Science & Technology
Facilities Council

An introduction to Quantum Graphs theory

Mario Arioli

STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Daresbury 20 July 2010

Overview of talk

- Motivations and Modelling
- Graphs, metric graphs, and quantum graphs
- Self-adjoint Hamiltonians and boundary conditions
- Modelling again
- Waves and eigenvalues problems
- Numerical issues and domain decomposition
- Open problems i.e.

Overview of talk

- Motivations and Modelling
- Graphs, metric graphs, and quantum graphs
- Self-adjoint Hamiltonians and boundary conditions
- Modelling again
- Waves and eigenvalues problems
- Numerical issues and domain decomposition
- Open problems i.e. The things I have not understood yet!!!

Example: Naphthalene



Example: Polystyrene



Example: Graphene



Example: spectral clustering



Example: Human body



Modelling (examples)



A fat graph (l_e length of edge e)

Modelling (examples)



A fat graph (l_e length of edge e)

- Difficult to have a decent triangulation of the fat domain!

Modelling (examples)



A fat graph (l_e length of edge e)

- Difficult to have a decent triangulation of the fat domain!
- Irregular solution (corners)

Modelling (examples)



A fat graph (l_e length of edge e)

- Difficult to have a decent triangulation of the fat domain!
- Irregular solution (corners)
- Given an Hamiltonian on the fat graph, to what does it converge when $\delta \to 0$?

Modelling (examples)



Graph (e edge and v vertex)

- Difficult to have a decent triangulation of the fat domain!
- Irregular solution (corners)
- Given an Hamiltonian on the fat graph, to what does it converge when $\delta \to 0$?

Combinatorial and metric Graphs

- A Combinatorial Graph Γ is defined by a set $\mathcal{V} = \{v_j\}$ of vertices and a set $\mathcal{E} = \{e_k\}$ of edges connecting the vertices that can be finite or countably infinite. Each edge e can be identified by the couple of vertices that it connects ($e = (v_{j_1}, v_{j_2})$).

Combinatorial and metric Graphs

- A Combinatorial Graph Γ is defined by a set $\mathcal{V} = \{v_j\}$ of vertices and a set $\mathcal{E} = \{e_k\}$ of edges connecting the vertices that can be finite or countably infinite. Each edge e can be identified by the couple of vertices that it connects $(e = (v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}))$. NO GEOMETRY

Combinatorial and metric Graphs

- A Combinatorial Graph Γ is defined by a set $\mathcal{V} = \{v_j\}$ of vertices and a set $\mathcal{E} = \{e_k\}$ of edges connecting the vertices that can be finite or countably infinite. Each edge e can be identified by the couple of vertices that it connects $(e = (v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}))$.
- A graph Γ is a "*Metric Graph*" if at each edge e is assigned a length $l_e \in (0, \infty)$ and a measure (normally the Lebesgue one). Each edge can be assimilated to a finite or infinite segment of the real line $(0, l_e) \in \mathbb{R}$, with the natural coordinate s_e.

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Remarks

- We can remove vertices of degree 2 after we fuse the 2 edges into one

Remarks

- We can remove vertices of degree 2 after we fuse the 2 edges into one
- The graph Γ is a topological manifold (or a 1D simplicial complex) having singularities at the vertices, i.e. it is NOT a differentiable manifold.

Remarks

- We can remove vertices of degree 2 after we fuse the 2 edges into one
- The graph Γ is a topological manifold (or a 1D simplicial complex) having singularities at the vertices, i.e. it is NOT a differentiable manifold.
- Γ is provided with a global metric and the distance between two points (not necessarily vertices) is the length of the shortest path between them. Thus, the points on Γ are the vertices and all the points on the edges. The Lebesgue's measure is well defined on all of Γ for finite graphs.

Remarks

- We can remove vertices of degree 2 after we fuse the 2 edges into one
- The graph Γ is a topological manifold (or a 1D simplicial complex) having singularities at the vertices, i.e. it is NOT a differentiable manifold.
- Γ is provided with a global metric and the distance between two points (not necessarily vertices) is the length of the shortest path between them. Thus, the points on Γ are the vertices and all the points on the edges. The Lebesgue's measure is well defined on all of Γ for finite graphs.
- Γ is NOT necessarily embedded in a Euclidean space ($\mathbb{R}^n$)

Conditions on infinite graphs

Condition A An edge of infinite length has only one vertex. It is a ray starting from a vertex.

