134
for single difference type 1
for double difference type 2
2
enter file name for output
tempb

For forward scattering;
eVS> diffm
name of file containing run numbers?
run_numbers
114188.00
214190.00
314189.00
414195.00

First and last spectrum numbers?
135182
File name for output?
temp

\section*{Appendix 8. Keeping a record of your analysis}

It is suggested that a record of your analysis should be kept in a Word document as you proceed. This should contain details of the names of files you have created and want to keep. Results and plots which are produced by the analysis programs can be pasted into this document by the following procedures.
1. Open a Word document
2. To record plots which are produced by the programs;
(a) Click on the window containing the plot.
(b) Press the PrtSc key on the keyboard
(c) Open paint via Start, All programs and accessories. There is also a paint icon at the lower right hand side of the screen in the VESUVIO cabin which can be double clicked to open Paint.
(d) Click on the paint window which occurs and Ctrl V to copy the screen into paint. If necessary maximize the window size to fill the screen.
(e) Select the plot you wish to copy using the top right hand icon in the menu on the left.
(f) Click on the word document, go to the appropriate point and CTrl \(V\) to paste the selected area into the Word document.
3. To record the output of programs (eg mean values calculated) in the Word document
(a) Select the text you wish to copy in the VMS window which runs the programs, using the mouse.
(b) Click on the word document go to the relevant point in the document and Ctrl V to paste the text in.

\section*{Appendix 9. Command file produced by CRECOM}

The file ZH .COM produced by the sequence of commands in section 3 is listed below.
```

\$ set def USER\$DISK:[JM01]
\$ RAWB ! Get Raw back scattering data. Data in TEMPB.DAT
1 4 1 8 8 1 4 1 9 5
\$ CREBIN ! Create .IN file for fitting back scattering
zh4188
2
27.00000
91.00000
0
\$ TFIT ! Fit raw back-scattering data
tempb
200456
zh4188 b.in
3134
\$ CREFIN ! Create .IN file for fitting forward scattering.
tempbp
zh4188
1
\$ RAW ! Get raw forward scattering data. Data in TEMP.DAT
14188 14195
135182

```
temp
50562
zh4188 .in
135182
\$ CRECIN! Create .IN file for gamma background correction
tempp
zh4188
\$ BCORR ! Correct forward scattering data for gamma background.
temp
zh4188 c.in
0
\$ TFIT ! Fit gamma corrected forward-scattering data
tempc
50562
zh4188 .in
135182
\$ CREMSIN! Creates MS .IN file
tempcp
zh4188
1
\$ THICK! Calculates thickness parameter
zh4188
0.8310000
\$ MSCALC ! calculates multiple scattering
zh4188
\$ MSSUBB! Subtract multiple scattering at back scattering
tempb
zh4188
\$ MSSUBB ! Subtract multiple scattering at forward scattering
tempc
zh4188
\$ PSUBB! Obtain final forward scattering data.

50562
tempcms
zh4188 .in
zh4188
135182
\(278.0000 \quad 292.0000\)
00
\$ PSUBB ! Obtain final back scattering data.
50562
tempbms
zh4188 b.in
zh4188 b
3134
00
\$ TFIT! Fit final back-scattering data
zh4188 b
200456
zh4188 b.in
3134
\$ CREFIN ! Create new .IN file for fitting forward scattering.
zh4188 b p
zh4188
1
\$ TFIT ! Fit final forward-scattering data
zh4188
50562
zh4188 .in
135182
\$ @EVS\$DISKO:[EVSMGR.USERPROGS]DELFILES! Delete temporary files.

\section*{Appendix 10. Data correction procedures}

In this appendix the procedures used to correct the data for multiple scattering and gamma background are given in more detail. By following the sequence given here the user can reproduce the procedure in the command file created by the CRECOM command (see section 3) by hand and see how the different corrections affect the data.