Condition B For any positive number r and any vertex v there is only a finite number of vertices w at a distance less than r from v.

Conditions on infinite graphs

Condition A An edge of infinite length has only one vertex. It is a ray starting from a vertex.

Condition B For any positive number r and any vertex v there is only a finite number of vertices w at a distance less than r from v.



Hilbert spaces

Definition-$L^2(\Gamma)$:

$$L^2(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{e\in\mathcal{E}} L^2(e)$$

$$f(s) \in L^2(\Gamma) \qquad \text{iff} \qquad ||f||^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} ||f||^2_{L^2(e)} < \infty$$

Definition-$H^1(\Gamma)$ (Sobolev space) :

$$H^1(\Gamma) = \Big(\bigoplus_{e\in\mathcal{E}} H^1(e)\Big) \cap C^0(\Gamma)$$

$$f(s) \in H^1(\Gamma) \qquad \text{iff} \qquad ||f||^2_{H^1(\Gamma)} = \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} ||f||^2_{H^1(e)} < \infty$$

$C^0(\Gamma)$ space of continuous functions on Γ.

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Quantum Graphs

Let $\mathcal{H}$ an operator (Hamiltonian) defined on $H^1(\Gamma)$.

A Quantum Graph is a metric graph where an Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and boundary conditions that assure $\mathcal{H}$ is self-adjoint are defined.

Hamiltonian

Operators (s denotes the coordinate on an edge)

Second derivative $f \rightarrow -\frac{d^2 f}{ds^2}$

A natural condition is to assume that $f(e) \in H^2(e)$, $\forall e \in \mathcal{E}$.

Hamiltonian

Operators (s denotes the coordinate on an edge)

Second derivative $f \to -\dfrac{d^2 f}{ds^2}$

Schrödinger $f \to -\dfrac{d^2 f}{ds^2} + V(s) f$

A natural condition is to assume that $f(e) \in H^2(e)$, $\forall e \in \mathcal{E}$.

Hamiltonian

Operators (s denotes the coordinate on an edge)

Second derivative $f \to -\frac{d^2 f}{ds^2}$

Schrödinger $f \to -\frac{d^2 f}{ds^2} + V(s)f$

Magnetic Schrödinger $f \to \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{ds} - A(s)\right)^2 f + V(s)f$

A natural condition is to assume that $f(e) \in H^2(e)$, $\forall e \in \mathcal{E}$.

Hamiltonian

Operators (s denotes the coordinate on an edge)

Second derivative $f \to -\dfrac{d^2 f}{ds^2}$

Schrödinger $f \to -\dfrac{d^2 f}{ds^2} + V(s)f$

Magnetic Schrödinger $f \to \left(\dfrac{1}{i}\dfrac{d}{ds} - A(s)\right)^2 f + V(s)f$

Others: pseudo-differential, higher order derivative, etc...

A natural condition is to assume that $f(e) \in H^2(e)$, $\forall e \in \mathcal{E}$.

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Hamiltonian

Operators (s denotes the coordinate on an edge)

Second derivative We will focus on $f \rightarrow -\frac{d^2 f}{ds^2}$

A natural condition is to assume that $f(e) \in H^2(e)$, $\forall e \in \mathcal{E}$.

Boundary conditions

We are interested in local conditions at the vertices. Let d be the degree of vertex v. For functions $f_j \in H^2(e_v)$ on the edges connected at v, we expect boundary conditions involving the values of the functions and their directional derivative taken in the outgoing directions at the vertex v:

$$A_v F + B_v F' = 0$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $F = (f_1(v), \dots, f_d(v)$ and $F' = (f_1'(v), \dots, f_d'(v)$.

Boundary conditions

We are interested in local conditions at the vertices. Let d be the degree of vertex v. For functions $f_j \in H^2(e_v)$ on the edges connected at v, we expect boundary conditions involving the values of the functions and their directional derivative taken in the outgoing directions at the vertex v:

$$A_v F + B_v F' = 0$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$, $F = (f_1(v), \ldots, f_d(v)$ and $F' = (f_1'(v), \ldots, f_d'(v)$.

The rank of the matrices $[A_v, B_v] \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times 2d}$ must be equal to d.