\section*{A10.1 Fitting the raw data}

The first stage of the data analysis is to fit the raw data using the command TFIT. The fitting function which is used in this routine is described in Appendix 2. The file ZHB.IN for fitting the back scattering data is produced using the CREBIN command, as described in section 4 a. The raw data TEMPB.DAT at back scattering produced by the RAWB command (see section 2 ) is then fitted using the TFIT command with the input file ZHB.IN.
eVS> tfit
Name of file containing time of flight data?
Tempb
This is the name of the data file produced by the RAWB routine
input file is tempb
tmin,tmax? (tmax-tmin must be \(2^{* *} n\) )
300428
This range was chosen by observation of the data shown in Figure 3. It includes the peaks from the masses other than mass 1 (or 2) in the data, but excludes as much as possible of the background (mainly to multiple scattering) at shorter times. For most samples this is a good range to choose. For samples containing masses \(<7\) the range may have to be extended to include all peaks: for example 200-456 \(\mu \mathrm{sec}\).
number of points= 256
The number of points is \(2^{8}\) in this case.
ndmin \(=\quad 3\) ndmax \(=134\)
Name of file containing fit parameters?
zhb.in
This is the file produced by the CREBIN command.
```

npeaks= 2
1 am= 27.00000 xs= 0.0000000E+00 wid= 0.0000000E+00
2 am= 91.00000 xs= 0.0000000E +00 wid= 0.0000000E +00

```

\section*{FSE NOT SUBTRACTED}

First and last spectrum number?
3134
These are the first and last spectra to be fitted

The routine fits the data in spectra 3-134 and produces two output files. These files have the same name as the input data file with the letter \(D\) or \(P\) added. In the example these are;
(3) TEMPBD.DAT- This contains the data and fits
(4) TEMPBP.DAT - this contains the fitted parameters. These are ASCII files with formats which are given in Appendix 6.

The data and fits can be displayed using the TFITPLOT command as described in section 4 b . The fitted parameters can be examined using the PARMEAN and PARPLOT commands, as described in section 4c .

The raw forward scattering data is obtained using the command RAW as described in section \(2 a\). This produces a data file TEMP.DAT. This is fitted using TFIT with the INPUT file ZH.IN created using the CREFIN command, as described in section 4d.

\section*{A10.2. Correction of data for gamma background}

Currently the forward scattering detectors on VESUVIO suffer from a sample dependent gamma background. This background can be calculated from the measured data as described in reference [2]. It is first necessary to create a file characterising the sample. This is done using the command CRECIN (create *c.in file). This uses the fitted values for amplitudes and widths from the initial analysis at forward and backward angles to characterise the sample.
```

eVS> crecin
Name of file containing output of TFIT routines?
tempp
Number of masses= 3
first spectrum= 135 last spectrum= 182

```
    1 ATOMIC MASS= 1.007900
Number of points included \(=\quad 48\)
Enter generic file name
zh

The program produces the file ZHC.IN (this will be xxxxc.in if you enter xxxx for generic file name)

3
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1.007900 & 0.9310600 & 4.388812 \\
27.00000 & \(2.8320679 \mathrm{E}-02\) & 12.78900 \\
91.00000 & \(4.0618815 \mathrm{E}-02\) & 26.62300
\end{tabular}

The values of amplitudes and widths are those given by PARMEAN operating on TEMPP.DAT.

The necessary correction is applied by use of the command BCORR, with the input file ZHC.IN
```

eVS> bcorr

```
Name of file containing time of flight data?
temp
input file is temp
number of points= 1024
ndmin= 135 ndmax \(=182\)

Enter name of .in file
zhc.in
E1= 4897.400
DE1L= 24.00000 DE1G= 74.00000
\(1 \mathrm{M}=1.007900 \quad\) AREA \(=0.9300000\) SIGMA= 4.290000
\(2 \mathrm{M}=27.00000\) AREA \(=2.8999999 \mathrm{E}-02\) SIGMA \(=13.60000\)
\(3 \mathrm{M}=91.00000\) AREA= 3.9999999E-02 SIGMA= 26.60000
```

135 RHOD= 0.4401759 PHID= -59.60000 ZD= 0.3359000
1 3 6 ~ R H O D = ~ 0 . 4 7 0 6 6 7 9 ~ P H I D = ~ - 5 9 . 7 0 0 0 0 ~ Z D = ~ 0 . 2 6 0 4 0 0 0 ~

```
\begin{tabular}{llll}
181 RHOD \(=0.4988186\) & PHID \(=47.60000\) & ZD \(=-0.1835000\) \\
182 RHOD \(=0.5257007\) & PHID \(=47.50000\) & ZD \(=-0.1081000\)
\end{tabular}

The program takes about 5 minutes to run. When it has finished, the data corrected for gamma background is in the file TEMPC.DAT. The program allows the uncorrected data, the corrected data and the gamma background correction to be displayed.