Finite graphs

Theorem

Let Γ be a metric graph with finitely many edges. Consider the operator $\mathcal{H}$ acting as $-\dfrac{d^2}{ds^2}$ on each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$, with the domain consisting of the functions $f \in H^2(e)$ on e and satisfying the conditions

$$A_v F + B_v F' = 0$$

at each vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$.

Let $\left\{A_v \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v \times d_v}, B_v \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v \times d_v} | v \in \mathcal{V}\right\}$ a collection of matrices such that $Rank(A_v, B_v) = d_v$ for all v.

$$\mathcal{H} \text{ is self-adjoint iff } \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, A_v B_v^T = B_v A_v^T$$

(Kostrykin Schrader, 1999) (Kuchment, 2004)

A linear algebra bit (1)

We drop the subscript v for a moment

$$B \quad = \quad W\Sigma V^T = (W_1, W_2)\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(SVD)}$$

A linear algebra bit (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
B &= W\Sigma V^T = (W_1, W_2)\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(SVD)} \\
A &= WRV^T \qquad R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

A linear algebra bit (1)

$$\begin{aligned} B &= W\Sigma V^T = (W_1, W_2)\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(SVD)} \\ A &= WRV^T \qquad R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$BA^T = AB^T \Longrightarrow R_{21} = 0$$

A linear algebra bit (1)

$$\begin{aligned} B &= W\Sigma V^T = (W_1, W_2) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(SVD)} \\ A &= WRV^T \qquad R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$BA^T = AB^T \Longrightarrow R_{21} = 0$$

$$W^T(A, B) \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

A linear algebra bit (2)

$$W^T(A,B)\begin{pmatrix} V^TF \\ V^TF' \end{pmatrix} = 0 \iff \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} V_1^TF \\ V_2^TF \\ V_1^TF' \\ V_2^TF' \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

A linear algebra bit (2)

$$W^T(A,B)\begin{pmatrix} V^T F \\ V^T F' \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1^T F \\ V_2^T F \\ V_1^T F' \\ V_2^T F' \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$Rank\big((A,B)\big) = d \Longrightarrow R_{22} \quad \text{invertible} \Longrightarrow V_2^T F = 0 \Longrightarrow F \in span(V_1)$$

A linear algebra bit (2)

$$W^T(A,B)\begin{pmatrix} V^TF \\ V^TF' \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} V_1^TF \\ V_2^TF \\ V_1^TF' \\ V_2^TF' \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$Rank\big((A,B)\big) = d \Longrightarrow R_{22} \quad \text{invertible} \Longrightarrow V_2^TF = 0 \Longrightarrow F \in span(V_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Longrightarrow \quad & R_{11}V_1^TF + \Sigma_1 V_1^TF' = 0 \Longrightarrow V_1^TF' = -\Sigma_1^{-1}R_{11}V_1^TF \\ \Longrightarrow \quad & F' = -V_1\Sigma_1^{-1}R_{11}V_1^T \Longleftrightarrow F' = LF \quad \big(\text{min norm solution}\big) \\ & \Big((AB^T = BA^T\Big) \Longrightarrow L = L^T \end{aligned}$$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

A linear algebra bit (3)

Let $P_v = I - V_1 V_1^T$ and $Q_v = I - P_v$ be the orthogonal projectors relative to node v then

$$A_v F + B_v F' = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} P_v F = 0 \\ Q_v F' + L_v F = 0 \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

All self-adjoint realizations of $\mathcal{H}$ (the negative second derivative) on Γ with the vertex boundary conditions satisfy the following:

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{V} \; \exists P_v \text{ and } Q_v = I_{d_v} - P_v$$

(orthogonal projections) and

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{V} \; \exists L_v \text{ in } Q_v \mathbb{C}^{d_v}.$$

All $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \bigoplus H^2(e)$ are described by (*) at each finite vertex.

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Quadratic form

The Quadratic form h of $\mathcal{H}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} h[f,f] &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} (L_v)_{jk}\, f_j(v)\overline{f_k(v)} \\ &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \langle L_v F, F\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the standard Hermitian inner product in $\mathbb{C}^{d_v}$. The domain of h consists of all $f \in \bigoplus_{e\in\mathcal{E}} H^1(e)$ such that $P_v F = 0$.

Examples of b.c.

δ-type conditions

$$\begin{cases} f(s) \quad \text{is continuous on } \Gamma \\ \forall v \in \Gamma \qquad \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_v} \dfrac{df}{ds_e}(v) = \alpha_v f(v) \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{E}_v$ is the subset of the edges having v as a boundary point.
α_v are real fixed numbers
We describe the case for a node v of degree 3 (generalization is easy)

Examples of b.c.

$$A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\alpha_v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Examples of b.c.

$$A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\alpha_v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_v B_v^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_v \end{pmatrix}$$

The self-adjoint condition is satisfied iff $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Examples of b.c.

$$A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\alpha_v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_v B_v^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_v \end{pmatrix}$$

The self-adjoint condition is satisfied iff $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$L_v = \frac{-\alpha_v}{d_v} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Examples of b.c.

The Hamiltonian of the problem has the following h

$$
\begin{aligned}
h[f,f] &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \langle L_v F, F\rangle \\
&= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds + \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \alpha_v \left|f(v)\right|^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Examples of b.c.

The Hamiltonian of the problem has the following h

$$\begin{aligned} h[f,f] &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \langle L_v F, F\rangle \\ &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds + \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \alpha_v \left|f(v)\right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

The case $\alpha_v \equiv 0$ corresponds to the Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions

Examples of b.c.

The Hamiltonian of the problem has the following h

$$\begin{aligned} h[f,f] &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \langle L_v F, F\rangle \\ &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds + \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} \alpha_v \left|f(v)\right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

The case $\alpha_v \equiv 0$ corresponds to the Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions

$$\begin{cases} f(s) \quad \text{is continuous on } \Gamma \\ \forall v \in \Gamma \qquad \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}_v} \dfrac{df}{ds_e}(v) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$h[f,f] = \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds.$$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Examples of b.c.

δ'-type conditions

$$\begin{cases} \forall v \in \Gamma \\ \text{The value of the derivative } \dfrac{df_e}{ds_e}(s) \text{ is the same } \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_v \\ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_v} f_e(v) = \alpha \dfrac{df}{ds_e}(v) \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{E}_v$ is the subset of the edges having v as a boundary point.
α_v are real fixed numbers
We describe the case for a node v of degree 3 (generalization is easy)

Examples of b.c.

$$B_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\alpha_v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Examples of b.c.

$$B_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\alpha_v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_v B_v^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_v \end{pmatrix}$$

The self-adjoint condition is satisfied iff $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Examples of b.c.

$$B_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\alpha_v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_v B_v^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_v \end{pmatrix}$$

The self-adjoint condition is satisfied iff $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
If $\alpha_v = 0$ for some v then $L_v = 0$.

$$L_v = 0 \quad \forall v \Longrightarrow h[f, f] = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \int_e \left| \frac{df}{ds} \right|^2 ds.$$

Examples of b.c.

$$B_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\alpha_v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_v B_v^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_v \end{pmatrix}$$

The self-adjoint condition is satisfied iff $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

If $\alpha_v \neq 0$ then B_v is invertible and $P_v = 0$ and $Q_v = I$.

$(L_v)_{i,j} = -\dfrac{1}{\alpha d_v} \quad \forall\, i,j$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Examples of b.c.

The Hamiltonian of the problem has the following h

$$h[f,f] = \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}} \int_e \left|\frac{df}{ds}\right|^2 ds + \sum_{\{v\in\mathcal{V}|\alpha_v\neq 0\}} \frac{1}{\alpha_v}\left|\sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}_v} f(v)\right|^2.$$

The domain consists of all $f(s) \in \bigoplus_e H^1(e)$ that have at each vertex where $\alpha_v = 0$ the sum of the vertex values along all the incident edges is equal to 0.

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Examples of b.c.

Dirichlet and Neumann conditions.

Dirichlet vertex conditions require that at each vertex the boundary conditions impose $f(v) = 0$

The operator is decoupled in the sum of the negative second derivative and

$$h[f, f] = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \int_e \left| \frac{df}{ds} \right|^2 ds$$

$f \in H^1(\Gamma)$.

The spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$

$$\sigma(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{ \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{l_2^2} \, | e \in (E), \; n \in \mathbb{Z} - 0 \right\}$$

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Examples of b.c.

Dirichlet and Neumann conditions.