Plot input data, corrected data and background
Plot individual detectors (1) or detector banks (2)?
type 0 to exit program
2
bank number (0 to end)
1. 135-142 2. 143-150 3. 151-158 4. 159-166
5. 167-174 6. 175-182 7. 183-190 8. 191-198

There are 8 banks of detectors at forward angles (see Figure A1.1).
1


Figure A10.6. shows the uncorrected data (black), corrected data (red) and the gamma back ground (green) subtracted from the data for bank 1 (detectors 135-142).

\section*{A10.3. Calculation of multiple scattering}

The data must also be corrected for multiple scattering (MS) to get accurate values for the widths and amplitudes of the peaks. MS is calculated using Monte-Carlo methods [6]. The parameters input to the calculation are determined from the data. The first step is to fit the forward scattering data after correction for gamma background.

\section*{A10.3a Fit to gamma corrected data}
eVS> tfit
Name of file containing time of flight data?
tempc
input file is tempc
tmin,tmax? (tmax-tmin must be 2**n)
50562
number of points \(=1024\)
ndmin= 135 ndmax \(=198\)
Name of file containing fit parameters?
zh.in
This is the file created using the CREFIN command (section 4d) containing the fixed values obtained from the back scattering data.
```

npeaks= 3
1 am= 1.007900 xs= 0.0000000E +00 wid= 0.0000000E+00
2 am= 16.00000 xs= 0.4370540 wid= 8.548523
3 am= 27.00000 xs= 0.5629457 wid= 12.64197

```

\section*{FSE SUBTRACTED}

First and last spectrum number?
135182

\section*{A10.3b Creation of file for calculation of multiple scattering.}

To create a file for calculating the multiple scattering use the command CREMS (create MS file). The program calculates the mean values of the parameters obtained from fitting TEMPC.DAT using PARMEAN and inserts these into the multiple scattering file.

First determine which directory you are working in using the command "sh def". For example eVS> sh def USER\$DISK:[JM01]

Next,
```

eVS> cremsin

```
Name of file containing output of TFIT routines?
Tempcp
Number of masses= 3
first spectrum \(=135\) last spectrum \(=182\)
    1 ATOMIC MASS= 1.007900
Number of points included \(=48\)
wtd mean area \(=0.9310600+-3.2063187 E-04\)
mean area \(=0.9308982\) st dev= 4.4463281E-04
Number of points included= 32
wtd mean width= \(4.388812 \quad+-9.8748179 \mathrm{E}-03\)
mean width \(=4.364275\) st dev=1.7645935E-02

\section*{Enter generic file name}

Zh
quick option (1) or slow option (2)
quick option runs 10 times faster but has larger errors. Quick option is OK for most data

\section*{1}

This sequence of command creates the file ZHMS.IN given below. If in response to the prompt "Enter generic file name" you typed XXXX the file will be " \(\mathrm{XXXXms.com"}\).

91182
3
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1.007900 & 0.9301589 & 4.245532 \\
27.00000 & \(2.9496644 \mathrm{E}-02\) & 13.14000 \\
91.00000 & \(4.0345035 \mathrm{E}-02\) & 26.64100
\end{tabular}

241

1
Zh

The different lines have the following functions.
91182 are the first and last detectors for which the calculation is made. The back scattering detectors are arranged in three identical wedges (see Appendix 1). Hence the multiple scattering calculated in spectra 91-134 can also be used for spectra 3-90.

\section*{3 is the number of different masses in the sample+container.}

The next three lines contain the values of mass, amplitude and width of the data peak for each mass in the sample. These values are the mean values obtained via PARMEAN from the file TEMPCP.dat produced in section A10.4a by fitting the forward angle data after correction for the gamma background.