Under *Neumann vertex conditions* no restriction on the value of the function at vertices are required. The derivative at the vertices are instead required to be zero. The operator is decoupled in the sum of the negative second derivative and

$$h[f,f] = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \int_e \left| \frac{df}{ds} \right|^2 ds$$

$f \in H^1(\Gamma)$, as for the Dirichlet case, but on a larger domain

Symmetric vertex conditions: a classification

We want to classify the cases for which the conditions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} PF = 0 \\ QF' + LF = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (*)$$

are invariant under the action of the symmetric group of the coordinate permutations. (again we drop the subscript v)

Symmetric vertex conditions: a classification

We want to classify the cases for which the conditions

$$\begin{cases} PF = 0 \\ QF' + LF = 0 \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

are invariant under the action of the symmetric group of the coordinate permutations. (again we drop the subscript v) The invariant space of the permutation group is the one dimensional space generated by the vector of entries equal to one

$$\vec{\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}(1, \cdots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Then (*) are invariant under the action of this group iff P, Q, and L are. We have 4 possible cases.

Symmetric vertex conditions: a classification

1. $P = 0, \quad Q = I, \quad L = \alpha \vec{\psi}\vec{\psi}^T + \beta I$
   - $\beta = 0$ δ'-conditions
   - $\alpha = \beta = 0$ Neumann-conditions

Symmetric vertex conditions: a classification

2. $P = I, \;\; Q = 0$ (L irrelevant) Dirichlet-conditions

Symmetric vertex conditions: a classification

3. $P = I - \vec{\psi}\vec{\psi}^T, \quad Q = \vec{\psi}\vec{\psi}^T, \quad L = \alpha Q$ δ-conditions

Symmetric vertex conditions: a classification

4. $P = \vec{\psi}\vec{\psi}^T, \quad Q = I - \vec{\psi}\vec{\psi}^T, \quad L = \alpha P$????

Are these all the possible cases?

Are these all the possible cases?

NO!!

Modelling (Neumann Schrödinger example)



Ω_d fat graph (l_e length of edge e)

Let Ω_d denote the fat graph and $\delta = d \times p(s)$ where $p(s) > 0$ is a function of the arc length that can be discontinuous at the vertices. Each vertex neighbouring is contained in a ball of radius $\sim d$ and star-shaped with respect to a smaller ball of diameter $\sim d$.

Modelling (Neumann Schrödinger example)

On Ω_d we define the Schrödinger operator

$$H_d(\mathbf{A}, q) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A}(s)\right)^2 + q(s)$$

with Neumann conditions on $\partial\Omega_d$ (q scalar electric and $\mathbf{A}$ vector magnetic potentials

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Modelling (Neumann Schrödinger example)

On Ω_d we define the Schrödinger operator

$$H_d(\mathbf{A}, q) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A}(s)\right)^2 + q(s)$$

with Neumann conditions on $\partial\Omega_d$ (q scalar electric and $\mathbf{A}$ vector magnetic potentials

$$H(\mathbf{A}, q)f(s_e) = -\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{d}{ds_e} - iA_e^t(s)\right) p \left(\frac{d}{ds_e} - iA_e^t(s)\right) f + q_e(s)f$$

where A_e^t is the tangential component of $\mathbf{A}$ and q_e is the restriction of q to the graph.

Modelling (Neumann Schrödinger example)

Boundary conditions at the vertices

- f is continuous through each vertex
- $$\sum_{\{k|v\in e_k\}} p_k \left(\frac{df_k}{ds_k} - iA_k^t f_k\right)(v) = 0$$

p_k function that gives the width of the tube around e_k. The values of $p_k(v)$ at the same vertex can be different for different e_k

Modelling (Neumann Schrödinger example)

Boundary conditions at the vertices

- f is continuous through each vertex
- $$\sum_{\{k|v\in e_k\}} p_k\left(\frac{df_k}{ds_k}-iA_k^t f_k\right)(v)=0$$

p_k function that gives the width of the tube around e_k. The values of $p_k(v)$ at the same vertex can be different for different e_k

Theorem *For $n = 1, 2, \ldots$*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lambda_n\left(H_d(\mathbf{A},q)\right)=\lambda_n\left(H(\mathbf{A},q)\right),$$

where λ_n is the n-th eigenvalue counted in increasing order (accounting multiplicity)

Summary

- We have defined the analytical structure of a Quantum Graph
  - the metric properties
  - the operators
  - the boundary conditions

Summary

- We have defined the analytical structure of a Quantum Graph
  - the metric properties
  - the operators
  - the boundary conditions
- We will focus in the last part on finite graphs and we will give some hints on:

Summary

- We have defined the analytical structure of a Quantum Graph
  - the metric properties
  - the operators
  - the boundary conditions
- We will focus in the last part on finite graphs and we will give some hints on:
  - Solution of differential equations

Summary

- We have defined the analytical structure of a Quantum Graph
  - the metric properties
  - the operators
  - the boundary conditions
- We will focus in the last part on finite graphs and we will give some hints on:
  - Solution of differential equations
  - Eigenvalues problems

Summary

- We have defined the analytical structure of a Quantum Graph
  - the metric properties
  - the operators
  - the boundary conditions
- We will focus in the last part on finite graphs and we will give some hints on:
  - Solution of differential equations
  - Eigenvalues problems

We assume that at the vertices of degree $d = 1$ we have Dirichlet conditions.

Summary

- We have defined the analytical structure of a Quantum Graph
  - the metric properties
  - the operators
  - the boundary conditions
- We will focus in the last part on finite graphs and we will give some hints on:
  - Solution of differential equations
  - Eigenvalues problems

We assume that at the vertices of degree $d = 1$ we have Dirichlet conditions. Only Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions for sake of simplicity

Differential equations

Given a function $g(s) \in L^2(\Gamma)$, we want to compute the solution of the problem

$$\min_u \{h[u,u] - \langle g, u\rangle\}$$

with $u(s) \in H^1(\Gamma)$.

Differential equations

Given a function $g(s) \in L^2(\Gamma)$, we want to compute the solution of the problem

$$\min_u \{h[u,u] - \langle g, u\rangle\}$$

with $u(s) \in H^1(\Gamma)$.

- On each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$ we solve the local problem and we denote by $u_e(s_e)$ the solution.

Differential equations

Given a function $g(s) \in L^2(\Gamma)$, we want to compute the solution of the problem

$$\min_u \{h[u,u] - \langle g, u \rangle\}$$

with $u(s) \in H^1(\Gamma)$.

- On each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$ we solve the local problem and we denote by $u_e(s_e)$ the solution.
- Using the Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions and the values of the derivative at the vertices, we form and solve an algebraic system on the vertices.

Differential equations

Given a function $g(s) \in L^2(\Gamma)$, we want to compute the solution of the problem

$$\min_u \{h[u,u] - \langle g, u\rangle\}$$

with $u(s) \in H^1(\Gamma)$.

- On each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$ we solve the local problem and we denote by $u_e(s_e)$ the solution.
- Using the Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions and the values of the derivative at the vertices, we form and solve an algebraic system on the vertices.

We have described an abstract Domain Decomposition approach.

Finite dimensional problem

Let assume of having a finite-element approximation. We subdivide each edge forming a chain made of node of degree 2 and we build the usual hat functions extending them to the vertices.

Finite dimensional problem

Let assume of having a finite-element approximation. We subdivide each edge forming a chain made of node of degree 2 and we build the usual hat functions extending them to the vertices.



The matrix

Reordering the nodes such that the internal nodes in a edge are consecutive and the vertices are at the end we have a matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^T & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where M_{11} is block diagonal M_{22} is diagonal and M_{12} holds the links between the edges.

Example



$$\begin{bmatrix} M_1 & & & & c_1 \\ & M_2 & & & c_2 \\ & & M_3 & & c_3 \\ & & & M_4 & c_4 \\ c_1^T & c_2^T & c_3^T & c_4^T & b \end{bmatrix}$$

Graph (e edge and v vertex)

Eigenvalue Problem

The analysis of the spectrum of the self-adjoint operators is more subtle. Infinite quantum graphs can have Hamiltonian with continuous part of the spectrum. However, for finite quantum graphs we can have a better situation:

Eigenvalue Problem

The analysis of the spectrum of the self-adjoint operators is more subtle. Infinite quantum graphs can have Hamiltonian with continuous part of the spectrum. However, for finite quantum graphs we can have a better situation:

Theorem

Let Γ a finite quantum graph with finite edges equipped with an Hamiltonian given by negative second derivative along the edges and vertex conditions

$$(*)\begin{cases} P_v F = 0 \\ Q_v F' + L_v F = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ is discrete.

Science & Technology Facilities Council

An interesting connection

$$\mathcal{H}f = \lambda f \qquad f \in L^2(\Gamma)$$

Let e be an edge identified by the two vertices v and w of length l_e. If $\lambda \neq n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ then

$$f_e = \frac{1}{\sin\sqrt{\lambda} l_e}\left(f_e(v)\sin\sqrt{\lambda}(l_e - s) + f_e(w)\sin\sqrt{\lambda}s\right)$$

An interesting connection

$$\mathcal{H}f = \lambda f \qquad f \in L^2(\Gamma)$$

Let e be an edge identified by the two vertices v and w of length l_e. If $\lambda \neq n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ then

$$f_e = \frac{1}{\sin\sqrt{\lambda}l_e}\left(f_e(v)\sin\sqrt{\lambda}(l_e - s) + f_e(w)\sin\sqrt{\lambda}s\right)$$

Substituting

$$f_e'(v) = \frac{l_e\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sin\sqrt{\lambda}}\left(f_e(w) - f_e(v)\cos l_e\sqrt{\lambda}\right)$$

in (*) and eliminating the derivatives we compute a system of algebraic relations

Science & Technology Facilities Council

An interesting connection

$$\mathcal{H}f = \lambda f \qquad f \in L^2(\Gamma)$$

Let e be an edge identified by the two vertices v and w of length l_e. If $\lambda \neq n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ then

$$f_e = \frac{1}{\sin\sqrt{\lambda} l_e}\left(f_e(v)\sin\sqrt{\lambda}(l_e - s) + f_e(w)\sin\sqrt{\lambda}s\right)$$

Substituting

$$f_e'(v) = \frac{l_e\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sin\sqrt{\lambda}}\left(f_e(w) - f_e(v)\cos l_e\sqrt{\lambda}\right)$$

in (*) and eliminating the derivatives we compute a system of algebraic relations

$$T(\lambda)F = 0$$

An interesting connection

Theorem
$\lambda \neq n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ belongs to the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$ iff zero belongs to the spectrum of $T(\lambda)$

An interesting connection

Theorem
$\lambda \neq n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ belongs to the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$ iff zero belongs to the spectrum of $T(\lambda)$

This results connects quantum graph theory to combinatorial graph theory

Science & Technology Facilities Council

An interesting connection

Theorem
$\lambda \neq n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ belongs to the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$ iff zero belongs to the spectrum of $T(\lambda)$

What about $\lambda = n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$?

An interesting connection

Theorem
$\lambda \neq n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ belongs to the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$ iff zero belongs to the spectrum of $T(\lambda)$

What about $\lambda = n^2\pi^2 l_e^{-2}$?
If we have only Dirichlet conditions they are the only eigenvalues, otherwise ??????

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Conclusion

- Quantum graphs are independent from the embedding space and his make them a good candidate to model complex phenomena depending on many variables.

Conclusion

- Quantum graphs are independent from the embedding space and his make them a good candidate to model complex phenomena depending on many variables.
- The process of "model reduction" can be tricky
  - not always self-adjoint operators
  - continuous spectrum issues
  - ray edges ....

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Conclusion

- Quantum graphs are independent from the embedding space and his make them a good candidate to model complex phenomena depending on many variables.
- The process of "model reduction" can be tricky
  - not always self-adjoint operators
  - continuous spectrum issues
  - ray edges ....
- Non linear operators: p-Laplacian,..

Conclusion

- Quantum graphs are independent from the embedding space and his make them a good candidate to model complex phenomena depending on many variables.
- The process of "model reduction" can be tricky
  - not always self-adjoint operators
  - continuous spectrum issues
  - ray edges ....
- Non linear operators: p-Laplacian,..
- Integro-differential operators (fractional derivatives and visco-elasticity, ...)

Conclusion

- Quantum graphs are independent from the embedding space and his make them a good candidate to model complex phenomena depending on many variables.
- The process of "model reduction" can be tricky
  - not always self-adjoint operators
  - continuous spectrum issues
  - ray edges ....
- Non linear operators: p-Laplacian,..
- Integro-differential operators (fractional derivatives and visco-elasticity, ...)
- Interesting numerical linear algebra and potential high parallelism

Science & Technology Facilities Council

Conclusion

- Quantum graphs are independent from the embedding space and his make them a good candidate to model complex phenomena depending on many variables.
- The process of "model reduction" can be tricky
  - not always self-adjoint operators
  - continuous spectrum issues
  - ray edges ....
- Non linear operators: p-Laplacian,..
- Integro-differential operators (fractional derivatives and visco-elasticity, ...)
- Interesting numerical linear algebra and potential high parallelism
- Strong interaction between different expertises ...