241 is a "thickness parameter". This determines the amount of multiple scattering and is determined from the measured sample+can transmission as follows.
eVS> thick
Generic file name?
zh
sample+can transmission?
0.831

Measured sample+can transmission \(=0.8310000\)
This is the sample+can transmission determined using the TRANS command described in section 3.
```

First spectrum= 91 last spectrum= 182
M= 1.007900 XSECT= 0.9301589 SIG= 4.245532
M= 27.00000 XSECT= 2.9496644E-02 SIG= 13.14000
M= 91.00000 XSECT= 4.0345035E-02 SIG= 26.64100
input thickness= 241.0000
required thickness parameter= 244.1994
required thickness parameter= 244.4864
required thickness parameter= 244.5121
required thickness parameter= 244.5145
required thickness parameter= 244.5146
required thickness parameter= 244.5146
required thickness parameter= 244.5146

```
```

required thickness parameter= 244.5146
required thickness parameter= 244.5146
required thickness parameter= 244.5146
NAME OF OUTPUT FILE IS zh MS.IN

```

The routine THICK produces a new file ZHMS.IN with the thickness parameter adjusted to give the same transmission as that measured (0.831) in this case. The multiple scattering correction can be run interactively by the command MSCALC.
eVS> mscalc
Instrument parameters read from fileEVS\$DISKO:[EVSMGR.CALIB.PAR]IP0004
Generic filename?
zh
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Input file iszh & ms.in & \\
\hline First spectrum= & 91 last spectrum= & 182 \\
\hline TMIN \(=50.00000\) & TMAX \(=562.0000\) & DT= 1.000000 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{M}=1.007900\) & XSECT= 0.9301589 & SIG= 4.245532 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{M}=27.00000\) & XSECT \(=2.9496644 \mathrm{E}-0\) & -2 SIG= 13.14000 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{M}=91.00000\) & XSECT \(=4.0345035 \mathrm{E}-02\) & 2 SIG= 26.64100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TRANSMISSION \(=0.8310000 \quad\) ATTENUATION \(=0.1690000\)
First spectrum \(=3\) last spectrum \(=182\)
TMIN \(=50.00000\) TMAX \(=562.0000\) DT= 1.000000
\(1 \mathrm{M}=1.007900\) XSECT \(=0.9300000 \quad \mathrm{SIG}=4.260000\)
\(2 \mathrm{M}=27.00000\) XSECT= 2.8999999E-02 SIG= 13.50000
\(3 \mathrm{M}=91.00000\) XSECT= 3.9999999E-02 SIG= 26.60000
TRANSMISSION \(=0.8598490\) ATTENUATION \(=0.140151\)
ENTER NAME OF OUTPUT FILE
NAME OF OUTPUT FILE IS zhms

The program will start to run and will print out the above lines. As can be seen from the output of the program, the calculated sample transmission with the sample thickness calculated by the THICK command was equal to the measured transmission of 0.83 . The program typically takes \(\sim 10\) minutes run on the fast option and \(\sim 100\) minutes on the slow option. After the program has completed, the calculated multiple scattering is contained in the files ZH91.dat ...ZH182.DAT.

\section*{A10.4. Correction of data for multiple scattering.}

\section*{A10.4a Backscattering}

When the MS calculation has completed, the back scattering data can be corrected for multiple scattering using the command MSSUB.
```

eVS> mssub
Name of file containing time of flight data?
tempb
Name of input file =tempb
This is the uncorrected back-scattering data produced by RAWB.

```
```

first spectrum= 3 last spectrum= 134

```
first spectrum= 3 last spectrum= 134
dt= 0.5000000
dt= 0.5000000
tmin= 5.000000 tmax= 599.0000
```

tmin= 5.000000 tmax= 599.0000

```

Name of file containing ms calculation?
zh
This is the generic file name
Name of file containing ms calculation =[evsmgr.jm.ms]zhms
The program plots the data as a black line, the calculated total scattering as a red line and the calculated multiple scattering as a green line. The scale of both data and calculated multiple scattering is arbitrary and the multiple scattering is normalised so that the number of counts in data and calculation is the same within the region 320-400 \(\mu \mathrm{sec}\).


Figure A10.7. Plot produced by MSSUB. The black line is the data. The green line is the calculated multiple scattering. The red line is the calculated total scattering. This coincides with the green line to the left of the aluminium peak and cannot be seen

As discussed in Appendix 5 the shape of the multiple scattering contribution is insensitive to the sample geometry and thickness, providing the sample is not too thick ( \(30 \%\) scattering is the maximum which can be measured, although \(10 \%\) scattering is recommended). Only the ratio of single/multiple scattering varies significantly with thickness and sample geometry. The procedure described here can therefore be used to correct any sample geometry for multiple scattering to a good approximation.

MSSUB now gives the prompt
factor by which MS is multipled? (0 TO EXIT)
suggested factor=1.02145

\section*{1}

The program allows the multiple scattering to be multiplied by a constant factor F and plots the data after subtraction of the multiple scattering multiplied by F. The program calculates a suggested factor by integrating calculation and data for the back-scattering data over the range 200-280 \(\mu \mathrm{sec}\). The factor is chosen automatically when the command file produced by CRECOM (section 3) is run.

In this case it can be seen that with \(\mathrm{F}=1\) the calculated multiple scattering correction closely matches that observed in the data region of interest. This is inferred from the fact that the background to the left of the aluminium and zirconium peaks, which can be observed in Figure A10.7, has been removed in fig A10.8. For flat planar samples covering the neutron beam, F should be close to 1 . However with more complex sample geometries \(\mathrm{F} \neq 1\) may be necessary. In the latter case various factors can be tried to give the best zero base line to the left of the peaks from the heavier atoms.


Figure A10.8. Plot produced by MSSUB of data after subtraction of multiple scattering with \(\mathrm{F}=1\).

Once \(F\) has been determined, type 0 in response to the prompt. factor by which MS is multipled? (0 TO EXIT)

0

The program then asks for the final factor by which the calculated multiple scattering is to be multiplied and the file name for output.
factor by which MS is multipled for output file?
1
Name of output file =tempms.dat

The corrected back scattering data is now contained within the file TEMPMS.DAT. It can be viewed using the routine PLOTD. If you wish to keep this file for a more accurate determination of the amplitudes and widths at back-scattering it should be renamed. For example
```

eVS> Rename TEMPMS.DAT ZHB.DAT

```

However this file can be quickly regenerated using the RAWB and MSSUB routines and it is usually not necessary to keep it.

\section*{A10.4b Forward scattering}

The multiple scattering correction for forward scattering is made by an essentially identical procedure to that used for the back-scattering data.
eVS> mssub
Name of file containing time of flight data?
tempc
This is the forward angle data after correction for gamma background
Name of input file =tempc
first spectrum \(=135\) last spectrum \(=182\)
```

dt= 0.5000000
tmin= 50.00000 tmax= 561.5000

```

Name of file containing ms calculation?
zh
Name of file containing ms calculation =[evsmgr.jm.ms]zh


Figure A10.9. Plot produced by MSSUB. The black line is the sum of all data in the input data file with angles between 30 and 50 degrees.. The red line is the calculated total scattering. The green line is the multiple scattering contribution.

The program plots the sum of the data in the angular range 30-50 degrees as the black line, the sum of the calculated scattering as the red line and the calculated multiple scattering as the green line. The range 30-50 degrees is chosen for this plot as it contains a flat background between 120 and \(180 \mu \mathrm{sec}\), which can be used to assess the accuracy of the calculation of multiple scattering. For forward scattering the suggested factor is calculated by integrating data and calculation for angles between 30 and 40 degrees, over the range 120\(180 \mu \mathrm{sec}\).
factor by which MS is multipled? (0 TO EXIT)
suggested factor=0.97218
0.972

The program displays the data after subtraction of the multiple scattering with the chosen factor. This factor should be close to one. However it is chosen to give the best zero background in the range \(120-180 \mu \mathrm{sec}\).


Fig A10.10. Plot produced by MSSUB of data - MS with the chosen factor \(F=1\). The structure which can be observed for \(\mathrm{t}<120 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) is due to a second gold resonance in the analyser foil at energy \(\mathrm{E} \sim 60 \mathrm{eV}\). This region is removed from the final data set using PSUBD (see section A10.5c).
factor by which MS is multipled? (0 TO EXIT)
0
If 0 is not entered the program re-plots with the new factor. If 0 then the program goes to the final step for creating the data file with MS subtracted
factor by which MS is multipled for output file?
0.972

Name of output file =tempms
The program writes the data corrected for multiple scattering to the file TEMPMS.DAT.

\section*{A10.4c Removal of spurious contributions to the data.}

The final step in the data correction procedure is to subtract;
(1) The contribution from a 60 eV resonance in the gold analyser. This occurs at short times ( \(<120 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) ) and must also be removed from the data set. Its effects can be seen in Figure A10.10, where it produces structure at times below \(120 \mu \mathrm{sec}\). This structure mimics that produced by the 4.9 eV resonance, but with lower intensity.
(2) Some samples with heavy elements give small nuclear resonance peaks over narrow regions of the time of flight spectra. One example is the peak at \(\sim 280 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) due to hafnium impurities in the \(\mathrm{ZrH}_{2}\) sample which can be observed in figure 4. Such regions must also be removed from the data.

Both subtractions are performed by the command PSUBD.
eVS> psubd
tmin,tmax? (tmax-tmin must be \(2^{* *} n\) )
50562
Name of file containing time of flight data?
tempms
Name of file containing fit parameters?
zh.in
input file is tempms

Name of output file?
zh
This is your generic file name
```

number of points= 1024

```
ndmin= 135 ndmax= 182
First and last spectrum number?
135182
enter tmin,tmax for data to be excluded
to exit enter tmin=tmax
278292
The region 278-292 \(\mu \mathrm{sec}\) is excluded as it contains a contribution from hafnium impurities in the sample.This can be seen for example in Figure 4.
enter tmin,tmax for data to be excluded
to exit enter tmin=tmax
00
Only one data region is excluded by hand in this case. The program next prompts the user to display the data after elimination of spurious data
plot individual detectors (1) or banks (2)?
bank number ( 0 to end)
1. 135-142 2. 143-150 3. 151-158 4. 159-166
5. 167-174 6. 175-182 7. 183-190 8. 191-182

1
This produces the plot shown in Figure A10.11. Two regions have been eliminated from the data set; (i) A region between 100 and \(116 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) is contaminated by the second gold resonance and is automatically removed. (ii) The region \(278-292 \mu \mathrm{sec}\) was excluded by hand in response to the user input to the program. These regions appear as gaps in the plotted spectrum. The regions which are eliminated from the data are given very large error bars so that they do not affect any fits. The final data set is stored in the file ZH.DAT (XXXX.DAT if your generic file name is \(X X X X\) ).

1


Figure A10.11. Shows the final data set from bank 1 (sum of spectra 135-142) as the black line. The gaps are data regions eliminated by PSUBD.

This completes the data correction procedures. In principle one should now repeat the procedure, using the widths and amplitudes obtained from the corrected data to produce the file ZHC.IN for calculation of the gamma correction and ZHMS.COM for the calculation of multiple scattering. However it is found empirically that this is not necessary, since the gamma correction and multiple scattering correction are both insensitive to the exact values of amplitudes and widths of the peaks. Hence iteration makes no significant difference to the final results obtained.

It is recommended that once the final corrected data sets at forward (ZH.dat) and backward (ZHB.dat) have been obtained all data files produced by the intermediate steps are deleted. Otherwise you will run out of disk space quite rapidly. This can be done by the command

\section*{eVS> delete temp*.dat;*}

If necessary all TEMP files can be recreated quickly by rerunning the programs with the relevant .IN files. The latter should not be deleted.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ If you wish to check whether your data is sensitive to the accuracy of the MS correction the slow option can be chosen and the procedure re-run. Alternatively different runs of CRECOM will give slightly different results due to the randomness in the MS calculation. Hence the sensitivity of the results to the accuracy of the MS calculation can also be tested by rerunning $\mathrm{ZH} . \mathrm{COM}$ on the fast option.

